

2016

**Actual problems of criminal law,
criminal procedure and criminalistics**

Materials of scientific conference

«East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH

**Vienna
2016**

**Actual problems of criminal law,
criminal procedure and criminalistics**

Materials of scientific conference

ISBN 978-3-903115-04-0

Editor-in-chief
Consulting editors

International editorial board

Proofreading
Cover design
Additional design
Editorial office

Email:
Homepage:

Samuel Cuenca, Spain
Kamila Orzechowska, Poland
Anton Mackevitch, Russia
Oana Olteanu, Romania
Nora Szekeresne, Hungary
Rima Dzhansarayeva, Kazakhstan
Květoslava Vitkova, Czech Republic
Matthias Kuster, Austria
Valery Gurchin, Ukraine
Herve Thomas, France
Tatiana Yashkova, Russia
Lidija Jovanović, Croatia
Kristin Theissen
Andreas Vogel
Stephan Friedman
European Science Review
"East West" Association for Advanced
Studies and Higher Education GmbH,
Am Gestade 1
1010 Vienna, Austria
info@ew-a.org
www.ew-a.org

This collection contains materials of scientific conference "Actual problems of criminal law, criminal procedure and criminalistics". The works are aimed at addressing problems such as the modern criminal policy, combating transnational organized crime and corruption, the development of criminal procedure legislation, to ensure the effective investigation of crimes.

Instructions for authors

Full instructions for manuscript preparation and submission can be found through the "East West" Association GmbH homepage at: <http://www.ew-a.org>.

Material disclaimer

The opinions expressed in the conference proceedings do not necessarily reflect those of the «East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH, the editor, the editorial board, or the organization to which the authors are affiliated.

© «East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH

All rights reserved; no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Publisher.

Typeset in Berling by Ziegler Buchdruckerei, Linz, Austria.

Printed by «East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH, Vienna, Austria on acid-free paper.

<i>Paizova A., Duzbayeva S.B.</i>	
Need of institute of mediation in criminal proceedings of Republic of Kazakhstan.....	135
<i>Senkibayev B., Mukhamadiyeva G.N.</i>	
Features of the preliminary investigation of the juvenile.....	138
<i>Suleimenova A.</i>	
The role of the investigating judge in the new Code of Criminal Procedure of the Republic of Kazakhstan.....	141
<i>Suleimenova A.</i>	
Issues of admissibility of evidence in light of the new criminal procedure legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan.....	143
<i>Sukhanova A., Duzbayeva S.B.</i>	
Prosecutor's supervision over execution of the legislation on juveniles in the Republic of Kazakhstan.....	146
<i>Tanatar A., Mukhamadiyeva G.N.</i>	
The necessity of introducing in the criminal proceedings of the Institute of the investigating judge.....	149
<i>Tasova M., Mukhamadiyeva G.N.</i>	
Equality of the parties as a principle of criminal proceedings.....	152
<i>Tasova M., Mukhamadiyeva G.N.</i>	
✓ Legal regulation of publicity in criminal proceedings.....	156
<i>Tasova M., Mukhamadiyeva G.N.</i>	
The legal nature of the principle of openness in criminal proceedings.....	159
<i>Tolegenova A., Zhanibekov A.K.</i>	
The concept and essence of prosecutorial supervision.....	162
<i>Toleuova A., Alimkulov E.T.</i>	
The investigative judge - as the factor of the system controls and counterbalances.....	163
<i>Tynysbek A., Duzbayeva S.B.</i>	
Legal basics of mediation development in Kazakhstan.....	168
<i>Sharipova A.B.</i>	
The activity of the court and adversarial of parties in criminal proceedings.....	170
<i>Aldabergen A., Duzbayeva S.B.</i>	
Mediation - the art of resolving conflicts.....	173
Section 3. Actual problems of criminalistics.....	175
<i>Adanbekova Z., Daubassova S.Sh.</i>	
Some aspects of the forensic classification of environmental crimes.....	175
<i>Aizharykova A., Satybaldinov D.D.</i>	
Method of investigation of a crime related to drugs.....	177
<i>Aitghanova B., Daubassova S.Sh.</i>	
Problems of pre-investigation and interrogation in legal enquiry arrangement.....	180
<i>Amanov R., Shopabayev B.A.</i>	
Problems of the theory of judicial examination: methodological aspects.....	183
<i>Appazov E., Shopabayev B.A.</i>	
Features of survey of material evidences research of traces of breaking.....	184
<i>Daligul S., Shopabayev B.A.</i>	
Judicial ballistics and judicial and ballistic examination.....	185
<i>Daubassova S.Sh., Abdizhappar I.</i>	
Forensic recommendations of interrogation.....	188
<i>Daubassova S., Ibraimov M.A.</i>	
About categories of forensic tactics.....	192
<i>Yeleuov M., Daubassova S.Sh.</i>	
Judicial examination in the Republic of Kazakhstan.....	196

References:

1. The Constitution of the Republic of Kazakhstan. The constitution adopted by national referendum 30 August 1995 // Bulletin of the Parliament of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 1996, № 4, p. 217;
2. Grinenko AV Sources of criminal procedural principles // Journal of Russian law. - 2001. - № 5. - C. 21-27;
3. Criminal Procedure Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated July 4, 2014 № 231 // "Kazakhstan truth" from 10.07.2014 № 133 (27754).

*Tasova M.
First-year Master's Student
Department of Criminal Law,
Criminal Procedure and Criminalistics
Faculty of Law
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University*

*Mukhamadiyeva G.N.
Candidate of legal sciences,
Department of criminal law,
Criminal procedure and criminalistics
Faculty of law
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University*

Legal regulation of publicity in criminal proceedings

Abstract. The article examines the legal nature of the principle of transparency as a fundamental guideline and start the criminal process of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Actualized the need for further improvement of the legislation defining the basic elements of a mechanism for implementing public. The questions of the need to identify ways to increase openness in criminal proceedings.

Keywords: the principle of transparency, prosecuting function accusations protection function, the administration of justice.

In many countries of the world, "the right to a public hearing" in court cases raised to the level of constitutional principle. This is not surprising, because international experience shows us that the transparent and public trial - is an effective means of social control over the judicial activities. The public nature of court proceedings contributes to the objectives of Article 6 § 1 European Convention on Human Rights, namely a fair trial [1].

Publicity and go through the secret history of the world of criminal justice. It is no coincidence these two procedural institute remain in the focus of the international law.

So, on the basis of Article 6 § 1 European Convention on Human Rights to claim 1 or Article 14 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the court may consider the case in closed session [2]. At the same time press and public may be excluded from all judicial proceedings or a part thereof for reasons of morals, public order or national security in a democratic society, and where the interests of juveniles or the protection of the private life of the parties, or - to the extent that in the opinion of the court, is strictly necessary - in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice.

In addition, the court may consider a

criminal case in a closed session in order to protect the interests of any minor whose rights may be violated in the case of an open trial in which the minor is a victim or a witness.

The form of the proceedings of cases of crimes committed by persons under 18 years of age, shall be considered and the UN Standard Minimum Rules relating to the administration of juvenile justice (The Beijing Rules) [3], clause 8.1 which provides that the right of a minor to confidentiality must be respected at all stages in order to avoid causing harm to her or him by undue publicity or by the possibility of damage to reputation. These rules of international law in accordance with Art. 4 of the Constitution are binding for the Kazakh justice.

The principle of transparency, enshrined Art. 29 Code of Criminal Procedure is constitutional provision that everyone has the right to be heard in court. However, this provision cannot fully reveal the contents of the principle of openness in criminal proceedings.

Publicity of the trial is one of the most important constitutional guarantees of human and civil rights in criminal proceedings. Given the importance attached by the international publicity - legal acts, it must be enshrined in the basic documents as a fundamental principle, that would be a fundamental guarantee of its strict compliance enforcer.

According to the decision of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Kazakhstan "On the observance of the principle of publicity of legal proceedings on criminal cases" under the publicity of proceedings is to be understood not only for an open trial, but also to ensure participation of the parties, the possibility of the presence of other persons not involved in the case. Transparency requires access to participants in the process to all materials of the case, including, obtained in the course of search operations, to the instructions of the prosecutor, according to the preliminary investigation (except as provided by law). In addition, the guidelines include public proclamation of judgment, notice and familiarize parties with the received complaints of other participants in the process, the awareness of time and place of the hearing in any court, the creation of a single database

entered into force verdicts and decisions of courts and free access to them, the availability of information on the performance of judicial acts.

In addition, the guidelines include public proclamation of judgment, notice and familiarize parties with the received complaints of other participants in the process, the awareness of time and place of the hearing in any court, the creation of a single database entered into force verdicts and decisions of courts and free access to them, the availability of information on the performance of judicial acts. Thus, good compliance with the principle of openness in criminal proceedings and contributes to the implementation of the principle of inviolability of the person, because It provides safety, non-disclosure of personal and family life of the defendant. For example, the balance of this ratio emphasized norm Regulatory Resolution of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated December 6, 2002 N 25" On the observance of the principle of transparency of proceedings in criminal matters" [4], according to which "insubordinate presiding entails application of the measures under Art. 346 Code of Criminal Procedure , and in cases of violations of constitutional rights to privacy, personal and family secrets of the perpetrators can be brought to justice established by law".

In Art. 29 The CPC found that the trial of criminal cases in all courts and in all courts occurs openly. Limitation of trial publicity is allowed:

- 1) to non-disclosure of state secrets;
- 2) in cases of minor offenses;
- 3) in cases of sexual offenses, other cases in order to prevent the disclosure of information about the private life of the persons involved in the case;
- 4) in cases where this is required by the security interests of the victim, witness or other persons participating in business, as well as members of their families or close relatives;
- 5) when considering complaints against actions and decisions of the body conducting the criminal proceedings.

Limitation of trial publicity can spread to the entire period of court proceedings or that part, which investigates the above mentioned circumstances, as shall be specified in the

decision. If in respect of the individual defendants are the reasons for holding a closed trial in connection with the protection of state secrets, but these circumstances do not apply to the other defendants, the court may allocate from the criminal case into separate proceedings another criminal case, which is to be examined in a closed court session. If the allocation of the case will affect the comprehensiveness, fullness of his studies and permits the production of such action, in accordance with Part 4 Art. 49 Code of Criminal Procedure is not allowed. In this case, the court, in accordance with Part 1 of Art. 29 Code of Criminal Procedure, decide whether to hold a closed trial on the whole case. In accordance with Art. 403 Criminal Procedure Code the court decision on the publicity of the trial, taken during the trial, a separate appeal and protest are not subject to objections against them can be summarized in the appeal or protest filed against the verdict (ruling) of the court rendered on the merits.

The verdict of the court and the decision taken in the case, in all cases, be announced publicly.

The Normative Resolution of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated December 6, 2002 N 25 "On the observance of the principle of publicity of legal proceedings in criminal cases," found that "violation of the criminal process principles, including openness, depending on its nature and materiality, entails recognition

held manufacturing invalid, the abolition of the decisions made or the recognition of materials collected at the same time do not have evidence of strength". In this regard, the courts ordered to prevent cases of illegal restrictions of transparency, to provide free access to the courtrooms of all stakeholders and members of the media. The Supreme Court has fixed that transparency ensures the availability of participants in the process to all materials of the case, the publicity of the proclamation of the court decision, notice and familiarize parties with the received complaints of other participants in the process, the awareness of time and place of the proceedings in any court, and on the performance of judicial acts.

The limits of transparency in the pre-trial stage of the proceedings in accordance with Art. 205 Code of Criminal Procedure defines the body conducting the criminal proceedings, and in the hearing - the court. The Court, at the request of a party or on its own initiative, the appointment of the main trial, with reduction in the resolution of the relevant reasons, must decide whether a public or private hearing.

Submit petitions to limit the publicity of the trial at the stage of the main purpose of the trial (preliminary hearing), and during the main trial may only actors. Other persons, including representatives of the media, are deprived of this right.

References:

1. The Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (Rome, 4 November 1950);
2. On the ratification of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated November 28, 2005 N 91 // Bulletin of international agreements the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2006, N 4, p. Thirty;
3. Standard Minimum Rules of the United Nations concerning the administration of juvenile justice ("the Beijing Rules") Adopted by General Assembly resolution 40/33 of 10 December 1985
4. V. Bozrov. Openness and secrecy in the criminal proceedings. "Russian justice", N 2, February 2002 // <http://podelise.ru>
5. respecting the principle of openness of court proceedings in criminal cases Normative Resolution of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated December 6, 2002 N 25 // "Kazakhstanskaya Pravda" on January 9, 2003 N 6-7;
6. Criminal Procedure Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan from July 4, 2014 № 231 // "Kazakhstanskaya Pravda" from 10.07.2014 № 133 (27754);

7. On some issues of application of the legislation on the judiciary in the Republic of Kazakhstan Normative Resolution of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated May 14, 1998 № 1 // <http://adilet.zan.kz>

*Tasova M.
First-year Master's Student
Department of Criminal Law,
Criminal Procedure and Criminalistics
Faculty of Law
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University*

*Mukhamadiyeva G.N.
Candidate of legal sciences,
Department of criminal law,
Criminal procedure and criminalistics
Faculty of law
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University*

The legal nature of the principle of openness in criminal proceedings

Annotation. The article examines the legal nature of the principle of transparency as a fundamental guideline and start the criminal process of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Actualized the need for further improvement of the legislation defining the basic elements of a mechanism for implementing public. The questions of the need to identify ways to increase openness in criminal proceedings.

Keywords: the principle of transparency, prosecuting function accusations protection function, the administration of justice.

In many countries of the world, "the right to a public trial" court cases elevated to a constitutional principle. This is not surprising because the world experience convinces us that the transparent and public proceedings is an effective means of social control over the judicial activities. The public nature of the proceedings to the aims of Article 6 § 1 European Convention on Human Rights, namely a fair trial [1].

In many countries of the world, "the right to a public trial" court cases elevated to a constitutional principle. This is not surprising because the world experience convinces us that the transparent and public proceedings is an effective means of social control over the judicial activities. The public nature of the proceedings to the aims of Article 6 § 1 European Convention on Human Rights, namely a fair trial [1]. At the same time press and public may be excluded from all judicial proceedings or a part thereof for reasons of morals, public order or national

security in a democratic society, and where the interests of juveniles or the protection of the private life of the parties, or to the extent that in the opinion of the court, is strictly necessary in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice.

In addition, the court may consider a criminal case in a closed session to protect the interests of any minor whose rights may be violated in the case of an operational, in which a minor is a victim or a witness.

The form of the proceedings of cases of crimes committed by persons under the age of 18, is considered and the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules relating to the administration of juvenile justice (The Beijing Rules) [3], paragraph 8.1 of which provides that the right of minors to Confidentiality shall be respected at all stages in order to avoid causing harm to her or him by undue publicity or because of potential damage to reputation. These rules of international law in accordance with Art. 4 of the Constitution of the Republic