GSI-2018-2 REPORT July 2018

## News and Reports from

## High Energy Density generated by Heavy Ion and Laser Beams



The HED@FAIR Collaboration - Report 2017

Final focussing of collimated proton beams with the laser-driven LIGHT beamline	42
D. Jahn, D. Schumacher, C. Brabetz, J. Ding, R. Leonhardt, F. Kroll, F.E. Brack, U. Schramm, A. Blazevic, M. Roth	
Ultra-high magnetic field generation in curved targets: A novel approach to laboratory astrophysics and laser-based applications <i>P. Korneev, J.J. Santos, M. Ehret, Y. Abe, F. Law, Y. Kochetkov, V. Stepanishchev,</i> <i>S. Fujioka, E. d'Humieres, V. Bagnoud, B. Zielbauer, G. Schaumann, M. Roth, V.</i> <i>Tikhonchuk</i>	43
Investigations on laser-based neutron sources for possible applications <i>M. Zimmer</i> , <i>A. Kleinschmidt, S. Aumüller, V. A. Schanz, D. Jahn, J. Hornung, M. Roth</i>	44
5 Accelerator and Beam Physics	
Accelerator Driven High Energy Density Science - Activation of structural components of high intensity accelerators <i>Peter Katrík, Dieter H.H. Hoffmann, Edil Mustafin, Ivan Strašík</i>	45
High Intensity Stored Beams of <sup>229m</sup> Th and the Nuclear Clock Perspective Zenke Dou, Shihao Ru, Kun Xue, Shizeng Zhang, Yongtao Zhao, Dieter HH Hoffmann	46
6 Theory for HED/WDM in Plasma -, Laser - and Atomic Physics	
Two-body formation mesonic bound states in ultradense plasmas of fusion catalysis concern <i>C. Deutsch</i>	47
Two-body diagnostics mesonic bound states in ultradense plasmas of fusion catalysis concern C. Deutsch	49
Warm-Dense-Matter State of Iron Generated by Intense Heavy Ion Beams L. Zhang, J. Ren, Y. Zhao	50
Simulations of Beam-Plasma Interactions UsingWARP Particle-In-Cell Framework Kookjin Moon, Moses Chung	51
Relativistic stopping power for alpha particles in hot plasmas Y. Zhang, B. He, Y. Zhao	52
Stopping power of warm dense electron plasmas I.M. Tkachenko, Yu.V. Arkhipov, A.B. Ashikbayeva, A. Askaruly, A.E. Davletov, D.Yu. Dubovtsev, S.A. Syzganbayeva	53
Interaction of Intense Laser Pulses with Quantum Plasma Punit Kumar, Shiv Singh, Nafees Ahmad	55

## Stopping power of warm dense electron plasmas\*

I.M. Tkachenko<sup>1,#</sup>, Yu.V. Arkhipov<sup>2</sup>, A.B. Ashikbayeva<sup>2</sup>, A. Askaruly<sup>2</sup>, A.E. Davletov<sup>2</sup>, D.Yu. Dubovtsev<sup>2</sup>, S.A. Syzganbayeva<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan

Phenomena related to the interaction between beams of charged particles with condensed matter and plasmas have been widely studied using different methods of diagnostics. In addition, beams of heavy fast ions are considered as a perspective driver for the inertial fusion.

The plasma polarizational stopping power of heavy ions projectiles is described by the Lindhard formula [1]:

$$\left[-\frac{dE}{dx}\right]^{pol} = \frac{2(Z_{\rho}\epsilon)^2}{\pi v^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \int_0^{kv} \omega^2 \left(-\operatorname{Im}\frac{\varepsilon^{-1}(k,\omega)}{\omega}\right) d\omega, \quad (1)$$

whose high-velocity asymptotic form was found by Bohr, Bethe, and Larkin [2-4]:

$$\left[-\frac{dE}{dx}\right]_{v\to\infty}^{pol} \cong \left(\frac{Z_p e \omega_p}{v}\right)^2 \operatorname{Ln} \frac{2m_e v^2}{\hbar \omega_p}.$$
 (2)

The plasma inverse dielectric function (IDF),  $\varepsilon^{-1}(k, \omega)$ , was determined in [5] within the moment approach [6] complemented by some physical observations which permitted to express it in terms of only two characteristic frequencies, which are the ratios of the frequency power moments of the IDF imaginary part:

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(k,\omega) = 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2 \left(\sqrt{2}\omega_1 \omega + i\omega_2^2\right)}{\sqrt{2}\omega_1 \omega \left(\omega^2 - \omega_2^2\right) + i\omega_2^2 \left(\omega^2 - \omega_1^2\right)}.$$
 (3)

The frequencies  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  in (3) can be calculated rigorously as soon as we know the static structure factor (SSF) of the system [5, 6]. Here we employ the following interpolation expressions [7,8]:

$$\omega_{\rm l}^2 = \omega_{\rm l}^2 \left( k \right) = \omega_p^2 \left( 1 + k^2 k_D^{-2} + k^4 k_q^{-4} \right), \tag{4}$$

$$\omega_{2}^{2} = \omega_{2}^{2}(k) = \omega_{p}^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\langle v_{e}^{2} \rangle k^{2}}{\omega_{p}^{2}} - \frac{v_{int}^{2} k^{2}}{\omega_{p}^{2}} \right).$$
(5)

The interpolation and fitting parameters introduced here are the following:

$$v_{int}^2 = -\frac{4}{15} \frac{\Gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\beta m_e} \left( \frac{-0.9052}{\sqrt{0.6322 + \Gamma}} + \frac{0.27243}{1 + \Gamma} \right),$$

and  $k_D^{-1}$  is the Debye radius,  $\Gamma = \beta e^2/a$ ,  $k_q^4 = 12r_s/a^4$  $r_s = a/a_B$ , a and  $a_B$  are the Wigner-Seitz and Bohr radii,  $\beta = 1/k_B T$ ,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the temperature. Under the thermodynamic conditions we deal with here, the system is practically a classical plasma so that all magnitudes can be expressed in terms of the plasma coupling parameter  $\Gamma$ , and we may use for the average electron thermal velocity the Vlasov classical form:  $\langle v_e^2 \rangle = 3k_B T/m_e$ .

We have compared the polarizational losses of heavy projectiles in electron gas calculated within the simplified interpolation version of the modified method of moments [5] to the results of the latter and to the PIC simulation data [9] in classical systems, see the Figures 1-4.



Figure 1: The electron gas stopping power (-dE/dx) as a function of the projectile velocity v normalized to the thermal velocity  $v_{th} = \sqrt{k_B T/m_e}$  for a positively charged ion and different coupling strengths  $Z\Gamma^{3/2}$ ; the circles display the simulation data, the solid line represents the asymptotic form (2), the dash-dotted line stands for the results of the interpolation model, while the triangles correspond to the complete method-of-moments results with the SSF determined in the hyper-netted chain approximation. The plasma conditions and charge state Z are:  $k_BT = 10 \text{ eV}$ ,  $n = 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , Z = 2 for  $Z\Gamma^{3/2} = 0.23$ .



<sup>\*</sup> Work supported by Ministry of Education and Science, Kazakhstan

Grants AP05132677, AP05132333 and BR05236730/PTsF.

<sup>#</sup> imtk@mat.upv.es

Figure 2: As in Fig. 1 but for  $k_BT=20$  eV,  $n=2.1\cdot 10^{23}$  cm^{-3}, Z=2 and  $Z\Gamma^{3/2}{=}1.1$ 



Figure 3: As in Fig. 1 but for  $k_BT = 10 \text{ eV}$ ,  $n = 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , Z = 1 and  $Z\Gamma^{3/2} = 0.11$ 



Figure 4: As in Fig. 1 but for  $k_BT = 20 \text{ eV}$ ,  $n = 2.1 \cdot 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , Z = 8 and  $Z\Gamma^{3/2} = 4.6$ 

Under the above conditions the interaction contribution |U(q)| to the frequency  $\omega_2$  is at least an order of magnitude smaller than the kinetic one, K(q), so that the simplified random-phase interpolation form for the frequency  $\omega_1(q)$  is perfectly applicable [10].

We observe that the suggested simplified interpolation approach based on the modified method of moments is capable of describing the energy losses of a charged particle in warm dense effectively classical electron plasmas with a fairly good precision. Notice that no adjustable parameters were used, and the interpolationmodel calculation is practically algebraic. Last year the same interpolation approach was applied to describe the stopping power of two-component plasmas, and we are planning to carry out a comparative study. Our results imply the physical applicability both of the interpolation and the complete modified moment approach.

## References

- J. Lindhard, K.Dan.Vidensk.Selsk,Mat.Fys.Medd. 28 (1954) 3.
- [2] N. Bohr, Phil. Mag. 25 (1913) 10.
- [3] H. Bethe, Ann. Phys.(Lpz.) 5 (1930) 325.
- [4] A.I. Larkin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 37 (1959) 264 [Sov. Phys. JETP 37 (1960) 186].
- [5] Yu. V. Arkhipov et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., 119, (2017) 045001.
- [6] Igor M. Tkachenko, Yu.V. Arkhipov, A. Askaruly. The Method of Moments and its Applications in Plasma Physics (Lambert, Saarbrücken, 2012) 125 pp.
- [7] V.M. Adamyan, I.M. Tkachenko, High Temp., 21 (1983) 307; J. Ortner, I.M. Tkachenko, Phys. Rev. E 63 (2001) 026403.
- [8] G. Chabrier, A. Y. Potekhin, Phys. Rev. E 58 (1998) 4941.
- [9] G. Zwicknagel, Laser and Particle Beams, 27 (2009) 399.
- [10] Yu. V. Arkhipov et al., Contr. Plasma Phys., 56, (2016) 403.