

Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences
Novosibirsk State University

**PLANT GENETICS, GENOMICS,
BIOINFORMATICS, AND BIOTECHNOLOGY
(PlantGen2021)**

The 6th International Scientific Conference

Abstracts

June 14–18, 2021
Novosibirsk, Russia

Editors:

Corr. Member of the RAS *Alexey V. Kochetov*
Professor, Dr. Sci. *Elena A. Salina*

Novosibirsk
ICG SB RAS
2021

- Cherepanova E.A. 45, 189
Chernook A.G. 53
Chernova A. 90
Chernyak E.I. 120
Chilimova I.V. 227
Chirva O.V. 91
Chubukova O.V. 228
Chumakov M.I. 153
Chursin A.S. 202
Clapco S. 58
- D**aghma D.E.S. 50, 194
Danilova M.N. 55, 145
Davoyan E.R. 51, 52
Davoyan R.O. 51, 52
Davydenko O. 206
De Palo D. 101
Demurin Y. 90
Dergilev A.I. 24, 165
Divashuk M.G. 53, 113, 213
Djéballi N. 48
Dmitriev A.A. 157
Dobrovolskaya O.B. 96, 165
Dodueva I.E. 72, 190, 216
Dolgikh V. 54
Dolgov S. 70, 151, 213
Dolinnyy Y.Y. 227
Domrachev D.V. 62, 120, 124
Doroshenko A.S. 55, 145
Doroshkov A.V. 122
Druzhin A.E. 32
Duarte G.T. 232
Dubina E.V. 56, 125
Dubovets N.I. 57, 166
Duca M. 58
Dudnikov M. 59, 113
Duk M. 60
Dushkin V.A. 117
Dvorianinova E.M. 157
Dvornikova K.A. 138
Dyachenko E.A. 156
- E**fimov V.M. 187
Efimova M.V. 155
Efremov G.I. 61
Efremova I.G. 111
Egorova A.A. 62, 63, 71, 80, 119, 191
Elatskov Yu. 210
Elatskova A. 210
Elkahoui S. 48
Elkonin L.A. 64
Emirsaliev A.O. 152, 223
Enzekrey E.S. 173
- Epifanov R. 65
Ermakovich A. 206
Ermolenko O.I. 89
Evtushenko E.V. 66, 76
- F**adeev V.V. 153
Fakhranurova L.I. 103
Fedorova O.V. 171
Fedoseeva I.V. 42
Fedotova O.A. 67, 85
Fedotovskaya V. 68
Fedyayev V. 229
Fedyayeva A.V. 42
Feranchuk S.I. 40
Fesenko A.N. 141
Filyushin M.A. 25, 69
Firsov A. 70
Fomin I.N. 71
- G**ainullin N. 135
Galibina N.A. 91
Gall N.R. 132
Galushko A.S. 132
Gamburg K.Z. 85
Gancheva M.S. 72, 127, 142, 190
Ganenko T.V. 159
Garapov D.S. 73
Garibyan Ts.S. 74
Garkusha S.V. 56
Garnik E.Yu. 75
Gatzkaya S.S. 66, 76
Gavrilenko T. 77
Genayev M.A. 65, 78
Genievskaya Y. 79
Gentzbittel L. 48
Gerashchenkov G.A. 64
Gerasimova S.V. 62, 63, 71, 80, 119, 120, 123, 124
Gladysheva-Azgari M.V. 81, 207
Glagoleva A.Yu. 82, 130, 231
Goepfert S. 101
Gorbenko I.V. 83
Gorbunova A.O. 129, 236
Gordon M.L. 131
Gorislavets S.M. 233
Gorshkova T.A. 84
Goryunov D. 90
Goryunova S. 90
Grabelnich O.I. 67, 85
Graskova I.A. 169
Gretsova M. 86
Gribchenko E.S. 131
Grigoreva E.A. 87, 143, 177

Marker-trait associations for barley grain quality traits identified in Karaganda and Kostanay regions using GWAS

Genievskaya Y.^{1,2*}, Almerikova S.¹, Abugalieva A.³, Abugalieva S.¹, Turuspekov Y.¹

¹ *Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, Almaty, Kazakhstan*

² *Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan*

³ *Kazakh Research Institute of Agriculture and Plant Industry, Almalyk, Kazakhstan*

* *email: julia.genievskaya@gmail.com*

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is the second important cereal crop after wheat in Kazakhstan. Barley production in the country is oriented for livestock feed and brewing. Generally, the requirements for barley grain quality used in brewing and livestock feeding are different, and, usually, the good quality of the grain is associated with a lower yield. Modern genomic technologies help to identify important quantitative trait loci and determine valuable crop genotypes for breeding. Spring barley collection, including 557 USA's accessions and 104 accessions from Kazakhstan, was studied for major grain quality traits of barley grown in Karaganda (center) and Kostanay (north) regions in 2010 and 2011. The collection was previously genotyped using barley iSelect 9K SNP array resulted in 2,344 polymorphic markers. Phenotypic and genotypic data were used for the searching of marker-trait associations using GWAS. As a result, 69 marker-trait associations ($P < 0.001$) were identified for 10 grain quality traits: 13 for grain protein content, 9 for glutenin content, 9 for grain plumpness, 8 for starch content, 7 for amylose content, 7 for grain extractivity, 6 for grain glume, 5 for hordein content, 3 for albumin, and 2 for grain β -glucan content. Sixty-nine marker-trait associations were unified in 27 QTLs, considering the pleiotropic effect of several markers. Seven of these pleiotropic QTLs were previously described as associated with barley yield-related traits. Both negative and positive effects were observed for all studied traits; therefore, QTLs identified in the study may be used for the breeding of barley for different purposes and with different quality of the grain. QTLs detected in different regions of Kazakhstan have a potential usage for local barley breeding programs.

Financial support: grant AP08052804 “Development and validation of KASP arrays efficiency for key productivity and grain quality traits in two-rowed spring barley” (MES RK).