Actual problems of criminal law, criminal procedure and criminalistics

Materials of scientific conference

Volume II

«East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH

Vienna 2017

Actual problems of criminal law, Criminal procedure and criminalistics

Materials of scientific conference

ISBN 978-3-903115-05-7

Editorial office

European Science Review

"East West" Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH, Am

Gestade 1

1010 Vienna, Austria

Email:

info@ew-a.org

Homepage:

www.ew-a.org

This collection contains materials of scientific conference "Actual problems of criminal law, criminal procedure and criminalistics". The works are aimed at addressing problems such as the modern criminal policy, combating transnational organized crime and corruption, the development of criminal procedure legislation, to ensure the effective investigation of crimes.

Instructions for authors

Full instructions for manuscript preparation and submission can be found through the "East West" Association GmbH homepage at: http://www.ew-a.org.

Material disclaimer

The opinions expressed in the conference proceedings do not necessarily reflect those of the «East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH, the editor, the editorial board, or the organization to which the authors are affiliated.

© «East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH

All rights reserved; no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Publisher.

Typeset in Berling by Ziegler Buchdruckerei, Linz, Austria.

Printed by «East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH, Vienna, Austria on acid-free paper.

G.M. Atachanova the subject of fraud and its subjective	110
G.M. Atachanova, Sovetkhan SH Some moments in a criminal law in distinguishing the	114
fraud from similar crimes	
G.M. Atachanova, SH Sovetkhan Notion of the fraud in a modern criminal law	119
G.M. Atachanova, U.Nurgazieva Committing theft of property by fraud for several	124
times	
G.M. Atachanova, S.Darkhan The object of the fraud and its aspects of objective signs	127
Bazilova A.A., Bisengali L theoretical aspects of the development of self-defense	131
institute Prince to the control of t	
Bazilova A.A., Bisengali L the criminal policy of the state in the theory of criminal law	138
Bazilova A.A., Bisengali L Exceeding the limits of necessary defense by the legislation	145
of the Republic of Kazakhstan	
Satybaldinov D.D. Forensic technique of crime related to murder	149
Satybaldinov D.D. Forensic Photography	152
Satybaldinov D.D. Modern opportunities in identifying a person	155
Satybaldinov D.D. Forensic technique in ballistics	158
Shopabayev B. A.criminal and legal and criminological measures to combat crime of	161
migrants	
Shopabayev B. A. Expert mistakes, arising at the research of proofs	166
Sharipova A. B. Controversy in the examination of the evidence in the proceedings	170
Sharipova A. B. The role of the court in adversarial criminal proceedings	172
Mukhamadyeva G. N., Zhaksylyk M. Guidelines for Investigation criminal offenses for	174
the legalization (laundering) money and (or) other property, obtained by criminal means	1/4
in the Republic of Kazakhstan	
Mukhamadyeva G. N., Umbetalin H Principles of criminal procedure and their	177
importance	
Mukhamadyeva G. N., Zakirova M. Essence and significance of jurisdiction in criminal	181
appeals process	
Bysenova M.K High Treason in Republic of Kazakhstan	184
Bysenova M.K Unlimited moratorium on the execution of the verdict on the death	186
penalty in Kazakhstan	
Bysenova M.K Corpus delicti.	188
Bysenova M.K. The international system of copyright protection in the Republic of	191
Kazakhstan.	
Tlegenov A. E. The measures applied to safety of persons in criminal procedure	195
Kan A.G. The investigative judge in criminal procedure of kazakhstan	198
Kan A.G. criminous adaptation as type a of counteraction to crimes investigation	201
Bersugurova L. S., Tabyldiev K. Questions the powers of the investigating judge to	205
ensure the rights and freedoms of persons in the pre-trial stage.	203
Bersugurova L. Sh., Tabyldiev K. The legal status of the procedural prosecutor at the	208
stage of pre-trial proceedings.	200
Bersugurova L. Sh., Dyussembayeva A.S. The constitutional right to judicial protection	211
as a principle of criminal process of the Republic of Kazakhstan	211
Porgramman I Cl. D. I A C TO 1	214
criminal cases	~
R.U.Akhmetov, A.M. Yergali Organizational support the criminal policy of information	218
technologies in Kazakhstan	~10
Baysalov A.D. Importance of international partnership in prevention of international	221
crime	1
Baysalov A.D. Classification features of linguistic expertise and her tasks	224
221	44

Shopabayev B. A.
Senior teacher
of department of penal law, criminal procedure
and criminalistics
of Al-Farabi Kazakh National University,
Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan

Expert mistakes, arising at the research of proofs

In this article, the questions of expert mistakes arising at a research of objects of examination, and the correct observance of expert techniques are considered. In the course of appointment and conducting judicial examination mistakes both are made by the investigator (court) at purpose of examination, and the expert (experts) by its production. Assessment of the expert opinion allows establishing mistakes of the investigator and the expert.

Keywords: expert mistakes, activity of the expert, expert opinion, internal belief of the expert.

Defining an expert mistake, practically all authors accent on abnormality of judgment of the court expert. A bit different definition was offered to Granovsky G. L.: "The mistake of the expert can be considered his conclusions (the main or intermediate) untrue and also abnormalities in the actions or reasonings reflecting process of an expert research - in representations, judgments, concepts" [1, page 2]. Dealing with this problem Belkin R. S. wrote that "in a general view the expert mistake can be defined how the expert inappropriate to objective reality of judgment or his action, not leading to the purpose of an expert research if the distorted judgment, and incorrect actions represent result of a conscientious delusion" [2, page 335-336].

Criminalist Klimenko N. I. limited expert mistakes from other terms to signs of importance and typicalness and defined them "shortcomings of an expert research inadvertent character which entailed making the wrong conclusion" [3, page 36]. Definition of an expert mistake as shortcoming, in our opinion, does not allow to open fully the nature of this phenomenon, to understand its structure. So, in the explanatory dictionary of Dahl V. I. the shortcoming is interpreted as "an error, a mistake ..." [4, page 515]. Proceeding from it it is represented that for the correct interpretation as in general mistakes, and an expert mistake it would not be absolutely right to use the synonymous concept which is not allowing to catch specifics of the studied definition.

In the dictionary of Russian "mistake" is defined in the general semantic meaning without

any specification as "abnormality in actions, thoughts" [5, page 430].

It should be noted that determination of content of the term "mistake" is developed not only by jurisprudence, but also such branches of knowledge as philosophy, logic, medicine, mathematics, etc. All scientific approaches to the analysis of a phenomenon of a mistake, development of the structural and substantial party of the basic term acquired by various branches of knowledge, certainly, are important help when determining the legal nature of the concept "mistake".

Activity of the expert, as well as any other, is not insured from mistakes. Expert mistakes are non-uniform and can be divided into three classes: 1) errors of procedural character; 2) gnoseological mistakes; 3) activity mistakes [6, page 213].

1. Errors of procedural character consist in violation by the expert of the procedural mode and the procedure of production of examination: a) the expert's exit out of limits of the competence; b) expression of an expert initiative in the forms which are not provided by the law; c) non-compliance on ignorance of procedural requirements to the expert opinion, including absence in the conclusion of requisites, necessary on the law, justification of conclusions not results of a research, but case papers, etc. For example, by production of judicial fire investigation the state court expert received directly from the defendant the electrical protection device, allegedly from the place of the fire, made its research and gave a categorical conclusion in favor of the defendant that the device of