

Proceedings of the XIIth Conference of the
European Society for Central Asian Studies
Central Asia: A Maturing Field



Proceedings of the XIIth Conference of the European Society for Central Asian Studies Central Asia: A Maturing Field

Editors:

A.Morrison

Nazarbayev University

Kazakhstan

S.S.Saxena

University of Cambridge

UK

Cambridge Scientific Publishers

© 2015 Cambridge Scientific Publishers

Typeset by Randesign UK

Printed and bound by CMP (uk) Limited | www.cmp-uk.com

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publisher.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book has been requested

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record has been requested

ISBN 978-1-908106-42-1 (Hardback)

ISBN 978-1-908106-52-0 (Paperback)

Cambridge Scientific Publishers
45 Margett Street
Cottenham
Cambridge CB24 8QY
UK

www.cambridgescientificpublishers.com

In Memoriam C. E. Bosworth (1928 - 2015)





Contents

Preface *ix*

Acknowledgements

Introduction *xiii*

Historical Papers

1. Sport has become the privilege of the millions:

Physical culture in Uzbekistan 1924-1991

Sevret Hylton Akyildiz

2. Re-imagined identities: Depicting Central Asia

Aliya Abykayeva-Tiesenhausen

3. “*Kūshk*” - Stronghold of Afghanistan and Central Asia (During the Medieval Period)

Ghanshyam Lal Devra

4. The Legend of Oghuz-Khan as a historical source of the Kirghiz language

Gulzura Jumakunova

5. Religious practices of the Kazakhs in the early twentieth century: New sources and interpretation

Svetlana Kovalskaya

6. Rock Art and Landscape: The sensual terrain of petroglyph Imagery within the Eshkiol'mes mountains of Kazakhstan

Kenneth Lymer

7. The Eastern Sasanian site of Bandyan

Parisa A.Moghadam

8. The anthropology of “Nomad Liberty” and the political structure of Kyrgyzstan

Indira Musaeva

9. Architectural Palimpsest in the Four-iwan Kosh across Central Asia

Elena Paskaleva

10. Sufi dress in Herat miniatures of the late Timurid period

Zuhra Rahimova

11. The Soviet Union versus the Fast of Ramadan

Yaacov Ro'i

12. The interface between Islam and Hinduism in the modern Arts of Central Asia: The case of Tajikistan

Munira Shahidi

13. Kazakh perceptions of Tatar officials in the Russian (Imperial) Administration in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries

Gulmira Sultangalieva

14. *Inshā* collections in Uzbekistan: A comparative analysis

Gulchekhra Sultonova

15. The problem of the Manichaean elements in the Qutadgu Bilik

Kenzhe Torlanbayeva

16. The December “Snowstorm” of 1986: A plot or a revolt?

Tolganay Umbetalieva

Contemporary Papers

17. International aid and education in Afghanistan, 2002-2012

Yahia Baiza

18. Ancestral cult in contemporary Buryat Shamanism: Ritual and urban practices

I.R. Garri, E.M. Tsydenov



13. Kazakh perceptions of Tatar officials in the Russian [Imperial] administration in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries

Gulmira Sultangalieva

Introduction

From the first half of the eighteenth until the middle of the nineteenth century, Tatars of the Volga-Ural region played an important role in the political, economic, and cultural integration of the Kazakh Steppe into the Russian Empire. They served as translators and interpreters in the negotiations between the Russian authorities and Kazakh elites, settled conflicts that arose between border residents and Kazakhs, served as official clerks for Kazakh khans and sultans, and were invited by influential Kazakh leaders to serve in their districts as spiritual leaders (mullahs) and teachers. Furthermore, Tatars were actively involved in the process of drawing Kazakhs into regional, in particular Volga-Ural, Western Siberian, and Turkestan, and subsequently, all-Russian trading spaces.

The role of the Tatars as intermediaries in the Russian empire has been discussed by the historians such as A. Vasil'ev (1898), A. Frank (1993; 1998; 2001), V. Galiev (1994), G. Sultangalieva (2000, 2012), A. Remnev (2006, 5-32) P. Werth (2002, 2012), and M. Hamamoto (2012). However, the view of Kazakhs themselves on the activity of Tatar officials has been overlooked. We need to explore this issue in greater detail in order to better understand and reconstruct the history of events in the Steppe. In the second half of the eighteenth and the first half of the nineteenth centuries, the Kazakh population formed their ideas about the Russian Empire and Russian legislation, their attitudes toward this new government, and their understanding of social reality through their interactions with Tatars. But how did Kazakh elites and regular nomads perceive the activities of these Tatar officials?

The way in which peoples perceive those from different economic, cultural, and linguistic systems has been thoroughly investigated in the historical literature. Researchers have used concepts such as “Other,” “Different,” or “Stranger” to discuss the systematic images, stereotypes, and perceptions one group develops about another (Erofeev 1982; Golubev 2000; Sukhikh 2007). My question, however, is as follows: How are these paradigms applicable to a case in which ethnic and religious difference are not the dominant factors in one group's perception of the other?