

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

17-19 October 2018, Almaty, Kazakhstan www.aict.info/2018



CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

2018 IEEE 12 $^{\rm th}$ International Conference on Application of Information and Communication Technologies (AICT)

Copyright © 2018 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved.

Copyright and Reprint Permission

Abstracting is permitted with credit to the source. Libraries are permitted to photocopy beyond the limit of U.S. copyright law, for private use of patrons, those articles in this volume that carry a code at the bottom of the first page, provided that the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

Other copying, reprint, or reproduction requests should be addressed to IEEE Copyrights Manager, IEEE Service Center, 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331.

IEEE Catalog Number: CFP1856H-PRT ISBN: 978-1-5386-6467-4

Additional copies of this publication are available from Curran Associates, Inc. 57 Morehouse Lane Red Hook, NY 12571 USA +1 845 758 0400 +1 845 758 2633 (FAX) email: curran@proceedings.com

© Designed by the AICT2018 Publication Team, 2018.

IEEE Catalog Number: CFP1856H-PRT ISBN: 978-1-5386-6467-4

Solving the Reverse Problems of Pharmacokinetics for a Linear Two-Compartment Model with Absorption

Baydaulet A. Urmashev, Aisulu T. Tursynbay, Almas N.Temirbekov, Aidana B. Amantayeva Kazakh National University named after al-Farabi, Almaty, Kazakhstan baydaulet.urmashev@kaznu.kz, tursynbay a@mail.ru, almas.temirbekov@kaznu.kz, aman.aydana@gmail.com

Abstract — A new method is proposed for revealing the nonuniqueness of the solution of the inverse problem. The existence of three solutions of this equation is analytically proved dC

and an algorithm for their finding is presented. The obtained results indicate the ambiguity of solutions of inverse problems and the obtained sets of parameters of the corresponding numerical methods for solving inverse problems of pharmacokinetics require additional conditions for determining the necessary set of pharmacokinetic parameters.

Keywords — Pharmacokinetics; nonuniqueness of the solution inverse problems; numerical methods; linear two-compartment model with absorption;

I. INTRODUCTION

The theoretical proof of the nonuniqueness of the solution is confirmed by numerical calculations. To solve the inverse problem of models of pharmacokinetics, there were used several numerical methods that satisfy the criterion of the method of least squares. The software complex pharmacokinetics 2.0 was developed. High performance will be provided by developing algorithms to solve the main problems in Fortran and C programming languages. These deprogramming languages are the fastest facto for implementation of complex mathematical calculations. The applications developed in these programming languages will be called through the architecture of micro services. Using the example of a computational experiment, the software complex was used for finding the pharmacokinetic parameters for a linear two-chamber pharmacokinetics model with absorption.

II. RELATED WORK

Previously, in the works [1, 2] we studied the kinetics of the reaction system (1), (2). It was shown that the equation of the dependence of concentration on time for component B has more than one solution. The result of this work is a rigorous analytical substantiation of the number of solutions of this equation and the identification of the conditions for the realization of each of them.

The chemical kinetics of processes (1-2) is described by the solution of the Cauchy problem for a system of linear ordinary differential equations (3-10):

$$\overset{A}{\underset{c_1}{\overset{k_1}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{B}{\underset{c_2}{\overset{k_2}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{C}{\underset{c_3}{\overset{c_3}{\longrightarrow}}}$$
(1)

$$\overset{B}{\underset{k_{3,4}}{\longleftrightarrow}} D$$
 (2)

$$\frac{dC_2}{dC_1} = -kC_1 \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{dC_2}{dt} = k_1 C_1 - (k_2 C_2 + k_3 C_2) + k_4 C_4$$
(4)

$$\frac{dC_3}{dt} = k_2 C_2 \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{dC_4}{dt} = k_3 C_2 - k_4 C_4 \tag{6}$$

$$C_1(0) = C_0, C_2(0) = 0, C_3(0) = 0, C_4(0) = 0,$$
 (7-10)
here $C_1(t)$ - concentration of components **A**, **B**, **C**, **D** at the

time moment t, k_i - rate constants of the individual reaction stages 1 and 2.

Scheme of the two-compartment model with absorption



On the basis of differential equations (3-6) with the abovementioned initial conditions, the desired dependence $C_2(t) = f(t)$ - the dynamics of the change in the concentration of component **B** can be represented in the form of equation 11 [3, 4].

$$C_2(t) = A_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + A_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t} - A_3 e^{-\lambda_1 t}, \qquad (11)$$

where:

$$A_{1} = \frac{k_{1}(\lambda_{1} - k_{4}) \cdot C_{0}}{(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2})(k_{1} - \lambda_{1})}$$
(12)

$$A_{2} = \frac{k_{1}(\lambda_{2} - k_{4})C_{0}}{(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2})(\lambda_{2} - k_{1})}$$
(13)

$$A_{3} = -(A_{1} + A_{2}) = \frac{k_{1}(k_{4} - k_{1})C_{0}}{(k_{1} - \lambda_{1})(k_{1} - \lambda_{2})}$$
(14)

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[(k_2 + k_3 + k_4) \pm \sqrt{(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)^2 - 4k_2k_4} \right]$$
(15)

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = k_2 + k_3 + k_4, \ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = k_2 k_4 \tag{16-17}$$

The solution of the direct problem in this case is not difficult. In Fig.1 presented calculated values of the concentration of substance **B** for the given values of reaction rate constants k_i and its initial concentration equal to 50.



Fig. 1. Dependencies of concentration $C_2(t)$ on time for values.

$C_0 = 50, k_1 = 5, k_2 = 2, k_3 = 3, k_4 = 4$

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The inverse problem is the calculation of the quantities k_i and C_0 on the basis of some set of measured values C_{2i} at the time moment t_i , in comparison to the straight one, is a much more complicated problem [4]. To solve it, equation (11) is presented in the following form (18):

$$C_2^{calc}(t) = L_1 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} + L_2 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} + L_3 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$$
(18)

Coefficients L_i and \mathcal{E}_i of this equation are related as follows:

$$L_1 + L_2 + L_3 = 0, (19)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \neq \mathcal{E}_2 \neq \mathcal{E}_3, \tag{20}$$

$$\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0, \varepsilon_3 > 0. \tag{21}$$

Taking into account equality (19), the total number of unknowns in equation (18) decreases until 5. Values of $L_1, L_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ were found by the method of least squares:

$$\sum_{i} \left(C_2^{\exp}(t_i) - C_2^{calc}(t_i) \right)^2 \to \min$$

where $C_2^{\exp}(t_i)$ - the concentrations found experimentally, $C_2^{calc}(t_i)$ - their calculated values.

After determining the values L_i and ε_i several options for assigning values $\varepsilon_1 \neq \varepsilon_2 \neq \varepsilon_3$ for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, k_1$ were considered. Taking into account the conjugacy of the roots λ_1 and λ_2 ($\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$), and sampling two of three $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ possible, we can obtain the following cases:

1)
$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon_1, \ \lambda_2 = \varepsilon_2, \ k_1 = \varepsilon_3,$$
 (22)

2)
$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon_2, \ \lambda_2 = \varepsilon_3, \ k_1 = \varepsilon_1,$$
 (23)

3)
$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon_1, \ \lambda_2 = \varepsilon_3, \ k_1 = \varepsilon_2,$$
 (24)

Further, using equations (12-14) to calculate the coefficients A_i , we obtain the systems of equations (12₁-14₁),

(12₂-14₂) and (12₃-14₃) for calculating $L_1^i, L_2^i, L_3^i, i = \overline{1..3}$ respectively:

$$L_{1}^{i} = \frac{\varepsilon_{3}(\varepsilon_{1} - k_{4}) \cdot C_{0}^{i}}{(\varepsilon_{3} - \varepsilon_{1})(\varepsilon_{1} - \varepsilon_{2})}$$
(12_i)

$$L_{2}^{i} = \frac{\varepsilon_{3}(k_{4} - \varepsilon_{2}) \cdot C_{0}^{1}}{(\varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{2})(\varepsilon_{1} - \varepsilon_{2})}$$
(13_i)

$$L_3^i = \frac{\varepsilon_3(\varepsilon_3 - k_4) \cdot C_0^1}{(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1)(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2)}$$
(14_i)

Since for constants L_i there are only values L_1 , L_2 , L_3 , then the above systems of equations can be reduced to the following:

$$L_1^1 = L_3^2 = L_1^3 = L_1 \tag{25}$$

$$L_2^1 = L_1^2 = L_3^3 = L_2 \tag{25}{2}$$

$$L_3^1 = L_2^2 = L_2^3 = L_3 \tag{253}$$

It follows that the initial concentrations for the three variants are related to each other by equality:

$$C_0^1 \varepsilon_3 = C_0^2 \varepsilon_1 = C_0^3 \varepsilon_2 \tag{26}$$

From the equation for calculating the rate constants k_4 (27), it is clear that in the realization of any of the three variants (22-24), its magnitude remains constant:

$$k_4 = -\frac{L_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 + L_2 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3 + L_3 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{L_1 \varepsilon_1 + L_2 \varepsilon_2 + L_3 \varepsilon_3}$$
(27)

This leads to a very important conclusion in a practical sense: k_4 can serve as the main criterion for the selection of well-founded solutions describing the investigating set of points $C_2 - t$ for measured solution. To justify this conclusion, let us return to equations (16-17):

For $k_3 > 0$ we obtain the following inequality:

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > k_2 + k_4 \,. \tag{28}$$

Then, from the equation (17) we can find k_2 :

(

$$k_2 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 / k_4 \tag{29}$$

and substituting it in (28), we obtain a new inequality of the form:

$$k_4^{2} - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)k_4 + \lambda_1\lambda_2 < 0.$$
 (30)

The solution of the last inequality can be represented in this form:

$$k_4 \in (\lambda_2, \lambda_1). \tag{31}$$

This means that the reaction rate constant (2) $k_3 > 0$ will be positive only if the computed value k_4 will be between the roots λ_1 and λ_2 .

Otherwise, it will take a negative value. It should be noted that inequality (28) also holds in this case. For the computed values \mathcal{E}_i , connected by inequality (20), we find their

maximum - \mathcal{E}_{max} and minimum - \mathcal{E}_{min} meanings. Now, one of the three values \mathcal{E}_i ($\overline{\mathcal{E}}$) belongs to the segment:

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}} \in (\mathcal{E}_{\min}, \mathcal{E}_{\max})$$
.

From this it is not difficult to see that for k_4 two variants can be realized:

$$k_4 \in (\mathcal{E}_{\min}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}) \text{ and } k_4 \in (\bar{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}_{\max})$$

Thus, the total number of solutions for equation (18) can be equal to three. Proof of the fact that the dependence $C_2(t) = f(t)$ can be simultaneously described by three sets of values k_i and C_0 S_n functions and Laplace transforms were used.

Originally, S_n denotes definite integrals, called the Laplace transforms:

$$S_{n} = C_{0} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{1} + n} \cdot \frac{n + k_{4}}{(\lambda_{1} + n)(\lambda_{2} + n)}$$
(32)

Here n - can be any real number, but for convenience there were taken integer values.

Since in the solution of the inverse problem for equation (11) or (18) it is necessary to determine the values of five unknowns, we can confine ourselves to five equations.

$$x_{1} + nx_{2} + \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{S_{0}}{S_{n}} \right) x_{3} - \frac{S_{0}}{n \cdot S_{n}} x_{4} = \frac{1}{n} \xi$$
(33)

where

$$x_{1} = 1 + (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})/k_{1}, x_{2} = 1/k_{1}, x_{3} = \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}, \qquad (34)$$

$$x_{4} = k_{2}, \xi = -x_{2}x_{3} - (x_{1} - 1)/x_{2}.$$

Then, by introducing new notation:

$$\begin{cases} ax_3 + bx_4 = \frac{1}{3}\xi \\ cx_3 + dx_4 = \frac{3}{4}\xi \end{cases}$$
(35)

And solving the system of equations (35) and taking into account that $x_i = B_i \xi$ we define successively all values B_i :

$$B_4 = \frac{\frac{1}{3}c - \frac{3}{4}a}{dc - ad}, \ B_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{3}d - \frac{3}{4}b}{ad - bc}$$
(36-37)

$$B_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{S_{0}}{S_{1}} - \frac{S_{0}}{4S_{2}} - \frac{3}{4}\right)B_{3} - \left(\frac{S_{0}}{S_{1}} - \frac{S_{0}}{2S_{2}}\right)B_{4}$$
(38)

$$B_1 = 1 - B_2 - \left(1 - \frac{S_0}{S_1}\right) B_3 + \frac{S_0}{S_1} B_4$$
(39)

Further, substituting $x_i = B_i \xi$ into equation (34) we obtain the cubic equation (40)

$$B_2^2 B_3 \xi^3 + B_2 \xi^2 + B_1 \xi - 1 = 0, \qquad (40)$$

The roots of which are found using the Cardano formula. The nonlinear equation (40) can be solved by a variety of different numerical methods, we chose the simplest of them - Newton's method. The algorithm for finding three values ξ_i is simple. First, using Newton's method, we determine the value ξ_1 , and then using equality:

$$ax^{3} + bx^{2} + cx + d = (x - \xi)(ax^{2} + (b + a\xi)x + a\xi^{2} + b\xi + c)$$
(41)
and solving the quadratic equation:

$$ax^{2} + (b + a\xi)x + a\xi^{2} + b\xi + c = 0$$
(42)

We find the remaining two solutions ξ_2 and ξ_3 .

Thus, it follows from the above proof that for the measured solutions of $C_2(t)$ and the initial condition $C_2(0) = 0$, There are three sets of five permanent C_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 for the system (3-10).

1. For each of the variants of dependence $C_2(t) = f(t)$ with specified values of variables k_i and C_0 there are two more sets of these constants, that is, the inverse problem, in contrast to the straight one has three solutions.

2. Despite the fact that the given (measured) data set $C_2 - t$ can be described by three sets of constants k_i and C_0 , only two of them have a physical meaning; The third set with a rate constant k_3 , which has negative value and no physical meaning.

3. Thus, the inverse problem is the determination of the rate constants k_i and initial concentration C_0 by data $C_2 - t$ is incorrect, since the number of solutions is not equal to one [4]. And if the data set $C_2 - t$ is given by a direct problem, then the inverse problem, being ill-posed, has a stable solution. This is confirmed by the fact that one of the solutions found coincides with a given set of rate constants k_i and C_0 .



Fig. 2. Dependencies of $C_2(t)$ on time for different values $\xi_{1,2,3}$.

This fact also serves as a criterion for the correctness of the proposed mathematical apparatus and the calculations performed. In addition, the results of the study completely confirm the reliability of the data obtained earlier, where the proof of the non-uniqueness of the solution for the inverse problem was obtained in a different way [1, 2].

During the solution of inverse problem from experimental data, $C_2 - t$ is complicated by the fact that the instability is added to the problem of nonuniqueness of the solution. The problem of incorrectness of the inverse problem, caused by the instability of its solution, is one of the main problems in this field of knowledge. Its severity can be reduced by the continuous improvement of the optimization procedures used and the quality of the experiment. However, completely this problem can not be solved in principle.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To clarify the stability of finding the coefficients of the model of pharmacokinetics, experimental values of the dependence $C_2(t) = A_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + A_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t} - A_3 e^{-k_1 t}$ on time for values $C_0 = 50, k_1 = 10, k_2 = 1, k_3 = 5, k_4 = 3$. with a minimum absolute error in 1%.

C0 50 Default		Ka>alfa>beta
Ка 5 Plun К12 3 3 К21 4 3 3 К21 4 3 3 Jafaa - 7.46410161513775 3 3 3 bafaa - 7.265983646862245 3 3 3 A2 = 28.0011547174745 1 3 3 3 Плошади 22 = 5.6 23 = 8.45714285714286 24 = 11.7 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 -0.01333333134 9 -0.0022727272272481 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002274785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962 5 -0.002374785875281962	Точные эн. Хі неизе. сис. ур. N1 = 2,6 W2 = 0,2 W3 = 4 W4 = 1 Точное значений x=8 Каі = 8,799999999951 Проеврка значений x=8 B1 Ksi = 2,5939999999531 B2 Ksi = 0,1993999999953 B3 Ksi = 3,9999999959 B4 Ksi = 1,999999999959 B4 Ksi = 1,99999999959 B4 Ksi = 1,99999999959 Nuton Ksi = 5,89488223347895 Kai2 = 82,1051177664745 Kai2 = 82,1051177664745 Kai2 = 82,1051177664745 Kai2 = 82,1051177654745 Kai2 = 82,1051127664745 B3 Ksi = 2,87949192430843 B4 Ksi = 1,265949192430843 B4 Ksi = 1,86802540378445 B3 Ksi 2 = 24,2583302491947 B2 Ksi 2 = 3,32050607056575 B4 Ksi 2 = 9,33012701892252 B1 Ksi 2 = 2,60000000000237 B3 Ksi 3 = 0,000000000027 B3 Ksi 3 = 0,000000000027 B3 Ksi 3 = 0,000000000007	C0(1) = 32.49364005(9755 Ka(1) = 7.46410161514125 Ka(1) = 7.46410161514125 Ka(1) = 0.5899599999999999975 Ka(1) = 0.58997298107751 Ka(1) = 0.55997298107751 Ma(1) = 0.55599394652243 A1(1) = 2.72772727559 A2(1) = 28.0011547174742

Fig. 3. Results of a numerical experiment, confirming the existence of three solutions for the equations (18) and respectively (11).

Using the proven methods of solving inverse problems, unknown parameters for the given experimental data were found. Unfortunately, the found parameters are very different from the model parameters. We can make sure to see the following figure.

Экспери	имент Эк	сперим	ент(без тч.)	Полу	ченные данн	ые Г	рафик Ф	KI					
Точки г	в экспериме	нте Г	Толученный д	анные	График	Найден	ные данные	1 Гра	фик для отоб	ранных	График ср	авнения метод	ов Данны
Модел	тыный	Моде	льный с.а.т.	Линей	ный регр.	Нелин	нейная регр.	міх(л)	MIX(H))	МСМ	
A1 [252.6861	A1	15.39707	A1	16.46855	A1	31.239	A1	37.35213	A1	22.28174	AUC	52.41446
A2 [16.54464	A2	17.15216	A2	17.34228	A2	16.31613	A2	17.34228	A2	16.31613	AUMC	138.0228
alfa Í	8.653312	alfa	4.715082	alfa	4.718391	alfa	5.555365	alfa	6.834953	alfa	4.604078	CL	1.907871
	0.3466881	beta	0.3530732	beta	0.3541915	beta	0.3401675	beta	0.3541915	beta	0.3401675	MRT	2.633297
ка [10	Ка	6.170713	Ка	23.26501	Ка	15.05552	Ка	14.69403	Ка	17.11479	Vss	5.02399
AUC	50	AUC	50	AUC	51.00001	AUC	50.3873	AUC	50.70566	AUC	50.54931	beta	0.3541915
AUMC	138.3333	AUMC	138.7558	AUMC	138.9161	AUMC	140.798	AUMC	138.785	AUMC	141.9234	Rbeta	0.9988144
α [2	CL.	1.963696	CL	1.960784	CL	1.984627	CL	1.972166	CL	1.978266	tau_1/2_beta	1.956984
MRT	2.766667	MRT	2.724741	MRT	2.723844	MRT	2.794316	MRT	2.737072	MRT	2.807622	Vbeta	5.386551
Vss	5.533333	Vss	5.350562	Vss	5.340869	Vss	5.545675	Vss	5.397961	Vss	5.554225	-Линейная рег	ъ.
К12	5	K12	0.7278921	K12	1.658679	К12	2.515935	К12	3.134931	K12	1.853088	Ralfa	0.9999747
K21	3	K21	3.915039	K21	2.821613	K21	2.672481	K21	3.326445	K21	2.452582	Rbeta	0.9988144
К10	1	K10	0.4252241	K10	0.5922903	K10	0.7071161	К10	0.7277685	K10	0.6385751	RKa	0.9879635
Vbeta	5.768875	Vbeta		Vbeta	5.535943	Vbeta		Vbeta		Vbeta		tau_1/2_beta	1.956984
C0	50	C0	19.80282	C0	30.20681	C0	35.65954	C0	36.90199	C0	32.27953	tau 1/2 Ka	0.02979355
				V1	3.310511	V1	2.804299	V1	2.709881	V1	3.097939		
				SD	1.411778	SD	0.5432132	SD	0.5956629	SD	0.6108582		

absolute error of 1%. were found .

You can also illustrate the graphical data for the parameters found using numerical methods.



Fig. 5. Graphical dependences of concentration in the central chamber for the data from Fig. 4.

Here we can see a good coincidence for the central chamber of a linear two-chamber pharmacokinetics model with absorption. For the second chamber, the concentration curves are very different from the actual model concentration curve. This can be seen in the Fig. 6.

It can be seen from the figure that the concentration curves of the preparation in the peripheral chamber by quantitative values are almost two times different from the model preset values (red curve).

In the literature, there are many examples of the analysis of pharmacokinetic data [5-8]. A model is constructed for a particular process. Equations describing the corresponding model are given. There used the least squares method (LSM) for determining the parameters involved in the model equations, but often poorly or do not pay attention to the estimated statistical value.

١



Fig. 6. Graphical dependencies of concentration in the peripheral chamber.

The purpose of this paper presents several important statistical aspects included in the describing equations and finding the values of the pharmacokinetic parameters with the corresponding confidence intervals. The general principle and procedure of the method is proposed in order to obtain statistical parameters.

A two-compartment model of pharmacokinetics with intravascular injection is considered. The change in the concentration of drugs in the blood is described by the equation (54):

$$C(t_i) = A_1 e^{-\alpha t_i} + A_2 e^{-\beta t_i} - (A_1 + A_2) e^{-k_A t_i}$$
(43)

It is well known that the best statistical parameters can be determined using LSM. The present paper deals with the case of drug distribution, which are described by four parameters. When the experimentally found values C_i^{exp} characterizes by equation $C(t_i, A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A)$, parameter

 $A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A$ should give the minimum value of the weighted sum of squared differences between C_i^{exp} and $C(t_i, A_i, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A)$ that is

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (C_i^{\mathfrak{sc}} - C(t_i, A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta))^2 \cdot \omega_i \to \min \cdot \qquad (44)$$

In our case $\omega_i = 1$, N is the number of experimental points. At S—min determines the values $A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A$ in equation (54).

When $A_1^o, A_2^o, \alpha^o, \beta^o, k_A^o$ is the solution of inverse problem (54) for given experimental data C_i^{exp} , then

 $A_1^o, A_2^o, \alpha^o, \beta^o, k_A^o$ accepted as approximate values $A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A$ and respectively, the best parameters can be given in the following form

$$A_{1} = A_{1}^{0} + \Delta A_{1}, A_{2} = A_{2}^{0} + \Delta A_{2}, \alpha = \alpha^{0} + \Delta \alpha,$$

$$\beta = \beta^{0} + \Delta \beta, k_{A} = \Delta k^{0} + \Delta k_{A}$$

where $\Delta A_1, \Delta A_2, \Delta \alpha, \Delta \beta, \Delta k_A$ are growth for parameters $A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A$, respectively.

The dependence $C(t_i, A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A)$ is expanded in a Taylor series for $A_1^o, A_2^o, \alpha^o, \beta^o, k_A^o$. Then we determine that

$$\Delta C_i = C_i^{\exp} - C(t_i, A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A).$$

Further, using the Taylor series expansion, we obtain the following equations:

$$\Delta C_{i} = \delta_{o}^{i} - \delta_{A_{1}}^{i} \Delta A_{1} - \delta_{A_{2}}^{i} \Delta A_{2} - \delta_{\alpha}^{i} \Delta \alpha - \delta_{\beta}^{i} \Delta \beta - \delta_{k_{A}}^{i} \Delta k_{A}$$

where
$$\delta_{0}^{i} = C_{i}^{\exp} - C(t_{i}, A_{1}^{0}, A_{2}^{0}, \alpha^{0}, \beta^{0}),$$
$$\partial C(t_{i}, A_{1}^{0}, \alpha^{0}, \beta^{0})$$

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}_{A_1}^i = rac{\partial C(t_i, A_1^0, A_2^0, lpha^0, eta^0)}{\partial A_1}, \ &\mathcal{S}_{A_2}^i = rac{\partial C(t_i, A_1^0, A_2^0, lpha^0, eta^0)}{\partial A_2}, \ &\mathcal{S}_{lpha}^i = rac{\partial C(t_i, A_1^0, A_2^0, lpha^0, eta^0)}{\partial lpha}, \ &\mathcal{S}_{eta}^i = rac{\partial C(t_i, A_1^0, A_2^0, lpha^0, eta^0)}{\partial lpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the LSM principle, the following system of equations is given for unknown quantities $\Delta A_1, \Delta A_2, \Delta \alpha, \Delta \beta, \Delta k_A$. $[(\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{A_1}) \Delta A_1 + (\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{A_2}) \Delta A_2 + (\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{\alpha}) \Delta \alpha + (\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{\beta}) \Delta \beta + (\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{A_2}) \Delta k_A = (\delta_{A_1}, \delta_0)$

$$\begin{cases} \left(\delta_{A_{1}},\delta_{A_{2}}\right)\Delta A_{1}+\left(\delta_{A_{2}},\delta_{A_{2}}\right)\Delta A_{2}+\left(\delta_{a},\delta_{A_{2}}\right)\Delta \alpha+\left(\delta_{\beta},\delta_{A_{2}}\right)\Delta \beta+\left(\delta_{k_{A}},\delta_{A_{2}}\right)\Delta k_{A}=\left(\delta_{A_{2}},\delta_{0}\right) (45) \\ \left(\delta_{A_{1}},\delta_{a}\right)\Delta A_{1}+\left(\delta_{A_{2}},\delta_{a}\right)\Delta A_{2}+\left(\delta_{a},\delta_{a}\right)\Delta \alpha+\left(\delta_{\beta},\delta_{a}\right)\Delta \beta+\left(\delta_{k_{A}},\delta_{a}\right)\Delta k_{A}=\left(\delta_{a},\delta_{0}\right) \\ \left(\delta_{A_{1}},\delta_{\beta}\right)\Delta A_{1}+\left(\delta_{A_{2}},\delta_{\beta}\right)\Delta A_{2}+\left(\delta_{a},\delta_{\beta}\right)\Delta \alpha+\left(\delta_{\beta},\delta_{\beta}\right)\Delta \beta+\left(\delta_{k_{A}},\delta_{\beta}\right)\Delta k_{A}=\left(\delta_{\beta},\delta_{0}\right) \\ \left(\delta_{A_{1}},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta A_{1}+\left(\delta_{A_{2}},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta A_{2}+\left(\delta_{a},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta \alpha+\left(\delta_{\beta},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta \beta+\left(\delta_{k_{A}},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta k_{A}=\left(\delta_{\beta},\delta_{0}\right) \\ \left(\delta_{A_{1}},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta A_{1}+\left(\delta_{A_{2}},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta A_{2}+\left(\delta_{a},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta \alpha+\left(\delta_{\beta},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta \beta+\left(\delta_{k_{A}},\delta_{k_{A}}\right)\Delta k_{A}=\left(\delta_{k_{A}},\delta_{0}\right) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} & \delta_{A_{1}} = \left\{ \delta_{A_{1}}^{1}, \delta_{A_{1}}^{2}, ..., \delta_{A_{1}}^{N} \right\}, \delta_{A_{2}} = \left\{ \delta_{A_{2}}^{1}, \delta_{A_{2}}^{2}, ..., \delta_{A_{2}}^{N} \right\}, \delta_{\alpha} = \left\{ \delta_{\alpha}^{1}, \delta_{\alpha}^{2}, ..., \delta_{\alpha}^{N} \right\}, \\ & \delta_{\beta} = \left\{ \delta_{\beta}^{1}, \delta_{\beta}^{2}, ..., \delta_{\beta}^{N} \right\}, \delta_{k_{A}} = \left\{ \delta_{k_{A}}^{1}, \delta_{k_{A}}^{2}, ..., \delta_{k_{A}}^{N} \right\}, \\ & \delta_{A_{1}}^{i} = e^{-\alpha^{o}t_{i}} - e^{-k_{A}^{0}t_{i}}, \delta_{A_{2}}^{i} = e^{-\beta^{o}t_{i}} - e^{-k_{A}^{0}t_{i}}, \delta_{\alpha}^{i} = -A_{1}^{0}t_{i}e^{-\alpha^{o}t_{i}}, \delta_{\beta}^{i} = -A_{2}^{0}t_{i}e^{-\beta^{o}t_{i}}, \\ & \delta_{k_{A}}^{i} = (A_{1}^{0} + A_{2}^{0})t_{i}e^{-k_{A}^{0}t_{i}}. \end{split}$$

The best parameters can be obtained by the roots of the above system (56). Solving the system of equations by the numerical

method of Gauss determine the values of the unknowns $\Delta A_1, \Delta A_2, \Delta \alpha, \Delta \beta, \Delta k_A$

The system (56) can be written in the following matrix form

$$\Lambda \cdot \Delta_x = \Delta_0$$
 We denote by *C* the inverse matrix in (45)

verse matrix in (45) $C = \Lambda^{-1}$

The solution $\Delta A_1, \Delta A_2, \Delta \alpha, \Delta \beta, \Delta k_A$ of system (45) can be represented in vector form:

$$\Delta_x = \Lambda^{-1} \cdot \Delta_0$$

here

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{A_1}\right) & \left(\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{A_2}\right) & \dots & \left(\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{A_n}\right) \\ \left(\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{A_2}\right) & \left(\delta_{A_2}, \delta_{A_2}\right) & \dots & \left(\delta_{k_A}, \delta_{A_2}\right) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \left(\delta_{A_1}, \delta_{k_A}\right) & \left(\delta_{A_2}, \delta_{k_A}\right) & \dots & \left(\delta_{k_A}, \delta_{k_A}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta_{0} = \left(\left(\delta_{A_{1}}, \delta_{o} \right) \left(\delta_{A_{2}}, \delta_{o} \right) \left(\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{o} \right) \left(\delta_{\beta}, \delta_{o} \right) \left(\delta_{k_{A}}, \delta_{o} \right) \right)$$
$$\Delta_{x} = \left(\Delta A_{1} \ \Delta A_{2} \ \Delta \alpha \ \Delta \beta \ \Delta k_{A} \right)^{T}$$

The statistical values are given by the following equations.

$$S = (\delta_0, \delta_0) - (\delta_{A_1}, \delta_0) \Delta A_1 - (\delta_{A_2}, \delta_0) \Delta A_2 - (\delta_\alpha, \delta_0) \Delta \alpha - (\delta_\beta, \delta_0) \Delta \beta - (\delta_{k_A}, \delta_0) \Delta \alpha$$

$$\sigma^2 = S/(N-2) - \text{sum of squares.}$$

The standard deviation of the parameters of equation (43) $A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A$:

$$SD_{A_1} = \sqrt{c_{11}\sigma^2}, SD_{\alpha} = \sqrt{c_{33}\sigma^2}, SD_{\beta} = \sqrt{c_{44}\sigma^2},$$
$$SD_{k_A} = \sqrt{c_{55}\sigma^2}.$$

where c_{ii} – elements of the inverse matrix of system of equations (56).

The covariance coefficient can be expressed as the percentage SD = SD = SD = 100

of the coefficient of change $%CV = \frac{SD}{\theta_i} \times 100$

Where θ_i is one of the parameters $A_1, A_2, \alpha, \beta, k_A$.

Confidence intervals at 95% for each parameter can be given by the following equation:

$$CI = SD \times t_{95}$$
.

By knowing the values $SD_{A_1}, SD_{A_2}, SD_{\alpha}, SD_{\beta}, SD_{k_A}$ it needs to be determined $SD_{C_0}, SD_{k_{21}}, SD_{k_{12}}, SD_{k_{10}}$

Let's write the formulas for constants:

$$k_{21} = \frac{\alpha\beta(A_1 + A_2) - A_1k_A\beta - A_2k_A\alpha}{A_1\alpha + A_2\beta - (A_1 + A_2)k_A};$$

$$k_{10} = \frac{\alpha\beta}{k_{21}};$$

$$k_{12} = (\alpha + \beta) - k_{21} - k_{10};$$

$$C_0 = \frac{A_2(\alpha - \beta)(k_A - \beta)}{k_A(k_{21} - \beta)};$$

$$1 \cdot SD_{k_{21}} = k_{21} \sqrt{\left[3\left(\frac{SD_{k_A}^2}{k_A^2} + \frac{SD_{\alpha}^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{SD_{\beta}^2}{\beta^2}\right) + 4\left(\frac{SD_{A_1}^2}{A_1^2} + \frac{SD_{A_2}^2}{A_2^2}\right)\right]}$$

$$2 \cdot SD_{k_{10}} = k_{10} \sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{SD_{k_{21}}^2}{k_{21}^2} + \frac{SD_{\alpha}^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{SD_{\beta}^2}{\beta^2}\right) + 3SD_{k_{12}}^2 + \frac{SD_{\alpha}^2}{\beta^2}\right]}$$

$$3 \cdot SD_{k_{12}} = \sqrt{SD_{\alpha}^2 + SD_{\beta}^2 + SD_{k_{21}}^2 + SD_{k_{10}}^2}$$

$$4 \cdot SD_{C_0} = C_0 \sqrt{4\left(\frac{SD_{A_2}^2}{A_2^2} + \frac{SD_{\beta}^2}{\beta^2}\right) + 2\frac{SD_{\alpha}^2}{\alpha^2} + 5\frac{SD_{k_A}^2}{k_A^2} + \frac{SD_{k_{21}}^2}{k_{21}^2}}$$

ł:		15	Стат обр	аботка							
Лине	иная регрессия										
A1:	16.46854932519	SDA1:	3.050231	CV:	18.52155	C0:	30.20681	SDC0:	39.94868	CV:	132.2506
A2:	17.34228267292	SDA2:	2.438618	CV:	14.06169	K12:	1.658679	SDK12:	3.379295	CV:	203.7341
alfa:	4.718390538666	SDalfa:	1.35358	CV:	28.68733	K21:	2.821613	SDK21:	3.021296	CV:	107.0769
beta:	0.354191497760	SDbeta:	0.08609323	CV:	24.30697	K10:	0.5922903	SDK10:	0.6721715	CV:	113.4868
Ka:	23.26501100471	SDKa:	3.640919	CV:	15.64976	SD:	2.133729				
Нели	нейная регресси	a				_		_		_	
A1:	31.23899593983	SDA1:	1.133671	CV:	3.629027	C0:	35.65954	SDC0:	15.20067	CV:	42.6272
A2:	16.31612538114	SDA2:	0.8724051	CV:	5.346889	K12:	2.515935	SDK12:	1.004843	CV:	39.9391
alfa:	5.555364891643	SDalfa:	0.4384618	CV:	7.892583	K21:	2.672481	SDK21:	0.8692131	CV:	32.5245
beta:	10167497761438	SDbeta:	0.03335458	CV:	9.805339	K10:	0.7071161	SDK10:	0.2466087	CV:	34.8752
Ka:	15.05552397424	SDKa:	0.7843642	CV:	5.20981	SD:	0.3361385				
Мето	д Міх(Л)					_					
A1:	37.35213053454	SDA1:	1.174233	CV:	3.143685	C0:	36.90199	SDC0:	15.66125	CV:	42.4401
A2:	17.34228267292	SDA2:	0.8797977	CV:	5.073137	K12:	3.134931	SDK12:	1.22469	CV:	39.0659
alfa:	6.834952828854	SDalfa:	0.5594177	CV:	8.184661	K21:	3.326445	SDK21:	1.059876	CV:	31.8621
beta:	0.354191497760	SDbeta:	0.03463304	CV:	9.778056	K10:	0.7277685	SDK10:	0.2497628	CV:	34.3189
Ka:	14.69403499086	SDKa:	0.848204	CV:	5.772438	SD:	0.4012698				
Мето	д Mix(H)	_				-		_			
A1:	22.28174304814	SDA1:	2.289667	CV:	10.27598	C0:	32.27953	SDC0:	29.69573	CV:	91.9955
A2:	16.31612538114	SDA2:	1.845457	CV:	11.31063	K12:	1.853088	SDK12:	2.030189	CV:	109.557
alfa:	4.604078048098	SDalfa:	0.8457372	CV:	18.36931	K21:	2.452582	SDK21:	1.777379	cv:	72.4696
beta:	0.340167497761	SDbeta:	0.065492	CV:	19.25287	K10:	0.6385751	SDK10:	0.4929849	cv:	77.2007
Ka:	17.11479149564	SDKa:	1.781992	cv:	10.412	SD:	1.167491				

Fig. 7. Statistical data for the found pharmacokinetic parameters by several numerical methods.

An analytic proof of the existence of three solutions in the solution of the inverse problem for equation (11) and the representation of the algorithm for their finding are given in this paper. The theoretical proof of the nonuniqueness of the solution is confirmed by numerical calculations [9-16].

In the numerical solution of (51) one can find one solution for equation (11). The remaining two solutions can be determined with the help of the solution found.

V. CONCLUSION

After numerous numerical experiments in solving the inverse problem for equation (11), finding some constants through linearization is quite effective in terms of iterative

use

algorithms and in the accuracy of determining the parameters. Consider the case when $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < k_1$. Next, there given an algorithm for finding the constants of equation (11):

- 1. Through linearization we find the values λ_2 , A_2 .
- 2. Knowing the maximum value and using equality $C_{2}(t_{\text{max}}) = 0$ consider form A_1 in

$$A_{1} = (k_{1}A_{2}e_{k_{1}} - \lambda_{2}A_{2}e_{\lambda_{2}})/(\lambda_{1}e_{\lambda_{1}} - k_{1}e_{k_{1}}), \text{ where}$$
$$e_{i} = e^{-it_{\max}}.$$

3. After some simple transformations, we have the following equation depending on two variables k_1 , λ_1 : $C(t) = \frac{A_2(k_1 - \lambda_2 e^{(k_1 - \lambda_2)t})}{\lambda_2 e^{(k_1 - \lambda_1)t} k_1}$

For the numerical implementation of the above algorithm,
there is no complication. Condition
$$\lambda_1 < k_1$$
 reduces the plane
of research twice. To solve the third point, we can use
numerical methods for solving a system of two nonlinear

equations. When solving the inverse problem from experimental data $C_2 - t$ is complicated by the fact that the instability is added to the problem of nonuniqueness of the solution. The problem of incorrectness of the inverse problem, caused by the instability of its solution, is one of the main problems in this field of knowledge. Its severity can be reduced by the continuous improvement of the optimization procedures used and the quality of the experiment. However, completely this problem can not be solved in principle.

In Fig. 7 that the standard deviation is quite satisfactory. Also, it can be seen from Fig. 6 that the concentration curves of the preparation in the peripheral chamber are almost twice as large as the model preset (red curve) by quantitative values. This suggests that we are still far from finding the pharmacokinetic parameters correctly.

As the numerical results show, when exponential functions are used, there can be found a set of pharmacokinetic parameters that very well characterize the given experimental data. It can be seen that the concentration curves of the central chamber describe the data of exact solution taken with an error of 1%, but there is no similarity in the peripheral chamber with model pharmacokinetic parameters. They differ from each other several times. This can definitely indicate that even for simple linear models of pharmacokinetics, there is no single correct algorithm for determining or finding the pharmacokinetic parameters.

REFERENCES

[1] B.A. Urmashev, Zh.R. Ualiev, N.A. Asmanova, On some problems of solving inverse problems of the chemical kinetics of reactions with the formation of intermediate compounds. // 2) Consecutive reactions $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c$ with reversible interaction b↔d // Materials of the 4th international symposium "Combustion and Plasma Chemistry". 12-14 September 2007. P. 278-280.

- [2] B.A. Urmashev, Zh.R. Ualiev, N.A. Asmanova, On some problems of solving inverse problems of the chemical kinetics of reactions with the formation of intermediate compounds. // 3) Conditions for the coincidence of the curves c = f(t) of the component in the systems $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c$ (i) and $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c$, $b \leftrightarrow d$ (ii) // Materials of the 4th international symposium «Combustion and Plasma Chemistry». 12-14 September 2007. P. 281-284.
- [3] S.D. Varfolomeev, K.G. Gurevich, Biokinetics. Faire-Press, -M: 1999, -720s.
- [4] M. P. Adam, Foundations in Pharmacokinetics //Copyright 2013, p.175.
- [5] V.I. Yunkerov, S.G. Grigoriev, Mathematico-statistical processing of medical research data. - SPb .: VMEDA, 2002.-266
- [6] O.Yu. Rebrova, Statistical analysis of medical data. Application of a package of applied programs STATISTICA.-M .: MediaSfera, 2002.- 212 p.
- W.L. Chiou, Critical Evaluation of the Potential Error in [7] Pharmacokinetic Studies of Using the Linear Trapezoidal Rule Method for the Calculation of the Area Under the Plasma Level-Time Curve. // J. Pharmacokin. Biopharm., 1978, 6, №. 6. -P. 539-546.
- [8] I. Gaidyshev, Analysis and data processing: special reference book - St. Petersburg, Peter, 2001.-752 p.
- [9] S. Leon, Yu. Andrew, Applied Biopharmaceutics and pharmacokinetics // p. 47-62, 4-th edition.
- R.D. Danny, Pharmacokinetics [10] L. B. Peter, in Drug Development: Advances and Applications, volume 3. Springer, 2011, p. 78
- [11] D. Z. D'Argenio, A. Schumitzky, X. Wang, Adapt 5 user's guide: Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic systems analysis software. Biomedical Simulations Resource, Los Angeles, 2009.
- [12] V.I. Sergienko, R. Gelliff, I.B. Bondareva, Applied pharmacokinetics: main provisions and clinical application. -M .: RAMS, 2003. -208 p.
- [13] S. A. Hill, Pharmacokinetics of drug infusions. Continuing Education in Anaesthesia, Critical Care & Pain, 4(3):76-80, 2004.
- [14] K.C. Yeah, K.C. Kwan, A Comparison of Numerical Integrating Algorithms by Trapezoidal, Lagrange, and Spline Approximation.// J. Pharmacokin. Biopharm., 1978, 6, №1, -P.79-98.
- [15] S.I. Kabanikhin, D.A.Voronov, O.I. Krıvorotko, A.A. Grozd, Identifitsirýemost dinamicheskoi sistem na primere modelei farmakokinetiki i immýnologii// Sibirskie elektronnye matematicheskie izvestiia, Tom 12, dekabr, Novosibirsk 2015 g. - S.182-188.
- [16] S.I. Kabanikhin, O.I. Krivorotko, Identification of biological models described by systems of nonlinear differential equations // Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, Vol. 23, Iss. 5, 2015. - P. 519-527. DOI: 10.1515/jiip-2015-0072.