# THE ALTERNATIVE OPERAD IS NOT KOSZUL 

ASKAR DZHUMADIL'DAEV AND PASHA ZUSMANOVICH

In the online compendium [Lod], it is asked whether the alternative operad is Koszul. The purpose of this note is to demonstrate that the answer to this question is negative. In doing so, we are helped with the programs Albert [A1] and PARI/GP [P].

## 1. The alternative operad and its Koszul dual

Recall that an algebra is called right-alternative if it satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x y) y=x(y y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and left-alternative if it satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x x) y=x(x y) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

An algebra which is both right-alternative and left-alternative is called alternative.
Linearizing identities (11) and (21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x y) z+(x z) y-x(y z)-x(z y)=0 \tag{RA}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x y) z+(y x) z-x(y z)-y(x z)=0 \tag{LA}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. If the characteristic of the ground field is different from 2, these identities are equivalent to the initial ones, and they define binary quadratic operads $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} l t$ and $\mathcal{A} l t$ (dubbed right-alternative, left-alternative and alternative operads). In characteristic 2 things go berserk: identities (1) and (21) are not equivalent to the corresponding linearized identities, so it is impossible to encode them with operads in a straightforward manner. We will exclude this case from our considerations.

Right- and left-alternative algebras are opposite to each other, i.e., if $A$ is a right-alternative algebra, then the algebra defined on the same vector space $A$ with multiplication $x \circ y=y x$ is a left-alternative algebra, and vice versa. Hence all the statements below for left-alternative algebras automatically follow from the corresponding statements for right-alternative ones, and in the proofs we will consider the right-alternative case only. Most of these statements are trivial and/or have been considered previously in the literature, but they provide a good warm-up before the more difficult alternative case.

Every associative algebra is alternative. An example of a non-associative alternative algebra is the octonion algebra, appearing prominently in mathematics and physics (see, for example, the excellent survey (B]). Note also that free alternative algebras are much more difficult objects than, for example, their associative or Lie counterparts, and are still not understood sufficiently well.

For the general operadic business, including important notions of Koszulity and Koszul duality, we refer to the book [MSS] and the foundational paper [GiK]. However, to understand this note it is enough to adopt an intuitive and primitive view on operads as polylinear parts of the corresponding free algebras, and to accept the Ginzburg-Kapranov criterion for Koszulity, as described below, for granted.

[^0]Proposition. Each of the operads Koszul dual to the right-alternative, left-alternative and alternative operad is defined by two identities: associativity and the identity
in the right-alternative case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y z+x z y=0 \tag{!}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
x y z+y x z=0
$$

in the left-alternative case, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y z+y x z+z x y+x z y+y z x+z y x=0 \tag{!}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the alternative case.
In the alternative case, this is stated in [Lod] without proof, so we will provide a simple (and pretty much standard for such situations) proof for completeness. Following [Lod, we will call algebras over the corresponding Koszul dual operads dual right-alternative, dual left-alternative and dual alternative, respectively.

Proof. Let $R$ be the space of quadratic relations of the alternative operad, i.e., the space generated by the left-hand sides of identities ( $(\overline{R A})$ and (LA), and $R^{\perp}$ be the space of quadratic relations of the dual alternative operad.

Identities ( $(\overline{\mathrm{RA}})$ and $(\overline{\mathrm{LA}})$ imply that we may take the images of the 7 monomials $(x y) z$, $(y x) z,(x z) y,(z x) y,(y z) x,(z y) x, z(x y)$ as the basis of $\mathcal{A} l t(3)$, with the remaining monomials expressed through them as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z(y x)=(z x) y+(z y) x-z(x y) \\
& y(z x)=-(z x) y+(y z) x+z(x y) \\
& y(x z)=(y x) z+(z x) y-z(x y)  \tag{3}\\
& x(y z)=(x y) z-(z x) y+z(x y) \\
& x(z y)=(x z) y+(z x) y-z(x y) .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A} l t(3)=\operatorname{dim} R^{\perp}=7
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A} l t^{!}(3)=\operatorname{dim} R=3!C_{2}-7=5
$$

(here and below, $C_{n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{n!(n+1)!}$ denotes the $n$th Catalan number).
To obtain identities defining the dual alternative operad, it is convenient to use the fact that if $L$ is an alternative algebra, and $A$ is a dual alternative algebra, then their tensor product $L \otimes A$ equipped with the bracket

$$
[x \otimes a, y \otimes b]=x y \otimes a b-y x \otimes b a
$$

for $x, y \in L, a, b \in A$, is a Lie algebra. Writing the Jacobi identity for triple $x \otimes a, y \otimes b, z \otimes c$ for $x, y, z \in L, a, b, c \in A$, substituting in it all equalities (3), and collecting similar terms, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x y) z \otimes((a b) c-a(b c)) \\
+ & (y x) z \otimes(b(a c)-(b a) c) \\
+ & (x z) y \otimes(a(c b)-(a c) b) \\
+ & (z x) y \otimes(a(b c)+a(c b)+b(a c)+b(c a)+(c a) b+c(b a)) \\
+ & (y z) x \otimes((b c) a-b(c a)) \\
+ & (z y) x \otimes(c(b a)-(c b) a) \\
- & z(x y) \otimes(a(b c)+a(c b)+b(a c)+b(c a)+c(a b)+c(b a)) \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the claimed identities follow.
Now it is straightforward to check that the so obtained relations are orthogonal to the alternative relations $R$ under the standard pairing (as defined in [GiK, §2.1.11]), so they really lie in $R^{\perp}$. Under the action of the symmetric group $S_{3}$, the associativity gives 6 different relations, and the left-hand side of (A) is $S_{3}$-invariant, so we get 7 relations in total. This shows that all relations are taken into account.

In the right-alternative case we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t(3)=9$, and the computations are similar.

## Corollary.

(i) A dual right- or left-alternative algebra over a field of characteristic different from 2, is nilpotent of degree 4.
(ii) A dual alternative algebra over a field of characteristic different from 2 and 3, is nilpotent of degree 6 .

Proof. (i) We have, by subsequent application of associativity and (RA!):

$$
(x y z) t=-(x z y) t=-x(z y t)=x(z t y)=x(z t) y=-x y(z t) .
$$

(ii) Substituting $x=y=z$ in (A!), we get $6 x^{3}=0$. The claim then follows from the results centered around the classical Dubnov-Ivanov-Nagata-Higman Theorem about nilpotency of associative nil algebras (see, for example, [Dr, §8.3]).

These claims also could be proved with the help of Albert.

## 2. Dimension sequence

We are going to establish non-Koszulity using the well-known Ginzburg-Kapranov criterion [GiK, Proposition 4.1.4(b)] which tells that if a finitely generated binary quadratic operad $\mathcal{P}$ over a field of characteristic zero is Koszul, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathcal{P}}\left(g_{\mathcal{P}^{!}}(x)\right)=x, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{\mathcal{P}}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}(n)}{n!} x^{n}
$$

is the Poincaré series of the operad $\mathcal{P}$, and $\mathcal{P}^{!}$is the Koszul dual of $\mathcal{P}$. For this, we need to know the first few terms of the sequence $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}(n)$ for the corresponding operads and/or their Koszul duals. This is achieved with the help of Albert.

Albert computes over a fixed prime field, and we are going to explain now how these computations imply results valid in characteristic zero.

Representing an operad $\mathcal{P}$ as the quotient of the free ( $=$ magmatic) operad $\mathcal{F}$ by the ideal of relations, and considering the corresponding arity $n$ parts for a fixed $n$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}(n)+r k M=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}(n)=n!C_{n-1},
$$

where $M$ is a matrix consisting of coefficients of all linear relations in $\mathcal{P}$ between all nonassociative multilinear monomials in $n$ variables. As coefficients of identities defining our operads are integers, $M$ is an integer matrix, and it is possible to consider its reduction $M_{p}$ modulo a given prime $p$.

It is clear that $r k M \geq r k M_{p}$. The question is how to ensure equality of these values. What follows is a variation on the standard theme in numerical linear algebra - how to substitute rational or integer arithmetic by modular one.

Let represent the matrix $M$ in the Smith normal form, i.e., as a product

$$
M=S \operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) T
$$

where $S$ and $T$ are integer quadratic matrices with determinant equal to $\pm 1, r=r k M$, and $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}$ are nonzero integers such that $d_{i}$ is divided by $d_{i+1}$. Reduction of this product
modulo $p$ will produce the Smith normal form of $M_{p}$, i.e., $S_{p}, T_{p}$ are still matrices with determinant $\pm 1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, and the number of nonzero elements in the diagonal matrix

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}(\bmod p), \ldots, d_{r}(\bmod p), 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

is equal to $r k M_{p}$.
If we pick primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} \ldots p_{k}>\left|d_{1} \ldots d_{r}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
d_{1} \ldots d_{r} \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{1} \ldots p_{k}\right)
$$

hence by the Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$
d_{1} \ldots d_{r} \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{i}\right),
$$

and hence $r k M_{p_{i}}=r k M$ for some $p_{i}$. Consequently, if $r k M_{p_{i}}=r$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, then $r k M=r$.

It remains to estimate $p_{1} \ldots p_{k}$ to ensure inequality (5). The product $d_{1} \ldots d_{r}$ is equal, up to sign, to the determinant of a certain minor $Q$ of $M$ of size $r \times r$. As the identities defining our operads have coefficients 1 or -1 , all nonzero elements of the matrix $M$ could be chosen to be equal to 1 or -1 , so the usual estimate in such situations is provided by the Hadamard inequality: $|\operatorname{det}(Q)| \leq r^{\frac{r}{2}}$ (see, for example, [HJ, §7.8.2]).

To summarize: if there are primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ such that Albert produces the same value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}(n)=m \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

modulo these primes, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} \ldots p_{k}>r^{\frac{r}{2}}, \quad \text { where } r=n!C_{n-1}-m \text {, } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (6) holds over integers, and, consequently, over any field of characteristic zero.
Albert allows to compute over a prime field $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \leq 251$. We have modified Albert [A2] to allow primes up to the largest possible value of the largest signed integer type, which is $2^{63}-1$ on the standard modern computer architectures, both 32 -bit and 64 -bit. We also have modified it to facilitate batch processing.

As the time of Albert computations turns out not to depend significantly on the value of prime, to minimize the overall computation time, we are minimizing the number of Albert runs at the expense of larger primes, i.e., when choosing primes in the given range satisfying the condition (7), we are choosing as large primes as possible. This could be done with the help of PARI/GP.

Using all this, we establish:
Lemma 1. Over a field of characteristic zero, the first 5 terms of the sequence $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A l t}(n)$ are: 1, 2, 9, 60, 530.

Proof. Over any field, the first two values are obvious, and the third could be established by hand (in fact, we already did it in the proof of Proposition in $\$ 11$ ).

The are 3 primes $<2^{63}$ satisfying the inequality (7) for $r=4!C_{3}-60=60$ :

$$
2^{63}-259, \quad 2^{63}-165, \quad 2^{63}-25
$$

With all these 3 primes, Albert produces $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t(4)=60$.
Similarly, the number of largest possible primes $<2^{63}$ satisfying the inequality (7) for $r=5!C_{4}-530=1150$, is 93 , and Albert produces $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t(5)=530$ for all these 93 primes.

We have also computed $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t(6)=5820$ for a few random primes .

[^1]Lemma 2. Over a field of characteristic zero, the first 6 terms of the sequence $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Alt}(n)$ are: 1, 2, 7, 32, 175, 108d胃.

Proof. We follow the same scheme as in the proof of Lemma 1. The corresponding number of primes is 5 for $n=4,127$ for $n=5$, and 3433 for $n=6$, and Albert produces the expected answers for all these primes.

The first 5 terms in Lemma 2 were already specified in [Lod], but the case $n=6$ is crucial. It requires the only time-consuming Albert computations among all computations mentioned in this note. We found that the optimal setting in this case was to add first the left-alternative identity, and then the right-alternative one, and use the static (as opposed to the sparse) matrix structure. The whole computation was finished in about a week running in parallel on a number of CPUs ranging from 2 GHz single-core to 3.2 GHz dual-core. The average execution time was less than 1 hour per prime.

## 3. Non-Koszulity

Theorem. The right-alternative, left-alternative and alternative operads over a field of characteristic zero are not Koszul.

Proof. The statement for the right(left)-alternative case is known (and easy), but it will be instructive to look on it first and to compare it with the more difficult alternative case.

By Proposition and Corollary (i) in $\left\{\mathbb{1}, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t^{!}(3)=3\right.$ and $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t^{!}(n)$ vanishes for $n \geq 4$, so the corresponding Poincaré series is:

$$
g_{\mathcal{R A} l t^{\prime}}(x)=-x+x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x^{3} .
$$

On the other hand, by Lemmar

$$
g_{\mathcal{R A} l t}(x)=-x+x^{2}-\frac{3}{2} x^{3}+\frac{5}{2} x^{4}-\frac{53}{12} x^{5}+O\left(x^{6}\right),
$$

and

$$
g_{\mathcal{R A l t}}\left(g_{\mathcal{R A} \mathcal{A l t}}(x)\right)=x+\frac{1}{6} x^{5}+O\left(x^{6}\right),
$$

what contradicts Koszulity.
But, in fact, we can establish the same without appealing to Lemma [1. Indeed, the beginning terms of the inverse to the polynomial $g_{\mathcal{R A} l t^{\prime}}(x)$ are:

$$
-x+x^{2}-\frac{3}{2} x^{3}+\frac{5}{2} x^{4}-\frac{17}{4} x^{5}+7 x^{6}-\frac{21}{2} x^{7}+\frac{99}{8} x^{8}-\frac{55}{16} x^{9}-\frac{715}{16} x^{10}+O\left(x^{11}\right) .
$$

The signs alternation is violated at the 10th term, hence this series cannot be the Poincaré series of any operad, so by the Ginzburg-Kapranov criterion $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t t^{!}$is not Koszul, and hence $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t$ is not Koszul. Moreover, the dimension sequence of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} l t!$ coincides with the dimension sequence of the operad $\mathcal{P r e l i e} \bullet \mathcal{N}$ il ( $\mathcal{P r e l i e}$ is the operad defined by a binary operation satisfying the pre-Lie (=right symmetric) identity, $\mathcal{N}$ il is the operad defined by a skewsymmetric binary operation with vanishing compositions, and $\bullet$ is Manin's black product), and the corresponding computation establishing its non-Koszulity was already performed in [V, §4.5].

Now consider the alternative case. By Corollary (ii) in $\mathbb{1}$, $\mathcal{A l t}!(n)$ vanishes for $n \geq$ 6. Either computation with Albert, or reference to [Lop, Propositions 1 and 2] provides dimensions of these spaces for small $n$, which allows us to write down the Poincaré series of the operad $\mathcal{A l t}$ !

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathcal{A l t} t^{\prime}}(x)=-x+x^{2}-\frac{5}{6} x^{3}+\frac{1}{2} x^{4}-\frac{1}{8} x^{5} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]On the other hand, by Lemma 2,

$$
g_{\mathcal{A l t}}(x)=-x+x^{2}-\frac{7}{6} x^{3}+\frac{4}{3} x^{4}-\frac{35}{24} x^{5}+\frac{3}{2} x^{6}+O\left(x^{7}\right),
$$

and

$$
g_{\mathcal{A l t}}\left(g_{\mathcal{A l t} t^{\prime}}(x)\right)=x-\frac{11}{72} x^{6}+O\left(x^{7}\right),
$$

what contradicts Koszulity.
Note that in the alternative case we really need to compute dimension sequence of the alternative operad up to 6th term (i.e., to utilize Lemma (2). A mere look at the inverse to the polynomial $g_{\mathcal{A} l t^{\prime}}(x)$ does not seem to work: we have checked with PARI/GP that the inverse has alternating signs up to degree 1000. On the other hand, as noted in [GR2, §4.2], the beginning terms of the inverse to $g_{\mathcal{A l t}}(x)$ are:

$$
-x+x^{2}-\frac{5}{6} x^{3}+\frac{1}{2} x^{4}-\frac{1}{8} x^{5}-\frac{11}{72} x^{6}+O\left(x^{7}\right),
$$

what provides an alternative proof of non-Koszulity of $\mathcal{A} l t$ without appealing to $g_{\mathcal{A} l t^{\prime}}(x)$.
Sometimes in the literature one sees expressed the viewpoint that non-Koszulity is a rather pathological property, and all "natural", "occuring in the real life" algebras should be algebras over a Koszul operad (see, for example, Remarks 3.98 and 3.131 in [MSS]). As we see, alternative algebras provide a "real life" example violating this principle (another, albeit probably less "real life" contender is presented in [Dz2]).

## 4. Positive characteristic

The original Ginzburg-Kapranov operadic theory involves representation theory of the symmetric group peculiar to characteristic zero case. While extensions of the operadic theory to the case of positive characteristic exist, none of them, to our knowledge, includes an analog of the Ginzburg-Kapranov criterion for Koszulity of a quadratic operad in terms of Poincaré series.

While, therefore, checking the validity of equation (4) in positive characteristic does not make much sense, the question of computing the dimension sequence $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}(n)$ for various operads $\mathcal{P}$ is still of interest. In this section we collect a few remarks and computational results concerning this question for the alternative and right-alternative operads and their Koszul duals.

For the Koszul dual operads, the corresponding dimension sequences terminate at low terms as indicated in the proof of the theorem in $\S 3$, the same way for zero and positive characteristics, except for the case of the dual alternative operad over a field of characteristic 3.
Conjecture. Over a field of characteristic 3 , $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A} l t^{\prime}(n)=2^{n}-n$.
For $n \leq 8$ the claim could be proved with the aid of Albert. We will outline the main idea of a possible proof in the general case, whose full implementation appears to be long and somewhat cumbersome, and will drive us far away from the main question considered in this note. We came up with this idea by inspecting the corresponding entry A000325 in OEIS.
Sketch of a possible proof. For associative algebras, the identity ( $A^{!}$) is equivalent to the identity

$$
[[x, y], y]=0
$$

In other words, an associative algebra over a field of characteristic 3 is dual alternative if and only if its associated Lie algebra is 2-Engel. It is well-known that 2-Engel Lie algebras are nilpotent of order 4. Free associative algebras which are Lie-nilpotent of order 4 were studied in the recent paper [EKM]. It is possible to extend some of the results of that paper to the case of characteristic 3, and, in particular, to construct a presentation of such algebras. From this, by adding more relations, one may construct a presentation of free dual
alternative algebras, and using Composition (=Diamond) Lemma, to get a description of a basis of such algebras in combinatorial terms. For elements of the basis containing each free generator in the first degree, these combinatorial terms are expressed as the so-called Grassmann permutations, i.e. $\mathcal{A l l} t^{!}(n)$ has a basis consisting of associative monomials of the form $a_{i_{1}} \ldots a_{i_{n}}$ such that the permutation $\left(i_{1} \ldots i_{n}\right)$ has exactly one descent. The number of such permutations is $2^{n}-n$.

The case of characteristic 3 is also exceptional for the alternative operad: in this case, the first 5 terms of $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A l t}(n)$ are the same as in Lemma 2, while the 6 th term is equal, surprisingly, to 1081 .

Note also that the scheme of computations presented in $\$ 2$ is insufficient to deduce the validity of (6) over all prime fields. Either by the standard ultraproduct argument, or observing, by the same argument as in $\S 2$, that the equality (6) in characteristic zero implies the same equality in characteristic $p$ for all $p>r^{\frac{r}{2}}$, we may deduce that it is valid for all but a finite number of characteristics. So, in principle, we could establish the validity of (6) in all characteristics by verifying it modulo all primes $\leq r^{\frac{r}{2}}$ and for one prime $>r^{\frac{r}{2}}$. This is, however, computationally infeasible in almost all practical cases.

To be able to establish the equality (6) in all characteristics, apparently other methods are needed. For example, one may try to use the capability of Albert to produce multiplication table between elements of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ up to the given degree. It seems that the scheme, based on the Chinese Remainder Theorem and similar to those presented in §2, but utilizing the multiplication table instead of just dimensions of the corresponding spaces of multilinear monomials, could be used for that, provided that all coefficients in the computed multiplication tables are rational numbers with relatively small numerators and denominators modulo the respective primes. According to a few Albert computations we have performed for $\mathcal{A} l t(6)$, the latter seems to be the case for the alternative operad.

## 5. Questions

In addition for an already mentioned in $\oint 3$ example from $[\mathrm{V}$, there are several other proofs in the literature of non-Koszulity of various operads using the Ginzburg-Kapranov criterion or its $n$-ary analogs: in [GeK, footnote to $\S 3.9(\mathrm{~d})]$ for the so-called mock-Lie and mock commutative operads (which are Koszul dual to each other and are cyclic quadratic operads with one generator); in [MSS, Remark 3.98] for associative anticommutative algebras (and, dually, for "commutative Lie algebras"); in [GR1, Proposition 2.3] for certain Lieadmissible operads dubbed $G_{4}-$ Ass and $G_{5}-$ Ass; in [GR2, §3.4,3.6] for certain third power associative operads dubbed $G_{i}-p^{3} A s s$; in [Dz1, Theorem 10.1] for a certain skew-symmetric operad dubbed left-Alia; in Dz2] for the Novikov operad; and in MR, Example 16 and Proposition 17] for certain operads with $n$-ary operation dubbed $t A s s_{d}^{n}$. In each of these cases, it was enough to check Poincaré series up to a relatively low degree term. It is interesting whether there exists a bound on the degree of Poincaré series such that the validity of the identity (4) for a binary quadratic operad $\mathcal{P}$ up to this degree guarantees its validity in all degrees.

It is also interesting to give a concrete example of a binary quadratic operad which is not Koszul but for which the equality (4) holds (such examples exist for associative quadratic algebras - see [PP, §3.5] and references therein).

Is it true that all terms of the inverse of the polynomial (8) have alternating signs? If yes, what combinatorial interpretation this may have? (Question asked by Vladimir Dotsenko). A similar question about an innocently-looking polynomial of degree 15 and with only 3 nonzero terms was asked in MR. Somewhat surprisingly, such questions seem to be difficult.

Note also that it remains a challenging problem to compute the Poincaré series of $\mathcal{A l t}$.

[^3]And, finally, we are taking the opportunity to advertise some new classes of algebras. In [Dz1, Theorem 5.1], all possible skew-symmetric identities of degree 3 are classified. This classification has a symmetric analog: namely, every symmetric identity of degree 3 could be reduced to one of the following identities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[\{x, y\}, z]+[\{y, z\}, x]+[\{z, x\}, y]=0} \\
\{\{x, y\}, z\}+\{\{y, z\}, x\}+\{\{z, x\}, y\}=0 \\
\{x, y\} z+\{y, z\} x+\{z, x\} y=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $[x, y]=x y-y x$ and $\{x, y\}=x y+y x$. Any right- or left-alternative algebra satisfies the first of these identities, and the second identity is exactly (A!) (with appropriately inserted left-normed brackets, as associativity is no longer assumed). It appears to be interesting to study algebras satisfying these identities, in particular, describe free and simple algebras in these classes, and to look at the corresponding operads.
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