Doctor of Economics, professor **Mukhtarova K.S.** Al-Farabi Kazakh national university Senior teacher **Myltykbayeva A.T.** Al-Farabi Kazakh national university

Competitiveness of the region in Kazakhstan: problems and solutions

Competitiveness of the region is defined in comparison with other similar objects. This characteristic belongs to estimated indicators therefore assumes along with object and subject of an assessment also existence of certain criteria [1]. Position in the market, rates of development, opportunity to pay for the received borrowed funds, consumer properties in relation to the goods price, etc. can be criteria (purposes) of an assessment. Competitiveness of the region reflects the level of effective management of its economy. The last is one of factors of regulation of economy of the region which represents a driving force of development of the certain region and regional community in general. Therefore as criterion of an assessment of competitiveness of the region it is expedient to choose rates of development of regions of Kazakhstan which have asymmetric character [2].

Dynamics of regional differentiation is various on separate indicators. At the same time, results of measurement are as follows. The general tendency during the considered period on all indicators testifies to asymmetric type of regional development [3-4]. Differentiation on some indicators is weakened in 2003-2005. At the same time, it amplifies after 2007 to what the majority of indicators testifies. The period of 2007-2008 is noted by growth of differentiation of economic space on the majority of indicators. All this specifies that the inequality of regions of Kazakhstan during the considered period in general increased. There are all bases to believe that the following tendency will remain further: regions with the lowest level of development will lag behind even more other regions. The developed tendency will conduct to further polarization of society on a territorial and property sign, increase in is mute social tension (table 1).

Table 1 – An index of regional asymmetry on a number of indicators in Kazakhstan during the period from 2003 to 2013 (%, lack of asymmetry -0%)

| Volume indicators | Years |
|-------------------|-------|
|-------------------|-------|

| | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Gross regional product | 65 | 63 | 68 | 77 | 69 | 62 | 64 | 64 | 62 | 63 | 64 |
| Gross regional product counting on 1 persons. | 77 | 73 | 76 | 78 | 70 | 72 | 72 | 77 | 72 | 66 | 65 |
| Average monthly nominal salary of hired workers | 42 | 39 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 33 | 35 |
| Number of the registered unemployed | 42 | 39 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 46 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 49 | 51 |
| Monetary income of the population counting on 1 persons. | 43 | 51 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 47 | 46 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 38 |
| Volume of an industrial output | 65 | 63 | 66 | 64 | 61 | 66 | 68 | 70 | 72 | 69 | 69 |
| Introduction to operation of housing | 74 | 73 | 82 | 72 | 73 | 69 | 80 | 87 | 79 | 73 | 64 |
| Emissions of harmful substances in atmospheric air | 183 | 187 | 183 | 174 | 170 | 153 | 130 | 133 | 135 | 131 | |
| Note – It is made by the authors | | | | | | | | | | | |

Generalizing the analysis, it is necessary to allocate a circle of the problems promoting growth of regional asymmetry and decrease in level of competitiveness of the region:

1) non-uniform adaptedness of regions with different structure of economy to functioning in the conditions of the market competition;

2) the branch principle of management of economy that causes stimulation only of basic areas having high extent of territorial localization; insufficiently intensive cooperation between different regions;

3) weakening of a role of the state concerning regulation of regional economic development and alignment of disproportions at rates and the directions;

4) asymmetry of influence of the state via the existing mechanisms on development of different regions.

Results of the analysis specify that synchronization of interests of the state and region in the certain territory will allow not only to lift its economy, but finally will lead to reduction of

disproportions of development between regions. Thus the special importance is gained by management of region economy.

References:

- 1. Patrick E. Science and technology parks as instrument of support of innovative activity //Problems of the theory and practice of management. 2009. No. 3. P. 59-64.
- 2. Tatarkin A. Industrial policy as basis of modernization of economy of Russia //Problems of the theory and practice of management. 2008. No. 1. P. 228-231.
- Malt liquor M. The competition / lane from English V.D. Shchetinin. M, etc.: Publishing house "Williams". – 2000. – 495 p.
- 4. Grazhevskaya N. Ensuring competitiveness of national economy in global postindustrial measurement//Economy of Ukraine. – 2008. - No. 9. – Page 54-63.