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#### Abstract

In this paper, we get estimates in Sobolev spaces for solutions of stationary linear problem arising in magnetohydrodynamics. The problem is studied in the multiply connected domains.
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## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Let $\Omega_{1}$, bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with a smooth boundary $S_{1}$, be strictly interior subdomain $\Omega$ from abroad $S$ and let $\Omega_{2}=\Omega \backslash \Omega_{1}$. In this paper, we consider the linear problem that is the system of Maxwell's equations with excluded bias current

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{rot} \vec{H}(x)-\sigma \vec{E}(x)=\vec{j}(x), \\
\operatorname{div} \vec{H}(x)=0,  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{rot} \vec{E}(x)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

at a given $\vec{j}(x), x \in \Omega_{1}$. Thus, $\operatorname{rot} \vec{H}=0, \operatorname{div} \vec{H}=0, x \in \Omega_{2}$ and fair

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[H_{n}\right]=0,\left[\vec{H}_{\tau}\right]=0, x \in S_{1}}  \tag{2}\\
H_{n}=0, x \in S .
\end{gather*}
$$

Under $[u]$ jump of the function $u(x), x \in \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$ on the surface $S_{1}:[u]=u^{1}(x)-u^{2}(x), u^{(i)}=\left.u(x)\right|_{x \in \Omega_{i}}, H_{n}=\vec{H} \cdot \vec{n}$ and $\vec{H}_{\tau}=\vec{H}-\vec{n} H_{n}$ are normal and tangential components of the vector $\vec{H}(x)$ on $S$ and $S_{1}, \mu$ is a piecewise constant function, equal $\mu_{i}$ in $\Omega_{i}, i=1,2, \mu_{i}>0$.

Problem (1)-(2) arises in the study of problems of magneto hydrodynamics, [1-3] in which $\Omega_{1}$ is an area, filled with a viscous incompressible electrically conducting fluid, $\Omega_{2}$ is a vacuum surrounding, $S$ is a perfectly conducting surface, $\vec{H}(x)$ is the magnetic field strength. Relations (1) represent a linearized stationary equations of Maxwell (with exceptional bias currents) and (2) represent the standard conditions at the boundary of the magnetic field. We assume the field $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ simply connected. Then equations $\operatorname{rot} \vec{H}=0, \operatorname{div} \vec{H}=0$ in $\Omega_{2}$ entails $\vec{H}^{2}(x)=\nabla \varphi(x)$, where $\varphi(x)$ is a solution of the following Neumann problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{2} \varphi(x)=0, x \in \Omega_{2},\left.\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}\right|_{x \in S}=0 \\
\left.\mu_{2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}\right|_{x \in S_{1}}=\left.\mu_{1} \vec{H}^{(1)} \vec{n}\right|_{x \in S_{1}} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and problem (1)-(2) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\sigma} \operatorname{rotrot} \vec{H}^{(1)}(x)=\vec{g}(x), \operatorname{div} \vec{H}^{(1)}(x)=0 \\
\vec{H}^{(2)}(x)=\nabla \varphi(x) \\
\nabla^{2} \varphi(x)=0, x \in \Omega_{2},\left.\quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}\right|_{x \in S}=0  \tag{4}\\
\mu_{2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}-\left.\mu_{1} \vec{H}^{(1)} \vec{n}\right|_{x \in S_{1}}=0 \\
\vec{H}_{\tau}^{(1)}=\nabla_{\tau} \varphi(x), x \in S_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\vec{g}(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma} \operatorname{rot} \vec{j}(x)$.
Hence, $\vec{H}^{2}(x)$ is completely determined by $\left.\vec{H}^{1} \cdot \vec{n}\right|_{x \in S_{1}}$. Conditions on the surface $S_{1}$ for the vector $\vec{H}$ can be briefly written as $\vec{H}_{\tau}(x)=B(\vec{H} \cdot \vec{n})$, where $B-$ nonlocal linear operator. We use annotation of functional spaces and norms accepting in $[4,5]$.
Theorem 1. Suppose that $\vec{g}(x) \in L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{g}=0, \nabla \cdot \vec{H}(x)=0, x \in \Omega_{1}  \tag{5}\\
\vec{H}_{\tau}^{(1)}=B\left(\vec{H}^{(1)} \cdot \vec{n}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

hold. Then, problem (1)-(2) has a unique solution $\vec{H}^{(1)} \in W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{w_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \leq c\|\vec{g}\|_{L_{p\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $W_{p}^{r}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), r=[r]+\lambda, 0<\lambda<1$ is the space with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{w_{p}^{r}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \leq\left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq[r]}\left\|D^{j} v\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}^{p}+\sum_{|j|=[r]} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \int_{\Omega_{1}}\left|D^{j} v(x)-D^{j} v(y)\right|^{p} \frac{d x d y}{|x-y|^{3}+p \lambda}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

It is easy to check that (6) implies the same estimate for $\vec{H}^{2}(x)$. Indeed, the solution of problem (3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \varphi\|_{w_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)} \leq c\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)} \cdot \vec{n}\right\|_{w_{p}^{1-1 / p}\left(S_{1}\right)} \leq c\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{w_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, since

$$
\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega_{2}} \nabla \varphi \nabla \eta d x=-\int_{S} \mu_{1} \vec{H}^{(1)} \vec{n} \eta d s=-\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \vec{H}^{(1)} \vec{n} \eta d s=-\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \vec{H}^{(1)} \nabla \eta d x
$$

for any $\eta \in W_{p}^{1}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)} \leq c\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\vec{H}^{(2)}\right\|_{w_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)} \leq c\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{w_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have $\vec{H}^{2}=\nabla \varphi$, where $\varphi(x)$ is the weak solution of the Neumann problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{2} \varphi=0, x \in \Omega_{2} \\
\left.\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}\right|_{S}=0, \mu_{2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}-\left.\mu_{1} \vec{H}^{(1)} \vec{n}\right|_{S_{1}}=0 \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

i.e., the function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies the following integral identity, for all test function $\eta \in J_{2}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \bigcap J_{2}^{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$, satisfying boundary conditions (10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega_{2}} \nabla \varphi \nabla \eta d x+\int_{\Omega_{1}} \mu_{1} H^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \eta d x=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solenoidal condition (for example $\nabla \vec{g}=0$ ) understood in the usual meaning as $\int_{\Omega_{1}} \vec{g} \cdot \nabla \eta d x=0$ for any smooth $\eta$ vanishing on $S_{1}$.

Condition (5) means for $p>3 / 2$ as equality trace function $\vec{H}(x)$ and on $S: \vec{H}_{\tau}^{1}=\nabla_{\tau} \varphi=\vec{H}_{\tau}^{2} \in W_{p}^{2-3 / p}\left(S_{1}\right)$. At $p<3 / 2$ it makes no sense, and if $p=3 / 2$ understood as an integral limitations

$$
\int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\vec{k}-\vec{H}^{(2)}-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{n}^{*}\left(\vec{k}-\vec{H}^{(2)}\right) \rho^{-1}(x)\right) d x
$$

where $\rho(x)$ is a smooth function, equal $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, S_{1}\right)$ around $S_{1}, \vec{n}^{*}$ is a smooth extension of the normal $\vec{n}$ inside $\Omega_{2}$, $\vec{k} \in W_{3 / 2}^{2 / 3}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ is continuation of the vector field $\vec{H}^{1} \in W_{3 / 2}^{2 / 3}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ with preservation of class.

Remark 1. For applications to the magneto hydrodynamics most interesting case $p>3 / 2$.

## PROBLEM (1)-(2) IN MULTIPLY CONNECTED DOMAINS $\Omega_{1}$ AND $\Omega$

We turn to a discussion of problem (1)-(2). In the case of many areas of connectedness convenient consider it in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{rot} \vec{E}=0, \operatorname{div} \vec{H}(x)=0, x \in \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}, \\
\operatorname{rot} \vec{H}=\sigma \vec{E}+\vec{j}(x), x \in \Omega_{1}, \\
\operatorname{rot} \vec{H}(x)=0, \operatorname{div} \vec{E}=0, x \in \Omega_{2},  \tag{12}\\
{[\mu \vec{H} \cdot \vec{n}]=0,\left[\vec{H}_{\tau}\right]=0, \quad\left[\vec{E}_{\tau}\right]=0, x \in S_{1},} \\
\vec{H} \cdot \vec{n}=0, \vec{E}_{\tau}=0, x \in S
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\vec{j}(x)$ is given and $\vec{E}$ is additional unknown vector field.
It is clear that, $\vec{E}$ easily eliminated from (12) by (1)-(2) with $\vec{g}(x)=\sigma^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \vec{j}$. Thus, $\vec{H}^{1}(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma^{-1} \operatorname{rotrot} \vec{H}^{(1)}=\sigma^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \vec{j}(x), \operatorname{div} \vec{H}^{(1)}=0, x \in \Omega_{1},  \tag{13}\\
\mu_{1} \vec{H}^{(1)} \vec{n}=\mu_{2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}, \vec{H}_{\tau}^{(1)}=\nabla_{\tau} \varphi+\vec{u}_{\tau}(x), x \in S_{1}, \vec{H}^{(1)}(x)=0 \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where function $\varphi$, as above, a solution of (3). In addition, it is easy to check that $\vec{H}(x)$ satisfies the integral identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{1}} \operatorname{rot} \vec{H} \cdot \operatorname{rot} \psi d x=\int_{\Omega_{1}} \vec{j}(x) \operatorname{rot} \vec{\psi}(x) d x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{\psi}$ is any vector field of the $\operatorname{rot} \vec{\psi} \in W_{2}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \bigcap W_{2}^{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right), \operatorname{rot} \vec{\psi}=0$ in $\Omega_{2}$ and continuous tangential component on $S_{1}$. Let $\vec{u}_{m}^{*}$ be solenoidal smooth extension $\vec{u}_{m}$ in the area $\Omega_{1}$. In (15) putting $\vec{\psi}=\vec{u}_{m}^{*}$, we get

$$
-\int_{\Omega_{1}} \operatorname{rotrot} \vec{H}^{(1)} \cdot \vec{u}_{m}^{*} d x+\int_{\Omega_{1}} \operatorname{rot} \vec{j}(x) \cdot \vec{u}_{m}^{*} d x=\int_{S_{1}}\left(\operatorname{rot} \vec{H}^{(1)}-\vec{j}\right)\left(\vec{n} \times \vec{u}_{m}\right) d S
$$

that by (13) and $\vec{H}^{2}=\nabla \varphi+\vec{u}(x), \vec{u}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{h+h_{1}} K_{j} \cdot \vec{u}_{j}(x)$ is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{h+h_{1}} C_{m j} k_{j}^{\prime}=-\int_{S_{1}}\left(\sigma^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \vec{H}^{(1)}-\sigma^{-1} \vec{j}\right)\left(\vec{n} \times \vec{u}_{m}\right) d S \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ and $h_{1}$ are the first Betti numbers of $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{1}$.
We show that $\vec{H}$ is reduced to the evaluation $\vec{H}^{1}(x)$, satisfying (16) and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{h+h_{1}} k_{j} C_{m i}=\int_{\Omega_{2}} \vec{H}^{2}(x) \cdot \vec{u}_{m}(x) d x
$$

where $C_{m j}=\int_{\Omega_{2}} u_{m}(x) \vec{u}_{j}(x) d x$ are elements of a positive definite matrix.
Problem (13), (14) differs from (4) only in the presence of heterogeneity in the boundary condition. In the same way as above, we can prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}(\Omega)} & \leq c\left[\|\operatorname{rot} \vec{j}(x)\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|\vec{u}\|_{W_{p}^{2-1 / p}\left(S_{1}\right)}+\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}\right] \\
& \leq c\left(\|\operatorname{rot} \vec{j}\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we obtain (9) for $\varphi(x)$ the following inequality

$$
\|\nabla \varphi\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \leq c\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}
$$

and hence

$$
\left\|\vec{H}^{(2)}\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \leq c\left\|\mid \vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} .
$$

Next, we use the interpolation inequality [4]

$$
\left\|\operatorname{rot} \vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(S_{1}\right)} \leq \varepsilon\left\|D^{2} \vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+c(\varepsilon)\left\|\vec{H}^{(1)}\right\|_{L_{p}}
$$

Combining these inequalities, we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|\vec{H}^{(i)}\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)} \leq c\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\left(\|\operatorname{rot} \vec{j}\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|\vec{j}(x)\|_{L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (17) from system (1), we get the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|\vec{E}^{(i)}(x)\right\|_{W_{p}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)} \leq c\left[\|\operatorname{rot} \vec{H}\|_{W_{p}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|\vec{j}(x)\|_{W_{p}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}\right] \leq c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|\vec{H}^{(i)}\right\|_{W_{p}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the vector field $\vec{E}(x)$. Thus, we have proved the following theorem.
Theorem 2. If in (12) vectors $\vec{j}(x), \operatorname{rot} \vec{j}(x) \in L_{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$, then the electric and magnetic fields $\vec{E}(x) \in W_{p}^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ and $\vec{H}(x) \in W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), i=1,2$, and the estimates (17) and (18) hold.
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