Proceedings of the Thirtieth Western Conference on Linguistics

Volume twenty-three WECOL 2018

Edited by Trevor Driscoll

Held at: California State University, Fresno November 30 – December 2, 2018

Department of Linguistics California State University, Fresno Copyright © 2019 by the Department of Linguistics California State University, Fresno

SLA Metaphor Development Copyright © 2019 by Maisarah M. Almirabi

The Semantic Feature of [Shape] in the Bamunka Noun Copyright © 2019 by Ciara Anderson

Double-obviatives and Direction-marking in Kutenai Copyright © 2019 by Irene Appelbaum

Pathways of Change in Romance Motion Events: A Corpus-based Comparison Copyright © 2019 by Catherine Arnett

Linguistic and Cognitive Temporal Representations in Traditional Negev Arabic Copyright © 2019 by Letizia Cerqueglini

Alliteration and Rhyming in Mandarin Onomatopoeia Copyright © 2019 by Yi Jen Chen

A Factorial Typology of Codas in the Prosodic Hierarchy Copyright © 2019 by Trevor Driscoll

A Method for Depicting Vowel Sounds in Three Dimensions Copyright © 2019 by Grandon Goertz and Terese Anderson

Cross-linguistic Patterns in the Argument Structure of Posture Verbs in English and Spanish Copyright © 2019 by Diana Gómez Vázquez

Taming Free Merge Further – Sub-extraction and its Kin Copyright © 2019 by Nobu Goto and Andreas Blümel

The Syntax of Sentential Negation in Standard Arabic and Jazani Arabic Copyright © 2019 by Eman Hadadi Serial Tonal Derivations in Southern Taiwanese Diminutive Structure Copyright © 2019 by Yuchau E. Hsiao

Psych Adjectives and Their Cross-categorial Behaviors Copyright © 2019 by Yang Liu

Some Linguistic Features in Green Hmong, White Hmong, and Hmong Leng Copyright © 2019 by Chô Ly

Optional Raising and Labeling in ECM Copyright © 2019 by Manabu Mizuguchi

An Analysis of Deadjectival Nominalization: A DMtheoretic View Copyright © 2019 by Junya Morita

Labeling Algorithm, Agreement, and Pro-form no in Japanese Copyright © 2019 by Masao Ochi

Constructing Naturalistic Artificial Languages for Language Acquisition Research Copyright © 2019 by Mariel L. Schroeder

The Use of Word Order and Determiners to Mark Information Structure in Adult and Child Saudi Arabic Copyright © 2019 by Mashael Semsem and Jidong Chen

Bilingual Kazakh Children's Code Switching Copyright © 2019 by Asel Shayakhmet

Pronouns and Logophoricity in South Saami Copyright © 2019 by Mikael Vinka

Domain of Suppletion: From Japanese Numeral Copyright © 2019 by Yusuke Yoda

Contents

SLA Metaphor Development	1
Maisarah M. Almirabi	
The Semantic Feature of [Shape] in the Bamunka Noun	8
Ciara Anderson	
Double-Obviatives and Direction-Marking in Kutenai	18
Irene Appelbaum	
Pathways of Change in Romance Motion Events: A Corpus-Based Comparison	
Linguistic and Cognitive Temporal Representations in Traditional Negev Arabic	35
Alliteration and Rhyming in Mandarin Onomatopoeia	41
Yi Jen Chen	
A Factorial Typology of Codas in the Prosodic Hierarchy	48
A Method for Depicting Vowel Sounds in Three Dimensions	57
Grandon Goertz and Terese Anderson	
Cross-linguistic Patterns in the Argument Structure of Posture Verbs in English and Spanish Diana Gómez Vázquez	70
Taming Free Merge Further – Sub-Extraction and its Kin	82
Nobu Goto and Andreas Blümel	
The Syntax of Sentential Negation in Standard Arabic and Jazani Arabic	94
Serial Tonal Derivations in Southern Taiwanese Diminutive Structure	105
Psych Adjectives and Their Cross-categorial Behaviors	113
Some Linguistic Features in Green Hmong, White Hmong, and Hmong Leng	118
Optional Raising and Labeling in ECM	129
Manabu Mizuguchi	
An Analysis of Deadjectival Nominalization: A DM-theoretic View	141
Labeling Algorithm, Agreement, and Pro-form <i>no</i> in Japanese	151
Constructing Naturalistic Artificial Languages for Language Acquisition Research	162
The Use of Word Order and Determiners to Mark Information Structure in Adult and Child Saudi Arabic Mashael Semsem and Jidong Chen	173
Bilingual Kazakh Children's Code Switching Asel Shayakhmet	179
Pronouns and Logophoricity in South Saami	184
Domain of Suppletion: From Japanese Numeral	196

Bilingual Kazakh Children's Code Switching

Asel Shayakhmet

Al-Farabi Kazakh National University

1 Introduction

Kazakhstan is a post-Soviet country comprising more than 100 cultural and language groups, where Kazakh-Russian bilingualism is widespread across its whole territory. The Constitution of the Republic of Kazakhstan designated the Russian language as an 'international' language; it functions in the society simultaneously with the official Kazakh language and serves as a lingua franca. Due to decades of russification and keeping the Kazakh language far away from science and other crucial fields of functioning during the Soviet time, Kazakhstan has had a problem of development and the actual use of Kazakh language, although now it is not as acute as before.

It is very common that Kazakh children have knowledge and competence in two languages, in spite of the fact that Kazakh and Russian are neither typologically, nor genealogically related languages. Kazakh has agglutinative structure, whereas Russian has inflected structure; Kazakh is one of more than forty Turkic languages in Altai family of languages, whereas Russian belongs to the eastern Slavonic branch of the Indo-European family of languages. However, social factors require Kazakh children to grow up bilingually, switching between the two most important languages for the population.

2 Overview

We recorded the oral speech of bilingual Kazakh children who were from nine to ten years old at the time of data collection. The informants were selected on the basis of bilingual criteria. Children were asked to describe a picture book, i.e. a story without words, about a little boy (the famous children's picture book "Frog, Where Are You?" by Mercer Mayer).

The bilingual children were attending the fourth grade of a school-lyceum in Almaty, Kazakhstan, which has both Kazakh and Russian classes. Based on the language of their education, we instructed children either in Kazakh (if a student was from the class taught in Kazakh) or Russian (if a student was enrolled in a Russian-speaking class) to describe the pictures and narrate a coherent story using the language which was more convenient for them.

During the child's description of the pictures, the researcher tried not to interfere in his/her story, giving him/her a free choice of the linguistic means of both languages possessed by the young bilingual narrator. The sample of bilingual informants contains both boys and girls. The data were collected in several separate sessions, taped down, and then transcribed using the CHAT transcription system (MacWhinney, 2000).

3 Framework

In bilingual acquisition processing the use of languages is considered to be a capability to handle two different language codes, and many studies have shown that code switching often either opposes or accompanies code mixing. According to linguistic literature, these two terms may interact and complement each other rather than oppose (O'Grady, Archibald, Rees-Miller, 2005), or sometimes code mixing is considered to be a certain type of code switching (Spolsky, 2008).

It is believed that code mixing happens during many stages of child's bilingual acquisition when phonological, lexical, morphological, etc. elements of two languages might happen to be parts of the same linguistic unit, e.g. a phrase, a sentence, or even a word (Comeau, Genesee, & Lapaquette, 2003). Code switching routinely refers to strategically motivated bilingual activity and involves communicator's pragmatic intentions (Reyes, 2004). Inferring from the definitions, we use terms of code mixing as if it is referred to a relatively unmotivated bilingual transition from one language to another during the process of

© 2019 Asel Shayakhmet Proceedings of *WECOL 2018* *CHI: oda ademi tosek bolghan [=kz] *CHI: # potom [=ru] bala uqtady [=kz] *CHI: potom ubejal [*] *CHI: potom sobachka predupredil [*] mal'chika *CHI: a ma- # a mal'chik byl rasstroen {A boy had a dog, a frog (ru). He had a nice bed (kz) ... Then (ru) the boy got asleep (kz). Then the frog came out from the jar, then got away. Then the dog warned the boy, but bo- ... but boy was upset}

5 Conclusion

The issues on Kazakh-Russian speaking bilingual children discussed above require further study and analysis, especially, we need to focus on quantitative data which is going to be the point to show the bigger picture. In this writing, we tried to draw attention to some results, such as second language interference in implementation of narratives by bilingual children, which involves the factor of language dominance. Predictably, the important role plays input; in our case, parents, teachers, any other interlocutors, i.e. Kazakh adults, who do code switching and code mixing, have some impact on the bilingual children's speech. In the process of narrating, some children were trying to simplify their approach, choosing the so-called 'language economy principle'. As a result of that, they could use declension or conjugation of one language for the words from another language. Indubitably, lacunae in non-dominant language vocabulary play their role in code switching, but at the same time, it is hard to overestimate young narrator's strategy implementation of both languages within bilingual child's competence. Depending on the real discourse, Kazakh-Russian bilingual children used metacommunicative and demonstrating types of pragmatic code switching, and relief code switching functioned as an indicator of the different type of children's code switching. Three conventional groups of children, i.e. with dominant Kazakh, with dominant Russian, and with relatively balanced Kazakh and Russian, respectively were distinguished. Kazakh bilingual children's code switching demonstrates that they use linguistic resources of both languages available to them to make their narrations explicit for interlocutors.

References

- Anjomshoa, Leila, Sadighi, Firooz. (2015). Minimalism, Economy, Simplicity, and Children Language Acquisition. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 192, 142-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.06.021
- Comeau, Liane, Genesee, Fred, and Lapaquette Lindsay. (2003). The Modelling Hypothesis and child bilingual codemixing. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, 7(2), 113-126. https://doi.org/10.1177/13670069030070020101
- Genesee, Fred, Boivin, Isabelle, & Nicoladis, Elena. (1996). Talking with strangers: A study of bilingual children's communicative competence. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 17(4), 427-442. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0142716400008183
- Genesee, Fred, Nicoladis, Elena, & Paradis, Johanne. (1995). Language differentiation in early bilingual development. Journal of Child Language, 22(3), 611-631. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000900009971
- MacWhinney, Brian. (2000). *The CHILDES Project: Tools for Analyzing Talk*. 3rd Edition. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. https://doi.org/10.21415/3mhn-0z89
- Mayer, Mercer. (1969). Frog, where are you? New York, NY.
- O'Grady, William, Archibald, John, Aronoff, Mark, & Rees-Miller, Janie. (2005). Contemporary Linguistics. Bedford/St. Martin's, 523-524.
- Reyes, Iliana. (2004). Functions of code switching in schoolchildren's conversations. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 28(1), 77-98. https://doi.org/10.1080/15235882.2004.10162613

Spolsky, Bernard. (2008). Sociolinguistics. Oxford University Press, 49-50.