Alexandr Kononov, Igor Bykadorov, Oleg Khamisov, Ivan Davydov, Polina Kononova (Eds.)



DOOR 2016

Supplementary Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Discrete Optimization and Operations Research and Scientific School

September 2016, Vladivostok, Russia

The proceedings are published online on the CEUR-Workshop web site in a series with ISSN 1613-0073. Vol-1623

Copyright 2016 for the individual papers by the papers' authors. Copying permitted only for private and academic purposes. This volume is published and copyrighted by its editors.

Preface

This supplementary volume contains the proceedings of the 9th conference on Discrete Optimization and Operations Research and scientific school on Modern Optimization and Equilibrium, held in Vladivostok, Russia, during September 19 - 23, 2016. It was organized by the Far Eastern Federal University, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Krasovsky Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Novosibirsk State University, and the Higher School of Economics in Nizhny Novgorod.

Previous conferences took place at the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, in 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, and 2004. The 6th conference was held in the Russian Far East in a picturesque on the shore of the Japanese Sea near Vladivostok in 2007. The 7th one, in 2010, was held in the Mountain Altay. The 8th event took place in Novosibirsk again.

This event is a part of series of regular international conferences on optimization and operations research that covers a wide range of topics in mathematical programming and its applications, integer programming and polyhedral combinatorics, bi-level programming and multi-criteria optimization, optimization problems in machine learning and data mining, discrete optimization in scheduling, routing, bin packing, locations, and optimization problems on graphs, computational complexity, and polynomial time approximation. The main purpose of the conference and scientific school is to provide a forum where scientists and young researchers can exchange ideas, identify promising directions for research and application domains, and foster new collaborations.

In response to the call for papers, we received 181 submissions. Papers included in this volume were carefully selected by the Program Committee on the basis of reports from two or more reviewers from 17 countries such as Belarus, Belgium, France, Germany, India, Israel, Italy, Kazakhstan, Netherlands, Russian Federation, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, Turkey, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States. Only 82 submissions were selected for inclusion in this volume. The conference also featured ten invited talks by the following eminent speakers:

- Vladimir Mazalov from the Institute of Applied Mathematical Research of the Karelian Research Centre RAS, Russia; Title of the talk: *Behavioral equilibrium in transportation networks*;
- Evripidis Bampis from the Université Pierre et Marie Curie, France; Title of the talk: Algorithmic issues in energy-efficient computation.
- Vitaly Strusevich from the University of Greenwich, Old Royal Naval College, United Kingdom; Title of the talk: Handling scheduling problems with controllable parameters by methods of submodular optimization.
- Fedor Fomin from the University of Bergen, Norway; Title of the talk: Modern trends in parameterized algorithms
- Panos Pardalos from the University of Florida, USA; Title of the talk: A new information theory perspective on network robustness
- Jun Pei from School of Management, Hefei University of Technology, China; Title of the talk: *Coordinated scheduling of deteriorating jobs in a two-stage supply chain.*
- Yair Censor from the University of Haifa, Israel; Title of the talk: Linear and nonlinear superiorization: A methodology between feasibility-seeking and optimization.

- Athanasios Migdalas from the Lulea University of Technology, Sweden; Title of the talk: Location modeling in the presence of firm and customer competition.
- Vadim Shmyrev from the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia; Title of the talk: Iterative approach for piecewise linear exchange model;
- Alexandr Kononov from the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia; Title of the talk: Short survey on minimization graph correlation clustering;

and eight tutorials:

- Adil Erzin from the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia; Title of the talk: Computational geometry and combinatorial optimization problems in the context of wireless sensor networks optimization;
- Alexandr Kononov from the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia; Title of the talk: How to design approximation schemes for intractable optimization problems;
- Yury Kochetov from the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia; Title of the talk: Discrete Location Problems;
- Nenad Mladenovic from the University of Valenciennes, France; Title of the talk: Developing variable neighborhood and formulation space search procedures;
- Michael Khachay from the Krasovsky Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Russia; Title of the talk: Effective algorithms for some actual generalizations of geometrical traveling salesman problems;
- Oleg Khamisov from the Melentiev Institute of Energy Systems, Russia; Title of the talk: Modeling of the energy markets with network restrictions;
- Michael Batsyn from the National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia; Title of the talk: Optimization problems in the transportation logistics;
- Alexandr Strekalovsky from the Matrosov Institute for System Dynamics and Control Theory, Russia; Title of the talk: Theory and methods of nonlinear optimization.

We thank all Program Committee members and external reviewers for their cooperation. We also thank the Organizing Committee members and our sponsors: the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, the Far Eastern Federal University, Novosibirsk State University, the Laboratory of Algorithms and Technologies for Networks Analysis, the Higher School of Economics in Nizhny Novgorod for supporting our project.

September 2016

Alexandr Kononov, Igor Bykadorov, Oleg Khamisov, Ivan Davydov, Polina Kononova

Organizations

Far Eastern Federal University Sobolev Institute of Mathematics Novosibirsk State University Higher School of Economics, Nizhny Novgorod Krasovsky Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics

Program Chairs

Evgeni Nurminski	Far Eastern Federal University, Russia
Vladimir Beresnev	Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia
Panos Pardalos	University of Florida, USA

Program Committee

Ekaterina Alekseeva
Edilkhan Amirgaliev
Oleg Burdakov
Igor Bykadorov
Emilio Carrizosa
Yair Censor
Ivan Davydov
Vladimir Deineko
Stofan Domno
Anten Enempe
Anton Eremeev
Adıl Erzin
Yury Evtushenko
Edward Gimadi
Alexandr Grigoriev
Florian Jaehn
Josef Kallrath
Valery Kalyagin
Alexander Kelmanov
Michael Khachay
*

Oleg Khamisov Andrey Kibzun Yury Kochetov Alexander Kolokolov Alexander Kononov Mikhail Kovalev Nikolay Kuzyurin

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Suleyman Demirel University, Kazakhstan Linköping University, Sweden Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Universidad de Sevilla, Spain University of Haifa, Israel Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia The University of Warwick, UK TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Germany Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Dorodnicyn Computing Centre, Russia Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Maastricht University, The Netherlands Universität Augsburg, Germany TU Darmstadt, Germany Higher School of Economics, Russia Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Krasovsky Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Russia Melentiev Energy Systems Institute, Russia Moscow Aviation Institute, Russia Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia Belarusian State University, Belarus Institute for System Programming, Russia

Bertrand Lin	National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan
Bertrand Mareschal	Universite Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
Athanasios Migdalas	Luleå University of Technology, Sweden
Nenad Mladenovic	University of Valenciennes, France
Urfat Nuriyev	Ege University, Turkey
Alexandr Plyasunov	Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia
Artem Pyatkin	Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia
Soumyendu Raha	Indian Institute of Science, India
Konstantin Rudakov	Dorodnicyn Computing Centre, Russia
Yaroslav Sergeev	Università della Calabria, Italy
Sergey Sevastianov	Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia
Vadim Shmyrev	Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia
Petro Stetsuk	Institute of Cybernetics, Ukraina
Alexander Strekalovsky	Matrosov Institute for System Dynamics and
	Control Theory, Russia
Maxim Sviridenko	Yahoo, USA
El-Ghazali Talbi	University of Lille, CNRS, INRIA, France
Yury Zhuravlev	Dorodnicyn Computing Centre, Russia

Organizing Committee

Natalia Shamry	Far Eastern Federal University, Russia
Yury Kochetov	Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia
Mikhail Khachay	Krasovsky Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Russia
Timur Medvedev	Higher School of Economics, Russia
Evgeniya Vorontsova	Far Eastern Federal University, Russia
Nina Kochetova	Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia
Polina Kononova	Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russia
Andrey Velichko	Far Eastern Federal University, Russia

Table of Contents

Discrete Optimization

A Two-Pass Algorithm for Unordered Colored Bin Packing Ananya Christman, Hamza Alsarhan, Davin Chia, Shannia Fu and Yanfeng Jin	1
On Algorithm for the Minimum Spanning Trees Problem with Diameter Bounded Below Edward Kh. Gimadi, Alexey Istomin and Ekaterina Shin	11
Probabilistic Analysis of an Algorithm for the Uncapacitated Facility Location Problem on Unbounded Above Random Input Data Edward Kh. Gimadi, Anna A. Kurochkina and Elena A. Nagornaya	18
Bilevel Programming Problem with Quantile Follower's Objective Function Sergey Ivanov and Vera Korbulakova	28
Robust Identification of Subgraphs in a Complete Weighted Graph Associated with a Set of Random Variables Valeriy Kalyagin, Alexander Koldanov and Petr Koldanov	35
Approximation Algorithms for Generalized TSP in Grid Clusters Michael Khachay and Katherine Neznakhina	39
Discrete Optimization Models for Solving Complex Products Design Problems Alexander Kolokolov, Alexandra Artemova, Alexander Adelshin and Irina Kan	49
On the <i>m</i> -clustering Problem on the Line Anna Kurochkina and Alexander Kurochkin	57
AOE-Trails Constructing for a Plane Connected 4-Regular Graph Tatyana Makarovskikh and Anatoly Panyukov	62
Binary Cut-and- Branch Method for Solving Linear Programming Problems with Boolean Variables	72
$P_q\text{-}\textsc{K\"onig}$ Extended Forests and Cycles $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	86
Reduction of the Graph Isomorphism Problem to Equality Checking of <i>n</i> -variables Polynomials and the Algorithms that Use the Reduction	96
Separation Problem for k-parashuties Inna Urazova and Ruslan Simanchev	109

About Local Optimum of the Weber Problem on Line with Forbidden Gaps 115 Gennady Zabudsky and Natalia Veremchuk

Mathematical Programming

Optimization and Discretization in 2D Problems of Electromagnetic Invisible Cloaking	125
Predictive Model's Development Based on the Wavelet Analysis Technique Natalia Bakhtadze, Valery E. Pyatetsky and Ekaterina Sakrutina	138
Optimization in Nonlinear Models of Mass Transfer Roman Brizitskii and Zhanna Saritskaya	152
Optimal Control Algorithm for Complex Heat Transfer Model Alexander Chebotarev, Gleb Grenkin and Andrey Kovtanyuk	165
Optimization Iterative Procedure for Radiative-Conductive Heat Transfer Model Alexander Chebotarev, Andrey Kovtanyuk and Veronika Pestretsova	178
A Projection-Type Algorithm for Linear Programming Using Line and Zonotope Intersection	185
Matrix correction minimal with respect to the Euclidean norm of a pair of dual linear programming problems	196
Optimal Control for Radiative Heat Transfer Model with Monotonic Cost Functionals	210
Explicit univariate global optimization with piecewise linear support functions $Oleg\ Khamisov$	218
Modified Simplex Imbeddings Method in Convex Non-differentiable Optimization $Anton\ Kolosnitcyn$	226
Stochastic Optimal Growth Model with S-Shaped Utility Function Sergei Mironov, Alexey Faizliev, Sergei Sidorov and Alexandre Gudkov	234
Solution of the Contact Elasticity Problem Based on an Iterative Proximal Regularization Method for the Modified Lagrangian Functional <i>Robert Namm and George Tsoy</i>	242
Parallel Newton Methods for Numerical Analysis of Infeasible Linear Programming Problems with Block-Angular Structure of Constraints Leonid D. Popov	253

On the Accuracy of Statistical Estimations of SAT Partitionings Effectiveness in Application to Discrete Functions Inversion Problems	261
Dual Greedy Algorithm for Conic Optimization Problem Sergei Sidorov, Sergei Mironov and Michael Pleshakov	276
Convergence of Solutions of an Optimal Control Problem for SP1 and Rosseland Approximations Andrei Sushchenko, Tatiana Park, René Pinnau and Oliver Tse	284
Discrete Optimization of Unsteady Fluid Flows Dmitry Tereshko	293
Modified Duality Method for Obstacle Problem Elina Vikhtenko	303
A Variant of the Multi-Step Bundle Method Rashid Yarullin	315
A Minimization Algorithm with Approximation of an Epigraph of the Objective Function and a Constraint Set Igor Zabotin, Oksana Shulgina and Rashid Yarullin	321

Scheduling Problems

Approximating Two-Machine Flow Shop Problem with Delays when Processing Times Depend Only on Machines	325
Single Machine Inserted Idle Time Scheduling with Release Times and Due Dates Natalia Grigoreva	336
Scheduling of Two Parallel Machines with Linear Decreasing Time Slot Costs to Minimize Total Weighted Completion Time	344
Optima Localization in Scheduling Multi-Processor Jobs Alexander Gordeev, Alexander Kononov and Polina Kononova	350
Transport and Logistics	

Using Graph Model to Analyze the Topological Vulnerability of Transport	
Infrastructure	358
Maxim Anop	
Adaptive Landmark Selection when Routing in Time-Depended Network	367
Valentina Bykova and Alexander Soldatenko	

Modeling of Urban Traffic Flows Using the Concept of Multilayer Graph by Methods of Game Theory Anastasiya Ivanova and Alexey Kovalenko	373
Software System for Interactive Simulation of Interregional Trade Andrey Velichko, Valeriya Gribova and Leonid Fedorishchev	383
Interregional Transportation Modeling for the Far East of Russia Macro-region . Andrey Velichko	394
The General Multimodal Network Equilibrium Problem with Elastic Balanced Demand	404

Local Search

Robust Image Watermarking Technique Based on Genetic Algorithm Optimization and Even Odd Modulation	415
Runtime Analysis of Genetic Algorithms with Very High Selection Pressure Anton Eremeev	428
Combinations of the Greedy Heuristic Method for Clustering Problems and Local Search Algorithms	440
Genetic Local Search for the Servers Load Balancing Problem Yuri Kochetov, Artem Panin and Alexander Plyasunov	453
The Band Collocation Problem: a Library of Problems and a Metaheuristic Approach	464
On a Local Search for Hexamatrix Games Andrei Orlov and Alexander Strekalovsky	477

Clustering and Pattern Recognition

Cluster Ensemble with Averaged Co-Association Matrix Maximizing the Expected Margin	489
An Exact Pseudopolynomial Algorithm for a Problem of Finding a Family of Disjoint Subsets	501

A Local Search for a Graph Correlation Clustering Victor Il'Ev and Anna Navrotskaya	510
Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme for a Problem of Finding a Subsequence	516
On a Quadratic Euclidean Problem of Vector Subset Choice: Complexity and Algorithmic Approach Anton Eremeev, Alexander Kelmanov and Artem Pyatkin	526
The <i>p</i> -median Problem with Order for Two-Source Clustering Xenia Klimentova, Anton Ushakov and Igor Vasilyev	536
Mathematical Economics and Games	
Markov Processes in Modeling Life Cycle of Economic Clusters Galina Boush, Vitaly Shamis, Oksana Kulikova and Svetlana Neiman	545
Generalized Mirror Descents with Non-Convex Potential Functions in Atomic Congestion Games <i>Po-An Chen</i>	558
An Investigation of a Bilevel Energy Market Model Nadezhda Dresvyanskaya	563
The Curvilinear Search Algorithm for Solving Three-Person Game Rentsen Enkhbat, Natsagdorj Tungalag, Aleksander Gornov and Anton Anikin	574
Primal-Dual Method for Searching Equilibrium in Hierarchical Congestion Population Games Pavel Dvurechensky, Alexander Gasnikov, Evgenia Gasnikova, Sergey Mat- sievsky, Anton Rodomanov and Inna Usik	584
On the Equivalence of Optimality Principles in the Two-Criteria Problem of the Investment Portfolio Choice	596
Dual Model of Power Market with Generation and Line Capacity Expansion Svetlana Gakh	606
One Method for Constructing Pareto-Optimal Nash Equilibriums Konstantin Kudryavtsev, Vladislav Zhukovskiy and Irina Stabulit	618
Public-Private Partnership Models for the Russian Mineral Resource Complex Sergey Lavlinskii	624
Algorithm Realization of a Stochastic Network Resource Mega-Project Management Model Nina Plyaskina	638

On Strong Accessibility of the Core of TU Cooperative Game Valery Vasilev	643
On One Multicriteria Optimal Control Problem of Economic Growth	656
Applications of Operations Research	
Numerical Simulation of Chemical Enhanced Oil Recovery Processes Bakhbergen Bekbauov, Abdumauvlen Berdyshev and Zharasbek Baishemirov	664
An Empirical Study of Concern for Privacy on Providing Health Information in the EMR Context	677
An Application of Speed Gradient Method to Neural Network Control for Underwater Robot	689
Cost-Effective Strip Covering with Identical Directed Sensors	701
On Formulation and Software Implementation of Some Financial Management and Barter Transactions Problems <i>Edward Gimadi, Evgenii Goncharov and Valentin Leonov</i>	713
Application of Active Set Method for Soft Sensor Model Identification of Crude Oil Distillation Process Anton Goncharov and Andrei Torgashov	723
Malmquist Productivity Index for Network Production Systems Chiang Kao	733
Methods Assessment the Probability Density of Discrete Signals in Telecommunications Yuriy Kropotov and Aleksey Belov	745
Modeling the Goodness-of-Fit Test Based on the Interval Estimation of the Probability Distribution Function <i>Evgeny Kuleshov, Konstantin Petrov and Tatiana Kirillova</i>	755
Optimizing the Properties of Tool Materials by Means of the Mathematical Modeling of their Fracture Processes <i>Elena Mokritskaya</i>	764
Selection of the Optimal Parameters of the Process for Thermal Laser Treatment of Metals for Creating the Molten Pool with a Required Depth Marina V. Polonik and Olga V. Dudko	768

Migration Processes Modeling with Cellular Automation	779
Yuriy Shmidt, Natalia Ivashina, Galina Ozerova and Paul Lobodin	
Valid Inequalities for Time-Indexed Formulations of the Runway Scheduling	

		1								
Pro	oblem									787
	Igor	Vasilyev,	Pasquale	Avella,	Maurizio	Boccia	and	Carlo	Mannino	

A distributed learning method for due date assignment in flexible job shops 791 Wei Weng, Gang Rong and Shigeru Fujimura

Numerical Simulation of Chemical Enhanced Oil Recovery Processes

Bakhbergen Bekbauov^{1*}, Abdumauvlen Berdyshev², and Zharasbek Baishemirov²

 ¹ Al-farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan bakhbergen.bekbauov@kaznu.kz
 ² Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan berdyshev@mail.ru, zbai.kz@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper we develop a new mathematical formulation for chemical compositional reservoir simulation, and provide a comparison of its results on alkaline-surfactant-polymer flooding with those of UTCHEM simulator. Our research has found that the existing chemical compositional model estimates the adsorption effect on the transport of a component reasonably well but it does not satisfy the principle of mass conservation. Since the total mass conservation equation follows from summing the species-conservation equations over all components, the obtained equation violates the principle of total mass conservation as well. With these partial differential equations as governing equations, several simulators have been developed. In this work, we propose an approach to model the change in pore volume due to adsorption that satisfies the mass conservation law, and allows applying a sequential solution approach.

Keywords: chemical compositional model, surfactant, porosity, adsorption

1 Introduction

Chemical flooding is one of the most promising and broadly applied enhanced oil recovery (EOR) processes. Chemical flooding can be further subdivided into alkaline flooding, surfactant flooding, polymer flooding, and alkaline-surfactant-polymer (ASP) flooding. Alkali reduces adsorption of the surfactant on the rock surfaces and reacts with acids in the oil to create natural surfactant. Surfactants are chemicals that used to reduce the interfacial tension between the involved fluids, increasing oil mobility. ASP flooding is a form of chemical EOR method that can allow operators to extend reservoir pool life and extract incremental reserves currently inaccessible by conventional methods. While ASP flooding has a high efficiency it is technical, costly, and risky. Model studies can assist in this evaluation.

Most multiphase compositional models reported in the literature [1], [2], [3], [4] and [5] are limited in their applicability in one way or another (single species, equilibrium mass transfer, and lack of miscibility modeling etc). The mathematical formulation

^{*} Corresponding author

Copyright C by the paper's authors. Copying permitted for private and academic purposes.

In: A. Kononov et al. (eds.): DOOR 2016, Vladivostok, Russia, published at http://ceur-ws.org

developed in this work is extended from the UTCHEM model formulation for use in chemical flooding studies that does not have these common limitations.

Sequential schemes are very suitable for chemical compositional flow problems which include a large number of chemical components. Only the implicit pressure and explicit composition (IMPEC) formulation was used for chemical compositional reservoir simulation so far, but there is no obvious reason why the sequential formulation can't be used as well. Because of explicit solution of compositions, the size of time steps is limited to stabilize the general procedure.

Chen et al. [6] presented a numerical approach that solves both pressure and compositions implicitly. Though the approach was claimed to be sequential and extended from the IMPEC approach used in UTCHEM model [7], the mathematical formulations for the governing equations did not undergo any change in their model.

The basic equations used in UTCHEM model that describe multiphase, multicomponent flow in permeable media are the species-conservation, pressure (an overall mass-continuity), and energy conservation equations. Accumulation terms in the species-conservation equations used in UTCHEM model account for the reduction in pore volume caused by adsorption.

During the process of this research, it was revealed that this commonly used approach estimates the adsorption effect on the transport of a component reasonably well but it does not satisfy the species-conservation equation. Since the total mass conservation equation follows from summing the species-conservation equations over all components, the obtained equation violates the principle of total mass conservation as well. In recent years with use of these governing equations several simulators were developed for simulation of the chemical flooding processes [6], [7], [8] and [9].

In this work we introduce a new approach to model the reduction in pore volume due to adsorption that satisfies the conservation equations. In certain situations, such as significant change in the effective pore size due to adsorption, these enhancements are essential to properly model the physical phenomena occurring in petroleum reservoirs. In addition, this new approach for modeling the adsorption effect on the transport of a component makes it possible to develop a new mathematical formulation for the sequential chemical compositional reservoir simulation.

2 Mathematical model

Consider a bulk volume V_b at some point within a porous medium domain. Let us assume that this representative elementary volume (REV) is made up of $n_p + 1$ phases $(n_p$ fluid phases and a solid phase consisting of rock grains or soil) with n_c chemical species. Conceivably, at least, each species can exist in any phase and can transfer between phases via evaporation, condensation, dissolution, adsorption and so forth.

In our model formalism, each pair (i, α) , with *i* chosen from the species indices and α chosen from the phases, is a constituent. Each constituent (i, α) has its own intrinsic mass density $\rho_{i\alpha}$, measured as mass of *i* per unit volume of α , and its own average velocity $\vec{u}_{i\alpha}$. Each phase α has its own volume fraction ϕ_{α} . The volume fraction of phase α , ϕ_{α} , is the volume of phase α divided by the bulk volume V_b .

If the index n_c represents the species and the index $n_p + 1$ represents the phases making up the solid phase, then in terms of the above defined mechanical variables the mass balance for each constituent (i, α) is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi_{\alpha}\rho_{i\alpha}) + \nabla \cdot (\phi_{\alpha}\rho_{i\alpha}\overrightarrow{u}_{i\alpha}) = R_{i\alpha} + r_{mi\alpha} + q_{i\alpha}, \quad \begin{cases} i = 1, \dots, n_c, \\ \alpha = 1, \dots, n_p + 1. \end{cases}$$
(1)

From left to right in Eq. (1), the terms are now the accumulation, transport, and source terms, the last consisting of three types. The mass fraction of component *i* in phase α in V_b is defined to be $\omega_{i\alpha}$. The parameter $\omega_{i\alpha}$ is the mass of component *i* in phase α divided by mass of the phase. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \omega_{i\alpha} = 1$. With that definition,

 $\rho_{i\alpha} = \rho_{\alpha}\omega_{i\alpha}, \qquad \left\{ i = 1, \dots, n_c, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n_p + 1, \right\}$ $\tag{2}$

where ρ_{α} is intrinsic mass density of phase α .

The source term $R_{i\alpha}$ accounts for the rate of mass generation $(R_{i\alpha} > 0)$ and consumption $(R_{i\alpha} < 0)$ of component *i* in phase α , either through chemical or biological reactions. There is no general function for $R_{i\alpha}$. An example of a first-order reaction rate for radioactive decay or biodegradation is

$$R_{i\alpha} = -k_i \phi_\alpha \rho_\alpha \omega_{i\alpha}, \qquad \left\{ i = 1, ..., n_c, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., n_p + 1, \right\}$$
(3)

where k_i is the decay constant or reaction rate coefficient in units of inverse time.

The second source term $r_{mi\alpha}$ expresses the rate of mass transfer of component *i* from or into the phase α owing to vaporization or condensation. Adsorption is described through isotherms.

The last source term in Eq. (1) $q_{i\alpha}$ represents physical sources (wells).

Substitution of Eqs.(2) and (3) into Eq. (1) gives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{i\alpha}) + \nabla \cdot (\phi_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{i\alpha}\overrightarrow{u}_{i\alpha}) = -k_{i}\phi_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{i\alpha} + r_{mi\alpha} + q_{i\alpha},$$

$$\{i = 1, ..., n_{c}, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., n_{p} + 1.\}$$
(4)

From definition, volume fractions must obey the constraint $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p+1} \phi_{\alpha} = 1$. It is well known that the porosity ϕ is defined as the fraction of the bulk permeable medium that is pore space, that is, the pore volume V_p divided by the bulk volume V_b . The fact that the all fluid phases jointly fill the voids (pores) implies the relation $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \phi_{\alpha} = \phi$.

The phase saturation S_{α} is defined as the fraction of the pore volume occupied by phase α , that is, volume of phase αV_{α} divided by the pore volume V_p . The saturation of fluid phase α can also be defined as $S_{\alpha} = \phi_{\alpha}/\phi$. For fluid phases such as liquids and vapors, $\phi_{\alpha} = \phi S_{\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, ..., n_p$, where ϕS_{α} also called the fluid content. For the solid (s) phase $\phi_s = 1 - \phi$, which is the grain volume divided by the bulk volume V_b . We can rewrite equation (4) in the following form by noting that the porosity is $\phi = 1 - \phi_s$ and defining the fluid saturations $S_{\alpha} = \phi_{\alpha}/\phi, \alpha = 1, ..., n_p$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi S_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{i\alpha}) + \nabla \cdot (\phi S_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_{i\alpha}) = -k_i\phi S_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{i\alpha} + r_{mi\alpha} + q_{i\alpha},$$

$$\{i = 1, ..., n_c, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., n_p, \}$$
(5)

for the fluids, and if we fix a coordinate system in which $\vec{u}_{is} = 0$, and note that $q_{is} = 0$, then the momentum balance for the solid phase reduces to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}((1-\phi)\rho_s\omega_{is}) = -k_i(1-\phi)\rho_s\omega_{is} + r_{mis}, \qquad \{i=1,...,n_c.\}$$
(6)

The statistical average apparent velocity of constituent (i, α) owing to both convection and dispersion is the sum of the barycentric velocity of phase α and the diffusion velocity of species *i* in phase α :

$$\overrightarrow{u}_{i\alpha} = \overrightarrow{u}_{\alpha} + \overrightarrow{u}_{i\alpha}, \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_c, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., n_p.\}$$
(7)

Since phase velocities are typically more accessible to measurement than species velocities, it is convenient to rewrite the constituent mass balance equation (5) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi S_{\alpha}\rho_{i\alpha}) + \nabla \cdot (\phi S_{\alpha}\rho_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_{i\alpha}) + \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{J}_{Di\alpha} = -k_i \phi S_{\alpha}\rho_{i\alpha} + r_{mi\alpha} + q_{i\alpha}, \qquad (8)$$

$$\{i = 1, ..., n_c, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., n_p, \}$$

where $\overrightarrow{J}_{Di\alpha} = \phi S_{\alpha} \rho_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_{i\alpha}$ stands for the diffusive flux of constituent (i, α) .

So far, the mathematical formulation of the mass conservation equations developed above is essentially the same as the standard formulation described in [7]; where it differs is in the treatment of average velocity in the governing equations. Here we start to deviate from the standard formulation.

The fluxes of component *i* in phase α with respect to volume-averaged velocity $\vec{J}_{Di\alpha} = -\phi S_{\alpha} \bar{K}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla(\rho_{\alpha} \omega_{i\alpha})$ and mass-averaged velocity $\vec{J}_{Di\alpha} = -\phi S_{\alpha} \bar{K}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla(\omega_{i\alpha})$ owing to hydrodynamic dispersion alone were presented in [10]. The flux with respect to bulk volume-averaged velocity is proposed in this work:

$$\vec{J}_{Di\alpha} = -\bar{\vec{K}}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla(\phi S_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{i\alpha}), \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_c, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., n_p, \}$$
(9)

Two components of $\bar{K}_{i\alpha}$ for a homogeneous, isotropic permeable medium [11] are

$$(K_{xx})_{i\alpha} = \frac{D_{i\alpha}}{\tau} + \frac{\alpha_{l\alpha}u_{x\alpha}^2 + \alpha_{t\alpha}(u_{y\alpha}^2 + u_{z\alpha}^2)}{|\vec{u}_{\alpha}|}, \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i = 1, ..., n_c, \\ \alpha = 1, ..., n_p, \end{array} \right\}$$
(10)
$$(K_{xy})_{i\alpha} = \frac{(\alpha_{l\alpha} - \alpha_{t\alpha})u_{x\alpha}u_{y\alpha}}{|\vec{u}_{\alpha}|},$$

where the subscript l refers to the spatial coordinate in the direction parallel, or longitudinal, to bulk flow, and t is any direction perpendicular, or transverse, to l. $D_{i\alpha}$ is the effective binary diffusion coefficient of component i in phase α [12], $\alpha_{l\alpha}$ and $\alpha_{t\alpha}$ are the longitudinal and transverse dispersivities, and τ is the permeable medium tortuosity.

A general set of partial differential equations (11) for the conservation of component i in fluid phase α is obtained upon substitution of the definition for flux (Eq. (9)) into Eq. (8):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi S_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{i\alpha}) + \nabla \cdot (\phi S_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_{i\alpha}) - \overline{\bar{K}}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla (\phi S_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{i\alpha}) =
= -k_i \phi S_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{i\alpha} + r_{mi\alpha} + q_{i\alpha}, \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_c, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., n_p, \}$$
(11)

The term $r_{mi\alpha}$ is difficult to calculate without detailed analysis of the transport occurring within the phases. One typically simplifies the equations by using overall compositional balance equations. Overall compositional balance equations can be obtained by summing Eqs. (6) and (11) over the solid and n_p fluid phases:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \phi S_\alpha \rho_{i\alpha} + (1-\phi)\rho_{is} \right] + \nabla \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} (\phi S_\alpha \rho_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_\alpha) - \nabla \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} [\bar{K}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla (\phi S_\alpha \rho_{i\alpha})] = -k_i \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \phi S_\alpha \rho_{i\alpha} + (1-\phi)\rho_{is} \right] + Q_i, \qquad (12)$$

$$\{i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$

where $Q_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} q_{i\alpha}$ is the injection/production rate for component *i* per bulk volume. We have $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p+1} r_{mi\alpha} = 0$, a relation following from the inability to accumulate mass at a volumeless phase interface.

In equation (12), expressing the content of component i in phase α in terms of volume fraction

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{i\alpha} = \rho_{i}c_{i\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., n_{p} \\
(1-\phi)\rho_{s}\omega_{is} = \phi\rho_{i}\hat{c}_{i},
\end{array}\right\} \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_{c}, \}$$
(13)

we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\phi \rho_i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) \right] + \nabla \cdot \phi \rho_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} (S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_\alpha) - \nabla \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \left[\bar{\vec{K}}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla (\phi \rho_i S_\alpha c_{i\alpha}) \right] = -k_i \phi \rho_i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) + Q_i,$$

$$\{i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$

$$(14)$$

where ρ_i is the component mass density in units of mass of *i* per unit volume of *i*, $c_{i\alpha}$ is the component concentration in units of volume of *i* in phase α per unit volume of α , and \hat{c}_i is the adsorbed component concentration, measured as volume of *i* in phase α per unit pore volume. The linear, Freundlich and Langmuir adsorption isotherm models are applied to calculate the adsorbed concentrations \hat{c}_i . In our definition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) = 1, \tag{15}$$

but

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha} \neq 1, \tag{16}$$

unlike existing model. To account for the reduction in pore volume caused by adsorption, the coefficient $(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{cv}} \hat{c}_i)$ is introduced into the overall compositional balance

equation (14) in UTCHEM model. The coefficient represents reduction in pore volume due to adsorption, \hat{c}_i is the adsorbed concentration of species *i*, and n_{cv} is the total number of volume-occupying components. During the process of this research, it was revealed that even though this approach estimates the adsorption effect on the transport of a component reasonably well, it does not satisfy the species-conservation equation since the coefficient is multiplied only to the first summand of the accumulation term in Eq. (14). It is well known that an equation remains balanced when both sides of an equation are multiplied by the same nonzero quantity.

In the present work we introduce a new approach to model the reduction in pore volume due to adsorption that satisfies the continuity equation. Let us denote the modified volume fraction of phase α due to adsorption by $\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}$. Porosity $\hat{\phi}$ is defined as the fraction of the bulk permeable medium that is pore space remaining after adsorption. This porosity is related to the original porosity ϕ as follows:

$$\hat{\phi} = \phi \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{cv}} \hat{c}_i \right). \tag{17}$$

The saturation of fluid phase α is defined as $S_{\alpha} = \hat{\phi}_{\alpha}/\hat{\phi}$. Now using the same derivation procedure as carried out above, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\hat{\phi} \rho_i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) \right] + \nabla \cdot \hat{\phi} \rho_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} (S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_\alpha) - \nabla \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \left[\bar{\bar{K}}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla (\hat{\phi} \rho_i S_\alpha c_{i\alpha}) \right] = -k_i \hat{\phi} \rho_i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) + Q_i, \qquad (18)$$

$$\{i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$

The phase flux from Darcy's law is

$$\vec{u}_{\alpha} = -\frac{\bar{k}k_{r\alpha}}{\hat{\phi}S_{\alpha}\mu_{\alpha}}(\nabla p_{\alpha} - \gamma_{\alpha}\nabla z), \qquad \{\alpha = 1, ..., n_{p}, \}$$
(19)

where \bar{k} is the permeability tensor, $k_{r\alpha}$ is the relative permeability of fluid phase α , μ_{α} is the dynamic viscosity of fluid phase α , p_{α} is the pressure in fluid phase α , γ_{α} is the specific weight for fluid phase α , and z represents depth.

Variation of pore volume with pore pressure p can be taken into account by the pressure dependence of porosity. The porosity depends on pressure due to rock compressibility, which is often assumed to be constant and can be defined as

$$\phi = \phi_R [1 + c_f (p_1 - p_s)], \tag{20}$$

where ϕ_R is the porosity at a specific pressure p_s , p_1 is the water phase pressure, and c_f is the pore compressibility at p_s .

A slightly compressible fluid has a small but constant compressibility. For a slightly compressible fluid, the component density ρ_i can be written as:

$$\rho_i = \rho_{iR} [1 + c_i^0 (p_1 - p_R)], \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$
(21)

where ρ_{iR} is the density of component *i* at the standard pressure p_R , a constant value, c_i^0 is the compressibility of component *i*.

Now since reference density ρ_{iR} is constant for each component we can divide through both sides of Eq. (18) by ρ_{iR} . In terms of the dimensionless density $\bar{\rho}_i = \rho_i / \rho_{iR}$ Eq. (18) can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) \right] + \nabla \cdot \hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} (S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_\alpha) - \nabla \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \left[\bar{K}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla (\hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i S_\alpha c_{i\alpha}) \right] = -k_i \hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) + \frac{Q_i}{\rho_{iR}},$$

$$\{i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$

$$(22)$$

We sum the mass balance equations above over the n_c components to obtain the equation of continuity, or conservation of total mass. The equation of continuity is

$$\phi F_t(\tilde{c}_i, \hat{c}_i) + \hat{\phi}_R c_t \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left\{ \hat{\phi} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} (S_\alpha \overrightarrow{u}_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \bar{\rho}_i c_{i\alpha}) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \frac{Q_i}{\rho_{iR}}, \quad (23)$$

where we used that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{J}_{Di\alpha} = 0, \qquad \{\alpha = 1, ..., n_p, \}$$
(24)

(net dispersive flux in a phase is zero), and according to the total reaction definition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} R_{i\alpha} + R_{is} \right) = 0.$$
 (25)

The total compressibility, c_t , is

$$c_t = \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{cv}} \hat{c}_i\right) \left\{ c_f + \left[1 + c_f (2p_1 - p_s - p_R)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} (c_i^0 \tilde{c}_i) \right\},\tag{26}$$

and

$$F_t(\tilde{c}_i, \hat{c}_i) = (p_1 - p_R) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{cv}} \hat{c}_i \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} c_i^0 \tilde{c}_i \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{cv}} \hat{c}_i \right).$$
(27)

We define the overall concentration \tilde{c}_i as

$$\tilde{c}_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i, \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$
(28)

and by definition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \tilde{c}_i = 1.$$
(29)

The pressure equation is developed by substituting Darcy's law (Eq. (19)) for the phase flux term of Eq. (23), using the definition of capillary pressure $p_{c\alpha 1} = p_{\alpha} - p_1, \alpha = 2, ..., n_p$. The pressure equation in terms of the reference phase (phase 1) pressure is

$$\hat{\phi}_{R}c_{t}\frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \left(\bar{\bar{k}}\lambda_{rTc}\nabla p_{1}\right) = \nabla \cdot \left(\bar{\bar{k}}\sum_{\alpha=2}^{n_{p}}\lambda_{r\alpha c}\nabla p_{c\alpha 1}\right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\bar{\bar{k}}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{p}}\lambda_{r\alpha c}\gamma_{\alpha}\nabla z\right) - \phi F_{t}(\tilde{c}_{i},\hat{c}_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{c}}\frac{Q_{i}}{\rho_{iR}},$$
(30)

where

$$\lambda_{r\alpha c} = \lambda_{r\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \bar{\rho}_i c_{i\alpha}, \qquad \{\alpha = 1, ..., n_p, \}$$
(31)

and total relative mobility is

$$\lambda_{rTc} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \lambda_{r\alpha c}.$$
(32)

The extension of the LET correlations is used to represent the relative permeability and capillary pressure curves [13], [14].

Applying the mean value estimate for character sums in Eq. (22), we can write

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha} \overrightarrow{u}_{\alpha} = \overrightarrow{\widetilde{u}}_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha}, \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$
(33)

and

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \bar{\bar{K}}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla(\hat{\phi}\bar{\rho}_i S_\alpha c_{i\alpha}) = \bar{\bar{K}}_i \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} \nabla(\hat{\phi}\bar{\rho}_i S_\alpha c_{i\alpha}), \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$
(34)

where $\vec{\tilde{u}}_i$ and $\vec{\tilde{K}}_i$ can be defined as some averages. Since differentiation and summation are interchangeable operations in this system, the sum of the gradients can be calculated as the gradient of the sum

$$\bar{\tilde{K}}_{i} \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{p}} \nabla \left(\hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_{i} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha} \right) = \bar{\tilde{K}}_{i} \cdot \nabla \left(\hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{p}} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha} \right), \qquad \{i = 1, ..., n_{c}.\}$$
(35)

Equation (22) can be written using Eqs. (33), (34), and (35) as below:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) \right] + \nabla \cdot \left(\hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i \overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left[\bar{\tilde{K}}_i \cdot \nabla \left(\hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} \right) \right] = -k_i \hat{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_\alpha c_{i\alpha} + \hat{c}_i \right) + \frac{Q_i}{\rho_{iR}}, \quad (36)$$

$$\{ i = 1, ..., n_c, \}$$

This new mathematical formulation of species conservation equations makes it possible to apply a sequential solution approach to solve these equations implicitly for the

total concentration $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha}$ of each component. A flash calculation is then performed to obtain the phase saturations and the concentrations of components in each phase. Numerical values of \vec{u}_i and $\bar{\vec{k}}_i$ can be most simply calculated as the weighted averages

$$\vec{\tilde{u}}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{p}} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha} \vec{\tilde{u}}_{\alpha}}{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{p}} S_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha}}, \quad \tilde{\bar{K}}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{p}} \bar{\bar{K}}_{i\alpha} \cdot \nabla(\hat{\phi}\rho_{i}S_{\alpha}c_{i\alpha})}{\nabla\left(\hat{\phi}\rho_{i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{p}} S_{\alpha}c_{i\alpha}\right)}, \quad \{i = 1, ..., n_{c}, \}$$
(37)

obtained from previous time-step values.

The sequential solution procedure is carried out in the following order: (a) solution of the pressure equation (30) implicitly, (b) solution of the transport system of equations (36) implicitly for the total concentration of each component.

Details about the derivation of the mathematical model formulation are provided in our previous publication [15].

3 Numerical Results

ASP flooding is the most promising EOR solution for one of the greatest challenges facing the oil industry worldwide: after conventional water flooding the residual oil (drops trapped by capillary forces) in reservoirs around the world is likely to be around 70% of the original oil in place. The mathematical formulation is evaluated in the modeling of a field scale ASP EOR process.



Fig. 1. Computational domain and well pattern illustration

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the ASP flooding pilot has 4 injection wells and 9 production wells in an inverted five-spot well pattern. The ASP process was conducted in a 4-slug sequence: pre-flush polymer flood, alkaline/surfactant slug, alkaline/surfactant/polymer slug, and a polymer drive. Total simulation time is 551 days. Reservoir properties include heterogeneous permeability and initial water saturation fields. The reservoir is at a depth of 4150 ft., has an average initial pressure of 1770 psi, and the porosity is assumed to be constant throughout the reservoir and equal to 0.3. Grid dimensions are $19 \times 19 \times 3$. The OOIP is 395,427 bbls, the crude oil viscosity is 40 cp, the initial brine salinity is 0.0583 meq/ml and the initial brine divalent cation concentration is 0.0025 meq/ml.

We use S3GRAF software, developed and licensed by Sciencesoft Ltd., for post-processing the output data.

Three flowing phases and eleven components are considered in the numerical simulations. The phases are water, oil and microemulsion, while the components are water, oil, surfactant, polymer, chloride anions, divalent cations (Ca++, Mg++), carbonate, sodium, hydrogen ion, and oil acid. The ASP interactions are modeled using the reactions: in situ generated surfactant, precipitation and dissolution of minerals, cation exchange with clay and micelle, and chemical adsorption. Note the detailed chemical reaction modeling, and the heterogeneous and multiphase petroleum reservoir under consideration.

A comparison with UTCHEM has also been performed. The matches between old and new formulations' numerical results for the matched variables are shown in Figs. 2-5 for the injected pore volume in the range 0 - 1.0 PV. Comparative studies show that



Fig. 2. Average pressure vs. total injected pore volume

the results obtained from IMPEC implementation of the newly proposed formulation are in a good agreement with that of UTCHEM simulator. In the scope of this research work, through its application to the above-mentioned numerical experiment and comparisons with UTCHEM model results, the newly developed formulation has proven to be reliable, practical, and accurate. The mathematical model and numerical simulation developed in this work can also be used to study the transport of contaminants and



Fig. 3. Oil and water saturations vs. total injected pore volume



Fig. 4. Microemulsion saturation vs. injected pore volume



Fig. 5. Adsorbed surfactant ratio (ML per ML of pore volume) vs. injected pore volume

remediation of contaminated aquifers surfactants.

4 Conclusion

In the scope of this research work, a new mathematical model formulation for multicomponent, multiphase flow in porous media has been developed. During the process of this research, it was revealed that commonly used approach estimates the adsorption effect on the transport of a component reasonably well but it does not satisfy the mass conservation or continuity equation. In the present work we introduce a new approach to model the reduction in pore volume due to adsorption that satisfies the continuity equation. The mathematical formulation developed in the scope of this work is extended from the UTCHEM model formulation for use in chemical flooding studies. A comparison with UTCHEM has also been performed. Comparative studies show that the results obtained from IMPEC implementation of the newly proposed formulation are in a good agreement with that of UTCHEM simulator. The implementation of a sequential solution approach for chemical compositional reservoir simulation based on the formulation described in this paper is scheduled for the future.

Acknowledgments. This paper was supported by the Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan under grants No. 1735/GF4 and No. 0128/GF4.

References

- Baehr, A.L. and Corapcioglu, M.Y.: Ground water Contamination by Petroleum Products:
 Numerical Solution. Water Resour. Res., 23(10), 201 (1987)
- Mayer, A.S. and Miller, C.T.: A Compositional Model for Simulating Multiphase Flow, Transport and Mass Transfer in Groundwater Systems. Paper presented at the Eighth International Conference on Computational Methods in Water Resources, Venice, Italy, June 11-15. (1990)
- Sleep, B.E. and Sykes, J.F.: Compositional Simulation of Groundwater Contamination by Organic Compounds: 1. Model Development and Verification. Water Resour. Res., 29(6), 1697-1708, June. (1993)
- Abriola, L.M. and Pinder, G.F.: A Multiphase Approach to the Modeling of Porous Media Contamination by Organic Compounds: 2. Numerical Simulation. Water Resources Res., 21 (1), (1985a)
- Kalurachchi, J.J. and Parker, J.C.: Modeling Multicomponent Organic Chemical Transport in Three-Phase Porous Media. J. of Contaminant Transport, 5, 349. (1990)
- Chen, Z., Ma, Y., and Chen, G.: A sequential numerical chemical compositional simulator. Transport in Porous Media 68, 389-411 (2007)
- Delshad, M., Pope, G.A., Sepehrnoori, K.: UTCHEM Version-9.0, Technical Documentation, Center for Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering. The University of Texas at Austin, Texas. (2000)

- Luo, H., Al-Shalabi, E.W., Delshad, M., Panthi, K., and Sepehrnoori, K.: A Robust Geochemical Simulator to Model Improved Oil Recovery Methods. SPEJ (Preprint, SPE173211-PA). (2015)
- Luo, H., Delshad, M., Li, Z., and Shahmoradi, A.: Numerical simulation of the impact of polymer rheology on polymer injectivity using a multilevel local grid refinement method. Petroleum Science, 13(1): 110-125. (2016)
- Lake, L.W.: Enhanced Oil Recovery" (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Inc.), 550 pp. (1989)
- 11. Bear, J.: Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media, Dover, New York. (1972)
- 12. Bird, R.B., Stewart, W.E., and Lightfoot, E.N.: Transport Phenomena, 2nd edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York. (2002)
- Bekbauov, B. E., Kaltayev, A., Wojtanowicz, A. K., Panfilov, M.: Numerical Modeling of the Effects of Disproportionate Permeability Reduction Water-Shutoff Treatments on Water Coning. J. Energy Resour. Technol. 135(1), 011101. Paper No: JERT-12-1134; doi: 10.1115/1.4007913 (2013)
- Bekbauov, B. E., Kaltayev, A., and Nagy, S.: Three-Dimensional Thermal Petroleum Filtration Study of Water Coning. Arch. Min. Sci., 55(1), pp. 201215. (2010)
- Bekbauov, B. E., Kaltayev, A., Berdyshev, A.: A New Mathematical Formulation of the Governing Equations for the Chemical Compositional Simulation // arXiv:1512.08170 [physics.flu-dyn] (2015)

Proceedings of DOOR 2016

Author Index

Adelshin, Alexander CEUR-WS 49 Ageev, Alexander LNCS 93, LNCS 259, CEUR-WS 325 Aizenberg, Natalia LNCS 469 Alekseev, Gennady CEUR-WS 125 Alsarhan, Hamza CEUR-WS 1 Amirgaliev, Yedilkhan LNCS 538Anikin, Anton CEUR-WS 574 Anop, Maxim CEUR-WS 358 Antamoshkin, Alexander CEUR-WS 440 Artemova, Alexandra CEUR-WS 49 Avella, Pasquale CEUR-WS 787 Baburin, Alexei CEUR-WS 325 Bahrushin, Alexander CEUR-WS 415 Bahrushina, Galina CEUR-WS 415 Baishemirov, Zharasbek CEUR-WS 664 Bakhtadze, Natalia CEUR-WS 138 Bampis, Evripidis LNCS 3 Batsyn, Mikhail LNCS 244Batsyna, Ekaterina LNCS 244 Bazhenov, Ruslan CEUR-WS 415 Bekbauov, Bakhbergen CEUR-WS 664 Belavkin, Roman OT 1CEUR-WS 745Belov, Aleksey Berdyshev, Abdumauvlen CEUR-WS 664 Beresnev, Vladimir LNCS 325, LNCS 373 Berger, André LNCS 563 Berikov, Vladimir CEUR-WS 489 Boccia. Maurizio CEUR-WS 787 Bouš, Galina CEUR-WS 545Bredereck, Robert LNCS 105Brimberg, Jack LNCS 336 Brizitskii, Roman CEUR-WS 152Bulteau, Laurent LNCS 105Bykadorov, Igor LNCS 480 Bykova, Valentina CEUR-WS 367 Chang, Chia-I OT 2Censor, Yair LNCS 15Chebotarev, Alexander CEUR-WS 165, CEUR-WS 178, CEUR-WS 210 Chen, Jen-Ming OT 2Chen, Kuei Fen CEUR-WS 677

Chen, Po-An CEUR-WS 558 Chentsov, Alexander LNCS 121 Chernov, Alexey LNCS 391 Chernykh, Ilya LNCS 272, LNCS 284 Chia, Davin CEUR-WS 1 Christman, Ananya CEUR-WS 1 Coupechoux, Marceau LNCS 364 Čvokić, Dimitrije LNCS 350 Davydov, Ivan LNCS 364 Demenkov, Max CEUR-WS 185 Dresvyanskaya, Nadezhda CEUR-WS 563 Dudko, Olga V. CEUR-WS 768Dubinin, Roman LNCS 193 LNCS 391, CEUR-WS 584 Dvurechensky, Pavel Dyda, Alexander CEUR-WS 689 Dyda, Pavel CEUR-WS 689 Ellero, Andrea LNCS 480 Enkhbat, Rentsen CEUR-WS 574 LNCS 298, CEUR-WS 428, CEUR-WS 526 Eremeev, Anton Erohin, Vladimir CEUR-WS 196 Erzin, Adil LNCS 220, CEUR-WS 701 CEUR-WS 234 Faizliev, Alexey Fedorishchev, Leonid CEUR-WS 383 Fu, Shannia CEUR-WS 1 Fujimura, Shigeru CEUR-WS 791 Funari, Stefania LNCS 480 Gakh, Svetlana CEUR-WS 606 Galashov, Alexandr CEUR-WS 501 Gasnikov, Alexender LNCS 391, CEUR-WS 584 Gasnikova, Evgenia CEUR-WS 584 Gimadi, Edward Kh. LNCS 136, LNCS 148, CEUR-WS 11, CEUR-WS 18, CEUR-WS 713 Glebov, Aleksey LNCS 159 Gnusarev, Alexander LNCS 570Goncharov, Anton CEUR-WS 723 Goncharov, Evgenii CEUR-WS 713 Gordeev, Alexander CEUR-WS 350 LNCS 159Gordeeva, Anastasiya Gorelik, Victor CEUR-WS 596 Gornov, Aleksander CEUR-WS 574 Grenkin, Gleb CEUR-WS 165, 210 CEUR-WS 383 Gribova, Valeriya LNCS 563Grigoriev, Alexander Grigoryev, Alexey LNCS 121 Grigoreva, Natalia CEUR-WS 336 Gruzdeva, Tatyana LNCS 404

Gudkov, Alexandre CEUR-WS 234 Gursoy, Arif CEUR-WS 464 Hwang, Hsin-Ginn CEUR-WS 677 Iellamo, Stefano LNCS 364Il'Ev, Victor LNCS 25, CEUR-WS 510 Il'Eva, Svetlana LNCS 25 LNCS 136, CEUR-WS 11 Istomin, Alexev LNCS 259 Ivanov, Mikhail LNCS 525, CEUR-WS 28 Ivanov, Sergey Ivanova, Anastasiya CEUR-WS 373 Ivashina, Natalia CEUR-WS 779 Jin, Yanfeng CEUR-WS 1 Kalyagin, Valeriy CEUR-WS 35 Kan, Irina CEUR-WS 49 Kao, Chiang CEUR-WS 733 Kazakovtsev, Lev CEUR-WS 440 Kelmanov, Alexander LNCS 171, LNCS 182, CEUR-WS 501, CEUR-WS 516, CEUR-WS 526 Khachav, Michael LNCS 193, CEUR-WS 39 Khamidullin, Sergey LNCS 171, CEUR-WS 516 CEUR-WS 218 Khamisov, Oleg Khandeev, Vladimir LNCS 171 Khvostov, Mikhail CEUR-WS 196 Kibzun, Andrey LNCS 525CEUR-WS 415Kim, Kiseon Kirillova, Tatiana CEUR-WS 755 Klimentova, Xenia CEUR-WS 536 Kobylkin, Konstantin OT 3 LNCS 350, CEUR-WS 453 Kochetov, Yury Kokovin, Sergev LNCS 480 Koldanov, Alexander CEUR-WS 35 Koldanov, Petr CEUR-WS 35Kolokolov, Alexander CEUR-WS 49 Kolosnitcyn, Anton CEUR-WS 226 Komusiewicz, Christian LNCS 105Konnov, Igor LNCS 418 Kononov, Alexander LNCS 25, LNCS 309, CEUR-WS 344, CEUR-WS 350 Kononova, Polina CEUR-WS 350 Korbulakova, Vera CEUR-WS 28 Kovalenko, Alexey CEUR-WS 373 Kovalenko, Yulia LNCS 298, LNCS 309 Kovtanyuk, Andrey CEUR-WS 165, CEUR-WS 178 Krasnikov, Alexander CEUR-WS 196 CEUR-WS 745Kropotov, Yuriy Kudryavtsev, Konstantin CEUR-WS 618 Kuleshov, Evgeny CEUR-WS 755

Kulikova, Oksana Kurochkin, Alexander Kurochkina, Anna A. Kurt, Mehmet Kutucu, Hakan Lavlinskii, Sergev Leonov, Valentin Levanova, Tatyana Lgotina, Ekaterina Li, Yung-Ming Lin, Bertrand M.T. Lin, Hui-Ting Lobanov, Aleksey Lobodin, Paul Lushchakova, Irina Makarovskikh, Tatyana Marakulin, Valeriy Mannino, Carlo Matsievsky, Sergey Melnik, Anna Melnikov, Andrey Mezentsev, Yurii Mikhailova, Ludmila Minarchenko, Ilya Mironov, Sergei Mladenović, Nenad Mokeev, Dmitry Mokritskaya, Elena Motkova, Anna Nagornaya, Elena A. Namm, Robert Navrotskaya, Anna Neiman, Svetlana Neznakhina, Katherine Niedermeier, Rolf Nikolaev, Andrei Nurivev, Urfat Nurminski, Evgeni Orlov, Andrei Oskin, Dmitry Ozerova, Galina Pai, Ning-Yao Panin, Artem Panyukov, Anatoly Pardalos, Panos

CEUR-WS 545 CEUR-WS 57 CEUR-WS 18, CEUR-WS 57 CEUR-WS 464 CEUR-WS 464 CEUR-WS 624 CEUR-WS 713 LNCS 570LNCS 284 OT 4OT 5CEUR-WS 677 CEUR-WS 125 CEUR-WS 779 CEUR-WS 344 CEUR-WS 62 LNCS 494 CEUR-WS 787 CEUR-WS 584 LNCS 37 LNCS 325, LNCS 373 CEUR-WS 72 LNCS 171 LNCS 509 CEUR-WS 234, CEUR-WS 276 LNCS 220, LNCS 336 CEUR-WS 86 CEUR-WS 764 LNCS 182 CEUR-WS 18 CEUR-WS 242 CEUR-WS 510 CEUR-WS 545 CEUR-WS 39 OT 6 LNCS 206CEUR-WS 464 LNCS 430CEUR-WS 477 CEUR-WS 689 CEUR-WS 779 OT 4LNCS 563, CEUR-WS 453 CEUR-WS 62 LNCS 50, OT 7

Park, Tatiana CEUR-WS 284 Pei, Jun OT 7 Pestretsova, Veronika CEUR-WS 178 Petrov, Konstantin CEUR-WS 755 Pinnau, René CEUR-WS 284 Pinyagina, Olga LNCS 418, LNCS 578 Pleshakov, Michael CEUR-WS 276 Plotnikov, Roman LNCS 220Plyaskina, Nina CEUR-WS 638 Plyasunov, Aleksandr LNCS 350, CEUR-WS 453 Polonik, Marina V. CEUR-WS 768 Popov, Leonid D. CEUR-WS 253 Prolubnikov, Alexander CEUR-WS 96Pudova, Marina LNCS 480 Pyatetsky, Valery E. CEUR-WS 138 Pyatkin, Artem CEUR-WS 526 Ravetti, Martín LNCS 50 Rodomanov, Anton CEUR-WS 584 Romanchenko, Semyon CEUR-WS 516 Rong, Gang CEUR-WS 791 Rykov, Ivan A. LNCS 148 Sakrutina, Ekaterina CEUR-WS 138 Saritskaya, Zhanna CEUR-WS 152 Schieber, Tiago LNCS 50 Semenov, Alexander CEUR-WS 261 Shakhlevich, Natalia LNCS 74 Shamis, Vitaly CEUR-WS 545 Shamray, Natalia CEUR-WS 404 Sher, Ming-Ling CEUR-WS 677 Shin, Ekaterina CEUR-WS 11 Shioura, Akiyoshi LNCS 74Shmidt, Yuriy CEUR-WS 779 Shmyrev, Vadim I. LNCS 61 Shulgina, Oksana CEUR-WS 321 Sidorov, Sergei CEUR-WS 234, 276 Simanchev, Ruslan LNCS 233, CEUR-WS 109 Skarin, Vladimir D. LNCS 441Soldatenko, Alexander CEUR-WS 367 Spivak, Yuliya CEUR-WS 125 Stabulit, Irina CEUR-WS 618 LNCS 404, LNCS 452, CEUR-WS 477 Strekalovskiy, Alexander Strusevich, Vitaly LNCS 74Suchý, Ondřej OT 6Sushchenko, Andrei CEUR-WS 284 Talmon, Nimrod LNCS 105

Tereshko, Dmitry CEUR-WS 293 Todosijević, Raca LNCS 336 Torgashov, Andrei CEUR-WS 723 Tsai, Yen-Shing OT 5Tse, Oliver CEUR-WS 284 Tsidulko, Oxana LNCS 136 Tsoy, George CEUR-WS 242 Tsoy, Rudolf CEUR-WS 415 Tungalag, Natsagdorj CEUR-WS 574 Turan, Cemil LNCS 538 LNCS 233, CEUR-WS 109 Urazova, Inna Urošević, Dragan LNCS 336 Ushakov, Anton CEUR-WS 536 Usik, Inna CEUR-WS 584 LNCS 244Utkina, Irina LNCS 105, OT 6 van Bevern, René Vasilev, Valery CEUR-WS 643 Vasilyev, Igor CEUR-WS 536, CEUR-WS 787 Velichko, Andrey CEUR-WS 383, CEUR-WS 394 Veremchuk, Natalia CEUR-WS 115 Vikhtenko, Elina CEUR-WS 303 Volkov, Vladimir CEUR-WS 196 Vorontsova, Evgeniya LNCS 547Wang, Zhong OT 7Weng, Wei CEUR-WS 791 Winokurow, Andrej LNCS 563Woeginger, Gerhard J. LNCS 105CEUR-WS 315, CEUR-WS 321 Yarullin, Rashid Zabotin, Igor CEUR-WS 321 Zabudsky, Gennady CEUR-WS 115 Zaikin, Oleg CEUR-WS 261Zakharov, Alexey CEUR-WS 656 Zhao, Shuping OT 7 Zheng, Jie LNCS 37Zhou, Zhiping OT 7Zhukovskiy, Vladislav CEUR-WS 618Zolotova, Tatiana CEUR-WS 596 Zur, Yehuda LNCS 15