



Халқаро илмий конференция

Международная научная конференция

The International scientific conference



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ УЗБЕКИСТАНА
имени МИРЗО УЛУГБЕКА



САМАРКАНДСКИЙ
ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫЙ
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ
имени АЛИШЕРА НАВОИ



ТАШКЕНТСКИЙ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ
ИНФОРМАЦИОННЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ



САМАРКАНДСКИЙ ФИЛИАЛ
ТАШКЕНТСКОГО УНИВЕРСИТЕТА
ИНФОРМАЦИОННЫХ
ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ

АМАЛИЙ МАТЕМАТИКА ВА ИНФОРМАЦИОН ТЕХНОЛОГИЯЛАРНИНГ ДОЛЗАРБ МУАММОЛАРИ – АЛ-ХОРАЗМИЙ 2014

Конференция мақолалари

Тұплам № 1

АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ПРИКЛАДНОЙ МАТЕМАТИКИ И ИНФОРМАЦИОННЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ – АЛЬ-ХОРЕЗМИ 2014

Труды конференции

Том № 1



MODERN PROBLEMS OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES- AL- KHOREZMIY 2014

Transactions of the conference

Volume № 1

САМАРҚАНД
15-17 СЕНТЯБР
2014

САМАРКАНД
15-17 СЕНТЯБРЯ
2014

SAMARQAND
15-17 SEPTEMBER
2014

Каюмов Т., Исмоилов А. Учинчи тартибли чизиксиз оддий дифференциал тенглама
еучимларининг асимптоталари ҳақида

СЕКЦИЯ №3. ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫЕ УРАВНЕНИЯ И ДИНАМИЧЕСКИЕ СИСТЕМЫ. ОБРАТНЫЕ И НЕКОРРЕКТНЫЕ ЗАДАЧИ

Aisagaliev S.A., Zhunussova Zh.Kh. Method for solving of a boundary value problem at phase and integral constraints	21
Abylkairov U.U., Zhensykbaev K.S., Khompysh Kh. Solvability of the initial-boundary value problem for stokes equation inhomogeneous fluids	21
Berdyshev A.S., Karimov E.T. On a spectral properties of a boundary value problem with integral gluing condition for mixed type equation	21
Zhunussova Zh.Kh. Construction of surface corresponding to domain wall solution	21
Ganikhodzhaev R.N., Pirnapasov A.T. Quadratic homeomorphisms of the two-dimensional simplex and their trajectories	21
Абулов М.О. Краевая задача для одного нелинейного уравнения третьего порядка	21
Абулов М.О. О разрешимости смешанной задачи для одного нелинейного уравнения высокого порядка	21
Абдуллаева З.Ш. Двухточечная задача для абстрактного дифференциального уравнения второго порядка	21
Айпанов Ш.А., Мурзабеков З.Н. Решение задачи оптимального разворота космического аппарата при закрепленных концах траекторий	21
Давлатов А., Тошпулатов Д. Хочкин-Хаксли туридаги тенгламалар системаси учун иккичи чегаравий масалани интеграл тенгламалар системасига келтириши ҳақида	21
Дуйшеналиев Т.Б., Сарсенов Б.Т. Применение метода бихарактеристик в контактной задаче динамики	21
Ибрагимов А.А. Марковская игра большой матч в классе стационарных стратегий	21
Игамбердиев Х.З., Абдурахманова Ю.М. Регулярное обобщенное оценивание состояния и совмещенный синтез систем управления динамическими объектами	21
Игамбердиев Х.З., Зарипов О.О. Адаптивное оценивание состояния динамических объектов управления на основе концепций теории некорректных задач	21
Кабидолданова А.А. О построении ограниченного управления для линейных систем	21
Кадиркулов Б.Ж. Об одной нелокальной задаче с оператором дробного дифференцирования	21
Каримов Э.Т. Об одной нелокальной задаче для параболо-гиперболического уравнения с негладкой линией изменения типа	21
Каримов Ш.Т. Об одном методе решения задачи Коши для вырождающегося уравнения четвертого порядка	21
Маматов А.Р. О линейной максиминной задаче управления с подвижными краевыми условиями	21
Мурзабеков З.Н., Айпанов Ш.А. Конструктивный метод решения задачи оптимального быстродействия для линейных динамических систем	21
Сакабеков А., Аужани Е. Смешанная задача для одномерной нелинейной нестационарной системы моментных уравнений Больцмана в пятом приближении при обобщенных граничных условиях Владимирова-Маршака	21
Сафаров Ж.Ш., Дурдиев Д.К. Глобальная разрешимость одной обратной задачи для уравнения вязкоупругости в ограниченной области	21
Тураев Р.Н. Об одной неклассической задаче со свободной границей для квазилинейных параболических уравнений	21
Уринов А.К., Маманазаров А.О. Краевая задача для смешанно-парabolicкого уравнения с сингулярными коэффициентами	21
Фаязов К.С., Хажиев И.О. Некорректные краевые задачи для уравнения смешанно-составного типа четвертого порядка	21
Фаязов К.С., Худайберганов Я.К. Некорректная краевая задача для одного уравнения смешанного типа второго порядка в пространстве	21
Хасанов М.М., Салаев С.Р. Интегрирование модифицированного уравнения Кортевега-де Фриза в классе периодических функций	21

СЕКЦИЯ №3. ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫЕ УРАВНЕНИЯ И ДИНАМИЧЕСКИЕ СИСТЕМЫ. ОБРАТНЫЕ И НЕКОРРЕКТНЫЕ ЗАДАЧИ

УДК 517.938

METHOD FOR SOLVING OF A BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM AT PHASE AND INTEGRAL CONSTRAINTS

Aisagaliev S.A., Zhunussova Zh.Kh.

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty

e-mail: serikbaiaisagaliev@kaznu.kz, zhzhkh@mail.ru

Abstract. Method for solving of a boundary value problem of ordinary differential equations with boundary conditions at phase and integral constraints is supposed. The base of the method is an immersion principle based on the general solution of the first order Fredholm integral equation which allows to reduce the initial boundary value problem to the special problem of the optimal equation.

Keywords: boundary value problem of ordinary differential equations, the first order Fredholm integral equation, the principle of immersion, optimal control problem, optimization problem.

Problem statement. We consider the following boundary value problem

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)f(x, t) + \mu(t), \quad t \in I = [t_0, t_1] \quad (1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$(x(t_0)) = x_0, x(t_1) = x_1 \in S \subset R^{2n} \quad (2)$$

at phase constraints

$$x(t) \in G(t) : G(t) = \{x \in R^n | \gamma(t) \leq F(x, t) \leq \delta(t), \quad t \in I\}, \quad (3)$$

and integral constraints

$$g_j(x) \leq c_j, \quad j = \overline{1, m_1}; \quad (4)$$

$$g_j(x) = c_j, \quad j = \overline{m_1 + 1, m_2}; \quad (5)$$

$$g_j(x) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_{0j}(x(t), t) dt, \quad l; j = \overline{1, m_2}; \quad (6)$$

Here $A(t)$, $B(t)$ are prescribed matrices with piecewise continuous elements of $n \times n$, $n \times m$ order, respectively, $\mu(t)$, $t \in I$ is given n -dimensional vector-function with piecewise continuous elements, m -dimensional vector-function $f(x, t)$ is defined and continuous in the variables $(x, t) = R^n \times I$ and satisfies the following conditions:

$$|f(x, t) - f(y, t)| \leq l|x - y|, \quad \forall (x, t), \quad (y, t) \in R^n \times I, \quad l = const > 0,$$

$$|f(x, t)| \leq c_0|x| + c_1(t), \quad c_0 = const \geq 0, \quad c_1(t) \in L_1(I, R^1),$$

S is the convex closed set. Function $F(x, t) = (F_1(x, t), \dots, F_r(x, t))$, $t \in I$ is r -dimensional vector-function which is continuous in arguments, $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_r(t))$, $\delta(t) = (\delta_1(t), \dots, \delta_r(t))$, $t \in I$ are prescribed continuous functions.

The values c_j , $j = \overline{1, m_2}$ are prescribed constants, $f_{0j}(x, t)$, $j = \overline{1, m_2}$ are given continuous functions by set of arguments satisfying to the conditions

$$|f_{0j}(x, t) - f_{0j}(y, t)| \leq l_j|x - y|, \quad \forall (x, t), \quad (y, t) \in R^n \times I, \quad j = \overline{1, m_2};$$

$$|f_{0j}(x, t)| \leq c_{0j}|x| + c_{1j}(t), \quad c_{0j} = const, \quad c_{1j} \in L_1(I, R^1), \quad j = \overline{1, m_2}.$$

Note, that: 1) if $A(t) \equiv 0$, $m = n$, $B(t) = I_n$, then the equation (1) can be written as

$$\dot{x} = f(x, t) + \mu(t) = \bar{f}(x, t), \quad t \in I. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, the results obtained below remain valid for the equation (7) at conditions (2)-(6);

2) if $f(x, t) = x + \mu_1(t)$ (or $f(x, t) = C(t)x + \mu_1(t)$), then the equation (1) can be written in form

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)x + B(t)\mu_1(t) + \mu(t) = \bar{A}(t)x + \bar{\mu}(t), \quad t \in I, \quad (8)$$

where $\bar{A}(t) = A(t) + B(t)$, $\bar{\mu}(t) = B(t)\mu_1(t) + \mu(t)$. It follows that the equation (8) is a partial case of equation (1).

The following problems are stated:

Problem 1. To find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of boundary value problem (1)-(6).

Problem 2. To construct solution of boundary value problem (1)-(6).

As it follows of problem statement necessary to prove the existence of the pair $(x_0, x_1) \in S$ such that the solution of (1) proceeded from the point x_0 at the time t_0 passes through the point x_1 at the time t_1 , along with the solution of the system (1) for each time the phase constraint is satisfied (3), and integrals (6) satisfy (4), (5). In particular, the set S is defined by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} S = \{(x_0, x_1) \in R^{2n} | & H_j(x_0, x_1) \leq 0, \quad j = \overline{1, p}; \\ & \langle a_j, x_0 \rangle + \langle b_j, x_1 \rangle - d_j = 0, \quad j = \overline{p+1, s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H_j(x_0, x_1)$, $j = \overline{1, p}$ are convex functions in the variables (x_0, x_1) , $x_0 = x(t_0)$, $x_1 = x(t_1)$, $a_j \in R^n$, $b_j \in R^n$, $d_j \in R^1$, $j = \overline{p+1, s}$ are given vectors and the numbers, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is scalar product.

In many cases, in practice the process under study is described by the equation of the form (1) in the phase space of the system defined by the phase constraint of the form (3). Outside this domain the process is described by completely different equations or process under investigation does not exist. In particular, such phenomena take place in the research of dynamics of the nuclear and chemical reactors (outside the domain (3) reactors do not exist.) Integral constraints of the form (4) characterize the total load experienced by the elements and nodes in the system (for example, total overload cosmonauts), which should not exceed the specified values and equations of the form (5) correspond to the total limits for the system (for example, fuel consumption is equal to a predetermined value).

The essence of the method consists in the fact that at the first stage of research by transformation and introducing a fictitious control the initial problem is immersed in the control problem. Further, the existence of solutions of the original problem and the construction of its solution is carried out by solving the problem of optimal control of a special kind. With this approach, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of solution of boundary value problem (1)-(6) can be obtained from the condition to achieve the lower bound of the functional on a given set, and the solution of the original boundary problem are the limit points of minimizing sequences.

We assume that $f_0(x, t) = (f_{01}(x, t), \dots, f_{0m_2}(x, t))$, where

$$f_0(x, t) = C(t)x + \bar{f}_0(x, t), \quad t \in I, \quad (9)$$

$C(t)$, $t \in I$ is known matrix of $m_2 \times n$ order with piecewise continuous elements, $\bar{f}_0(x, t) = (\bar{f}_{01}(x, t), \dots, \bar{f}_{0m}(x, t))$. If j -th row of the matrix $C(t)$ is zero, then $f_{0j}(x, t) = \bar{f}_{0j}(x, t)$. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume the function $f_0(x, t)$ is defined by (9). By introducing additional variables $d = (d_1, \dots, d_{m_1}) \in R^{m_1}$, $d \geq 0$, the relations (4), (6) can be represented as

$$g_j(x) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_{0j}(x(t), t) dt = c_j - d_j, \quad j = \overline{1, m_1},$$

where

$$d \in \Gamma = \{d \in R^{m_1} | d \geq 0\}.$$

Let the vector $\bar{c} = (\bar{c}_1, \dots, \bar{c}_{m_2})$, where $\bar{c}_j = c_j - d_j$, $j = \overline{1, m_1}$, $\bar{c}_j = c_j$, $j = \overline{m_1 + 1, m_2}$. We introduce vector-function $\eta(t) = (\eta_1(t), \dots, \eta_{m_2}(t))$, $t \in I$, where

$$\eta(t) = \int_{t_0}^t f_0(x(\tau), \tau) d\tau, \quad t \in [t_0, t_1].$$

Then

$$\dot{\eta} = f_0(x(t), t) = C(t)x + \bar{f}_0(x, t), \quad t \in I$$

$$\eta(t_0) = 0, \quad \eta(t_1) = \bar{c}, \quad d \in \Gamma.$$

Now the initial boundary value problem (1)-(6) can be written as

$$\dot{\xi} = A_1(t)\xi + B_1(t)f(P\xi, t) + B_2\bar{f}_0(P\xi, t) + B_3\mu(t), \quad t \in I, \quad (10)$$

$$\xi(t_0) = \xi_0 = (x_0, O_{m_2}), \quad \xi(t_1) = \xi_1 = (x_1, \bar{c}), \quad (11)$$

$$(x_0, x_1) \in S, \quad d \in \Gamma, \quad P\xi(t) \in G(t), \quad t \in I, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\xi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ \eta(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) & O_{n, m_2} \\ C(t) & O_{m_2, m_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) \\ O_{m_2, n} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ O_{m_2, n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} O_{n, m_2} \\ I_{m_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = (I_n, O_{n, m_2}), \quad P\xi = x,$$

$O_{j,k}$ is matrix of $j \times k$ order with zero elements, $O_q \in R^q$ is vector $q \times 1$ with zero elements, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots, \xi_{n+m_2})$.

The basis of the proposed method of solving problems 1 and 2 are the following theorems about the properties of solution of the first order Fredholm integral equation:

$$Ku = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} K(t_0, t)u(t)dt = a, \quad (13)$$

where $K(t_0, t) = \|K_{ij}(t_0, t)\|$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, $j = \overline{1, m}$ is known matrix of $n \times m$ order with piecewise continuous elements in t at fixed t_0 , $u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, R^m)$ is source function, $I = [t_0, t_1]$, $a \in R^n$ is given n -dimensional vector.

Theorem 1. It Integral equation (13) for any fixed $a \in R^n$ has a solution if and only if the matrix

$$C(t_0, t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} K(t_0, t)K^*(t_0, t)dt, \quad (14)$$

$n \times n$ order is positive definite, where $(*)$ is a sign of transposition.

Theorem 2. Let the matrix $C(t_0, t_1)$ is positive definite. Then the general solution of the integral equation (13) has the form

$$u(t) = K^*(t_0, t)C^{-1}(t_0, t_1)a + v(t) - K^*(t_0, t)C^{-1}(t_0, t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} K(t_0, t)v(t)dt, \quad t \in I, \quad (15)$$

where $v(\cdot) \in L_2(I, R^m)$ is an arbitrary function, $a \in R^n$ is an arbitrary vector.

Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are given in [2, 3]. Application of Theorems 1 and 2 to solve the controllability and optimal control problem are presented in [4-7].

Along with the differential equation (10) with boundary conditions (11) we consider the linear control system

$$\dot{y} = A_1(t)y + B_1(t)w_1(t) + B_2(t)w_2(t) + \mu_2(t), \quad t \in I, \quad (16)$$

$$y(t_0) = \xi_0 = (x_0, O_{m_2}), \quad y(t_1) = \xi_1 = (x_1, \bar{c}), \quad (17)$$

$$(x_0, x_1) \in S, \quad d \in \Gamma, \quad w_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, R^m), \quad w_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, R^{m_2}), \quad (18)$$

where $\mu_2(t) = B_3\mu(t)$, $t \in I$.

Let the matrix $\bar{B}(t) = (B_1(t), B_2(t))$ of $(n + m_2) \times (m_2 + m)$ order, and the vector-function $w(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in L_2(I, R^{m+m_2})$. It is easy to see that the control $w(\cdot) \in L_2(I, R^{m+m_2})$ which transfers the trajectory of system (16) from any initial state ξ_0 to any desired state ξ_1 is a solution of the integral equation

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Phi(t_0, t)\bar{B}(t)w(t)dt = a, \quad (19)$$

where $\Phi(t, \tau) = \theta(t)\theta^{-1}(\tau)$, $\theta(t)$ is the fundamental matrix of solutions of the linear homogeneous system $\dot{\omega} = A_1(t)\omega$, vector

$$a = a(\xi_0, \xi_1) = \Phi(t_0, t_1)[\xi_1 - \Phi(t_1, t_0)\xi_0] - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Phi(t_0, t)\mu_2(t)dt.$$

As follows from (13), (19), the matrix $K(t_0, t) = (t_0, t)\bar{B}(t)$.

Further, we consider an immersion principle for boundary value problem (1)-(6) and prove several theorems about solution of the optimization problem.

REFERENCES

1. Tikhonov A.N., Vasileva A.B., Sveshnikov A.G. Differentialnie uravnenia, M., Nauka, 1980, 479.
2. Aisagaliev S.A. Obshee reshenie odnogo klassa integralnih uravnenii // Matematicheski zhurnal. Institut Matematiki MON RK. 5 (2005) 7-13.
3. Aisagaliev S.A. Upravlaemost nekotoroi sistemi differenzialnih uravnenii // Differentialnie uravnenia. 27 (1991) 1476-1486.
4. Aisagaliev S.A., Kabidoldanova A.A. Optimalnoe bistrodeistvie nelineinih sistem s ograniceniami // Differentialnie uravnenia i procesi upravleniya. 1 (2010) 30-55.