

MATERIAŁY

a hard the term of the transferration

a mar addition of the

IX MIĘDZYNARODOWEJ NAUKOWI-PRAKTYCZNEJ KONFERENCJI

«WYKSZTAŁCENIE I NAUKA BEZ GRANIC-2013»

07 - 15 grudnia 2013 roku

Volume 28 Filologiczne nauki

> Przemyśl Nauka i studia 2013

Materialy IX Międzynarodowej naukowi-praktycznej konferencji

r this model is «continuous, unpredictable and despotic intervention» of the person issessing the higher authority, to different spheres of public life and public adminiration.(Naturally, such person not necessarily has to be called as the sultan it is the ily historical hint connected with the Ottoman Empire).[4, 23].

Here are some other definitions which were given by different encyclopedias d authors related to their articles.

•Sultanism, another name for Despotism, is a form of authoritarian government aracterized by the extreme personal presence of the ruler in all elements of governce. The ruler may or may not be present in economic or social life, and thus there is be pluralism in these areas, but this is never true of political power [5]

•The term Sultanism is derived from the word Sultan, which is a title used in uslim societies for a sovereign or absolute monarchy. The Sultan was traditionally secular office, unlike the Caliphate, and thus the term Sultanism should not be emed anti-Islamic. Some Sultans were constitutional monarchs [5]

So, when handling words or expressions containing cultural conflict or connotae meaning, remedy methods such as footnotes or necessary description can be emyed so as to succeed in conveying cultural connotation.

Roughly, there are two translating approaches to cultural conflicts: domestican and foreignization. The school of domestication, represented by Eugene A. Nida, target-language-oriented. Scholars of this school advocate smooth and transparent tsions by domesticating foreign cultures so that the target readers can understand im casily. The school of foreignization, represented by Venuti, is source-languageented. Scholars of this school hold that the things unique to foreign cultures should reserved so as to introduce new expressions into the target language and enrich it e disputes between the two schools have been going on and on and neither side ims to convince the other.

Which strategy is better, domestication or foreignization? There is no certain aner. It is decided upon by varieties of factors whether to choose domesticating or eignizing strategy, namely, the intention of the translator, textual function, sociotural environment, historical limitations, the translator's style, etc. The decisionking process of translation is conditional rather than unconditional.

The cultural view on translation provides a new perspective for translation studies, ere are many disputable issues, including the one between domestication and foreigation. Once researching them from the perspective of culture, scholars of the translan curcle find that many of these problems seem solvable. That is, whatever the strategy so long as it can help cultural communication, it has its necessity to exist. This is ybe one of the recommendable aspects of the cultural view on translation.

Though the cultural view on translation has its advantages in solving tough iblems and it may well help translation studies to develop at a deeper level and in a re scientific direction, it has its own limitations. It is just one perspective of transion studies. Only when scholars engaged in translation combine it with the devel-

«Wykształcenie i nauka bez granic - 2013» • Volume 28. Filologiczne nauki

opment of other related disciplines, can they make translation studies an independen and more scientific discipline.

Last but not least, studying translation from the perspective of cultural communication is just one of the many ways of translation studies. It is not so almight that it car resolve every problem concerning translation studies. It is closely related to the othe ways of translation studies. Without the development of related disciplines, the construction and development of cross-cultural translation will be fruitless. So, the cultural view on translation is not opposite but supplementary to the other views on translation.

References

 Nida, E. A. & Charles, R. T. (1969/1982) The Theory and Practice of Translation, Leiden: E. J. Brill.

2. Catford, J. C. (1965.) A Linguistic Theory of Translation. London: Oxford University Press.

Dautova G.R

Казахский национальный универсатет имени аль-Фараби, Казахстан

THE HISTORY OF WRITING AND TRANSLATION OF ABAI'S POEM «ISKANDER»

The image of Iskander that was created by Abai absolutely differs from Iskander who was rhapsodized by Pirdausi, Nizami, Amir Hosrau, Jami, Navoiy, etc. Oriental classics glorified Iskander entirely and presented him as a protector of people, but Abai describes him as a robber who made a lot of people cry bitterly and conquered them According to the words of some researchers, the plot of the poem «Iskander» firstly was taken from Talmud by Frenchman Adelbert von Chamisso who was a well-known manof-letters of the German romance. V.A. Joukovski translated it from Chamisso in 1844 [1]. The act of killing by Alexander the Great was depicted here. Abai was astonished by this plot too. There is a difference in Abai's plot. He added in his poem Iskander's name his father, the place where he lived and his empire, and his adviser Aristotle.

First of all Abai asks his reader about Iskander whether he knows something about him and then commences to inform his reader of him. It may be Abai's methoc with a purpose to pay the attention and desire of his readers and listeners to the narrative in his poem. It is known that there were more listeners than readers in Abai's era. That is why he chose his protagonist's name Iskander as it is heard by the cars and said by the mouth many times than Alexander which is not expected by listeners. After having turned people's attention to his hero's name, poet writes abou Iskander's living in Macedonia, being a son of Tsar Philip, and his being greedy.