

Construction of a solution for optimal control problem with phase and integral constraints

S.A. Aisagaliev¹ and Zh.Kh. Zhunussova [✉] 1

¹Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Al-Farabi avenue 71, Almaty, 050040, Kazakhstan

Abstract. A method for solving the Lagrange problem with phase restrictions for processes described by ordinary differential equations without involvement of the Lagrange principle is supposed. Necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of a solution of the variation problem are obtained, feasible control is found and optimal solution is constructed by narrowing the field of feasible controls.

The basis of the proposed method for solving the variation problem is an immersion principle. The essence of the immersion principle is that the original variation problem with the boundary conditions with phase and integral constraints is replaced by equivalent optimal control problem with a free right end of the trajectory. This approach is made possible by finding the general solution of a class of Fredholm integral equations of the first order.

Keywords: immersion principle, feasible control, optimal solution, minimizing sequence.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C05, 34C07, 34C25.

1 Problem statement

We consider the following problem: minimize the functional

$$J(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_0(x(t), u(t), x_0, x_1, t) dt \rightarrow \inf \quad (1.1)$$

at conditions

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)f(x, u, t), \quad t \in I = [t_0, t_1] \quad (1.2)$$

with boundary conditions

$$(x(t_0)) = x_0, x(t_1) = x_1 \in S_0 \times S_1 = S \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \quad (1.3)$$

in the presence of phase constraints

$$x(t) \in G(t) : G(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \omega(t) \leq F(x, t) \leq \varphi(t), \quad t \in I\},$$

and integral constraints

$$g_j(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) \leq 0, \quad j = \overline{1, m_1}; \quad g_j(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) = 0, \quad j = \overline{m_1 + 1, m_2}, \quad (1.4)$$

[✉] Corresponding author Email: Zhunussova777@gmail.com

$$g_j(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_{0j}(x(t), u(t), x_0, x_1, t) dt, \quad j = \overline{1, m_2}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the control

$$u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m). \quad (1.6)$$

Here $A(t)$, $B(t)$ are matrices with piecewise-continuous elements of orders $n \times n$, $n \times r$, respectively, a vector function $f(x, u, t) = (f_1(x, u, t), \dots, f_r(x, u, t))$ is continuous with respect to the variables $(x, u, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times I$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition by x , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, u, t) - f(y, u, t)| &\leq l(t)|x - y|, \\ \forall (x, u, t), (y, u, t) &\in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times I \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

and the condition

$$|f(x, u, t)| \leq c_0(|x| + |u|^2) + c_1(t), \quad \forall (x, u, t), \quad (1.8)$$

where $l(t) \geq 0$, $l(t) \in L_1(I, \mathbb{R}^1)$, $c_0 = \text{const} > 0$, $c_1(t) \geq 0$, $c_1(t) \in L_1(I, \mathbb{R}^1)$.

The vector function $F(x, t) = (F_1(x, t), \dots, F_s(x, t))$ is continuous with respect to the variables $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times I$. Function $f_0(x, u, x_0, x_1, t) = (f_{01}(x, u, x_0, x_1, t), \dots, f_{0m_2}(x, u, x_0, x_1, t))$ satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} |f_0(x, u, x_0, x_1, t)| &\leq c_2(|x| + |u|^2 + |x_0| + |x_1|) + c_3(t), \\ \forall (x, u, x_0, x_1, t), (y, u, x_0, x_1, t) &\in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times I, \\ c_2 = \text{const} \geq 0, \quad c_3(t) &\geq 0, \quad c_3(t) \in L_1(I, \mathbb{R}^1). \end{aligned}$$

Scalar function $F_0(x, u, x_0, x_1, t)$ is defined and continuous with respect to the variables together with partial derivatives by variables (x, u, x_0, x_1) , $\omega(t)$, $\varphi(t)$, $t \in I$ are given s -dimensional functions. S is given bounded convex closed set of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , the time moments t_0, t_1 are fixed.

In particular, the set $S = \{(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} / H_j(x_0, x_1) \leq 0, j = \overline{1, p_1}; \langle a_j, (x_0, x_1) \rangle = 0, j = \overline{p_1 + 1, p_2}\}$, where $H_j(x_0, x_1)$, $j = \overline{1, p_1}$ are convex functions, $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $j = \overline{p_1 + 1, p_2}$ are given vectors.

Note, that if the conditions (1.7), (1.8) are satisfied for any control $u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and the initial condition $x(t_0) = x_0$ of the differential equation (1.2) has a unique solution. $x(t)$, $t \in I$. This solution has derivative $\dot{x} \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies equation (1.2) for almost all $t \in I$.

It should be noted that integral constraints

$$g_j(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_{0j}(x(t), u(t), x_0, x_1, t) dt \leq 0, \quad j = \overline{1, m_1}, \quad (1.9)$$

by introducing additional variables $d_j \geq 0$, $j = \overline{1, m_1}$ can be written in the form

$$g_j(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) = -d_j, \quad j = \overline{1, m_1}.$$

Let the vector be $\bar{c} = (-d_1, \dots, -d_{m_1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$, where $d_j \geq 0$, $j = \overline{1, m_1}$. Let a set be $Q = \{\bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2} / d_j \geq 0, j = \overline{1, m_1}\}$, where $d_j \geq 0$, $j = \overline{1, m_1}$ are unknown numbers.

Definition 1.1. The triple $(u_*(t), x_0^*, x_1^*) \in U \times S_0 \times S_1$ is called by admissible control for the problem (1.1) – (1.6), if the boundary problem (1.2) – (1.6) has a solution. A set of all admissible controls is denoted by Σ , $\Sigma \subset U \times S_0 \times S_1$.

From this definition it follows that for each element of the set Σ the following properties are satisfied: 1) the solutions $x_*(t)$, $t \in I$ of the differential equation (1.2), issuing from the point $x_0^* \in S_0$, satisfy the condition $x_*(t_1) = x_1^* \in S_1$, and also $(x_0^*, x_1^*) \in S_0 \times S_1 = S$; 2) the inclusion $x_*(t) \in G(t)$, $t \in I$ holds; 3) for each element of the set Σ we have the equality $g(u(\cdot), (x_0, x_1)) = \bar{c}$, where $g(u_*(\cdot), x_0^*, x_1^*) = (g_1(u_*(\cdot), x_0^*, x_1^*), \dots, g_{m_2}(u_*(\cdot), x_0^*, x_1^*))$.

The following problems are set:

Problem 1.2. Find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution of the boundary value problem (1.2) – (1.6).

Note, that the optimal control problem (1.1) – (1.6) has a solution if and only if the boundary value problem (1.2) – (1.6) has a solution.

Problem 1.3. Find an admissible control $(u_*(t), x_0^*, x_1^*) \in \Sigma \subset U \times S_0 \times S_1$.

If problem 1 has a solution, then there exists an admissible control.

Problem 1.4. Find the optimal control $\bar{u}_*(t) \in U(t)$, the point $(\bar{x}_0^*, \bar{x}_1^*) \in S_0 \times S_1 = S$ and the optimal trajectory $\bar{x}_*(t; t_0, x_0^*)$, $t \in I$, where $\bar{x}_*(t) \in G(t)$, $t \in I$, $\bar{x}_*(t_1) = \bar{x}_1^* \in S_1$, $g_j(\bar{u}_*(\cdot), \bar{x}_0^*, \bar{x}_1^*) \leq 0$, $j = \overline{1, m_1}$, $g_j(\bar{u}_*(\cdot), \bar{x}_0^*, \bar{x}_1^*) = 0$, $j = \overline{m_1 + 1, m_2}$, $J(\bar{u}_*(\cdot), \bar{x}_0^*, \bar{x}_1^*) = \inf J(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_1)$, $\forall (\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_1) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) \times S_0 \times S_1$.

One of the methods for solving the problem of variation calculus is the Lagrange principle. The Lagrange principle allows to reduce the solution of the original problem to the search for an extremum of the Lagrange functional obtained by introducing auxiliary variables (Lagrange multipliers).

In the classical variation calculus, it is assumed that the solution of the differential equation (1.2) belongs to the space $C^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and the control $u(t)$, $t \in I$ of the space $C(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ in the optimal control problems [5], the solution $x \in KC^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and control $u(t) \in KC(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$. In this paper, the control $u(t)$, $t \in I$ is chosen from $L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and the solution $x(t)$, $t \in I$ is an absolutely continuous function on the interval $I = [t_0, t_1]$ For this case, the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the initial problem for equation (1.2) are presented in the references [4, 6, 7, 8].

The purpose of this paper is to create a method for solving the problem of the variation calculus for processes described by ordinary differential equations with phase and integral constraints that differ from the known methods based on the Lagrange principle. It is a continuation of the scientific research presented in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20].

2 Existence of a solution

We consider the following optimal control problem: minimize the functional

$$I_1(u(\cdot), p(\cdot), v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot), x_0, x_1, d) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_1(q(t), t) \rightarrow \inf \quad (2.1)$$

at conditions

$$\dot{z} = A_1(t)z + B_1(t)v_1(t) + B_2v_2(t), \quad z(t_0) = 0, \quad t \in I \quad (2.2)$$

$$v_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r), \quad v_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}), \quad (2.3)$$

$$p(t) \in V(t), u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m), (x_0, x_1) \in S_0 \times S_1 = S, d \in \Gamma. \quad (2.4)$$

We introduce the following notations: $H = L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^s) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$, $X = L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) \times V \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) \times S_0 \times S_1 \times \Gamma \subset H$, vector function $\theta(t) = (u(t), p(t), v_1(t), v_2(t), x_0, x_1, d) \in X \subset H$, $q(t) = (z(t), z(t_1), \theta(t))$.

The optimization problem (2.3) – (2.6) can be represented in the form:

$$I_1(\theta(\cdot)) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_1(q(t), t) dt \rightarrow \inf, \theta(\cdot) \in X \subset H.$$

Let the set be $X_* = \{\theta_*(\cdot) \in X \mid I_1(\theta_*(\cdot)) = \inf_{\theta \in X} I_1(\theta(\cdot))\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let the matrix be $T(t_0, t_1) > 0$. In order to the boundary value problem (1.2) – (1.6) have a solution, it is necessary and sufficient that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1(\theta_n) = I_{1*} = \inf_{\theta \in X} I_1(\theta) = 0$, where $\{\theta_n(\cdot)\} \subset X$ is a minimizing sequence in the problem (2.1) – (2.4).*

Proof of the lemma follows from Theorem 2.3. and Lemmas 2.4. and 2.5. [9].

Theorem 2.2. *Let the matrix be $T(t_0, t_1) > 0$, the function $F_1(q, t)$ be defined and continuous in the set of variables (q, t) together with the partial derivatives with respect to q and satisfies the Lipschitz conditions*

$$|F_{1q}(q + \Delta q, t) - F_{1q}(q, t)| \leq l|\Delta q|, t \in I, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$F_{1q}(q, t) = (F_{1z}(q, t), F_{1z(t_1)}(q, t), F_{1u}(q, t), F_{1p}(q, t), F_{1v_1}(q, t), F_{1v_2}(q, t), F_{1x_0}(q, t), F_{1x_1}(q, t), F_{1d}(q, t)),$$

$$q = (z, z(t_1), u, p, v_1, v_2, x_0, x_1, d) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+m_2} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1},$$

$$\Delta q = (\Delta z, \Delta z(t_1), \Delta u, \Delta p, \Delta v_1, \Delta v_2, \Delta x_0, \Delta x_1, \Delta d), l = \text{const} > 0.$$

Then the functional (2.1) under the conditions (2.2) – (2.4) is continuously Frechet differentiable, the gradient

$$I'_1(\theta) = (I'_{1u}(\theta), I'_{1p}(\theta), I'_{1v_1}(\theta), I'_{1v_2}(\theta), I'_{1x_0}(\theta), I'_{1x_1}(\theta), I'_{1d}(\theta)) \in H$$

at any point $\theta \in X$ is calculated by the formula

$$I'_{1u}(\theta) = F_{1u}(q(t), t), I'_{1p}(\theta) = F_{1p}(q(t), t), I'_{1v_1}(\theta) = F_{1v_1}(q(t), t) - B_1^*(t)\psi(t),$$

$$I'_{1v_2}(\theta) = F_{1v_2}(q(t), t) - B_2^*\psi(t), I'_{1x_0}(\theta) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_{1x_0}(q(t), t) dt, \quad (2.6)$$

$$I'_{1x_1}(\theta) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_{1x_1}(q(t), t) dt, I'_{1d}(\theta) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_{1d}(q(t), t) dt,$$

where $z(t)$, $t \in I$ is the solution of the differential equation (2.2), and the function $\psi(t)$, $t \in I$ is the solution of the conjugate system

$$\dot{\psi} = F_{1z}(q(t), t) - A_1^*(t)\psi, \psi(t_1) = - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_{1z(t_1)}(q(t), t) dt. \quad (2.7)$$

In addition, the gradient $I'_1(\theta)$, $\theta \in X$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|I'_1(\theta_1) - I'_1(\theta_2)\| \leq K\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|, \quad \forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in X, \quad (2.8)$$

where $K = \text{const} > 0$.

Proof. Let $\theta(t), \theta(t) + \Delta\theta(t) \in X$, $z(t, v_1, v_2), z(t, v_1 + \Delta v_1, v_2 + \Delta v_2)$, $t \in I$ be a solution of the system (2.2), (2.3). Let $z(t, v_1 + \Delta v_1, v_2 + \Delta v_2) = z(t, v_1, v_2) + \Delta z(t)$, $t \in I$. Then

$$|\Delta z(t)| \leq C_1\|\Delta v_1\| + C_2\|\Delta v_2\|. \quad (2.9)$$

The increment of the functional (see (2.5))

$$\Delta I_1 = I_1(\theta + \Delta\theta) - I_1(\theta) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [F_1(q(t) + \Delta q(t), t) - F_1(q(t), t)] dt = \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [\Delta u^*(t)F_{1u}(q(t), t) + \Delta p^*(t)F_{1p}(q(t), t) + \Delta v_1^*(t)F_{1v_1}(q(t), t) + \\ &+ \Delta v_2^*(t)F_{1v_2}(q(t), t) + \Delta x_0^*F_{1x_0}(q(t), t) + \Delta x_1^*F_{1x_1}(q(t), t) + \Delta d^*F_{1d}(q(t), t) + \\ &+ \Delta z^*(t)F_{1z}(q(t), t) + \Delta z^*(t_1)F_{1z(t_1)}(q(t), t)] dt + \sum_{i=1}^9 R_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $|R_1| \leq l_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta u(t)| |\Delta q(t)| dt$, $|R_2| \leq l_2 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta p(t)| |\Delta q(t)| dt$, $|R_3| \leq l_3 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta v_1(t)| |\Delta q(t)| dt$, $|R_4| \leq l_4 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta v_2(t)| |\Delta q(t)| dt$, $|R_5| \leq l_5 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta x_0| |\Delta q(t)| dt$, $|R_6| \leq l_6 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta x_1| |\Delta q(t)| dt$, $|R_7| \leq l_7 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta d| |\Delta q(t)| dt$, $|R_8| \leq l_8 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta z(t)| |\Delta q(t)| dt$, $|R_9| \leq l_9 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\Delta z(t_1)| |\Delta q(t)| dt$ by the Lipschitz condition (2.5). We note that (see (2.7), (2.9))

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Delta z^*(t_1)F_{1z(t_1)}(q(t), t) dt = - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [\Delta v_1^*(t)B_1^*(t) + \Delta v_2^*(t)B_2^*] \psi(t) dt - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Delta z^*(t)F_{1z}(q(t), t) dt. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.10) and (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta I_1 &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \{ \Delta u^*(t)F_{1u}(q(t), t) + \Delta p^*(t)F_{1p}(q(t), t) + \Delta v_1^*(t)[F_{1v_1}(q(t), t) - B_1^*(t)\psi(t)] + \\ &+ \Delta v_2^*(t)[F_{1v_2}(q(t), t) - B_2^*\psi(t)] + \Delta x_0^*F_{1x_0}(q(t), t) + \Delta x_1^*F_{1x_1}(q(t), t) + \\ &+ \Delta d^*F_{1d}(q(t), t) \} dt + \sum_{i=1}^9 R_i = \langle I'_1(\theta), \Delta\theta \rangle_H + R, \end{aligned}$$

where $R = \sum_{i=1}^9 R_i$, $|R| \leq C_3\|\Delta\theta\|^2$, $\frac{|R|}{\|\Delta\theta\|} \rightarrow 0$, at $\|\Delta\theta\| \rightarrow 0$.

This implies the relation (2.6). Let $\theta_1 = (u + \Delta u, p + \Delta p, v_1 + \Delta v_1, v_2 + \Delta v_2, x_0 + \Delta x_0, x_1 + \Delta x_1, d + \Delta d)$, $\theta_2 = (u, p, v_1, v_2, x_0, x_1, d) \in X$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |I'_1(\theta_1) - I'_1(\theta_2)|^2 &\leq l_{10}|\Delta q(t)|^2 + l_{11}|\Delta\psi(t)|^2 + l_{12}|\Delta\theta|^2, \\ |\Delta q(t)| &\leq l_{13}\|\Delta\theta\|, |\Delta\psi(t)| \leq l_{14}\|\Delta\theta\|, \end{aligned}$$

that

$$\|I'_1(\theta_1) - I'_1(\theta_2)\|^2 = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |I'_1(\theta_1) - I'_1(\theta_2)|^2 dt \leq l_{15}\|\Delta\theta\|^2,$$

where $l_i = \text{const} > 0$, $i = \overline{10, 15}$. This implies the estimation (2.8), where $K = \sqrt{l_{15}}$. The theorem is proved.

Lemma 2.3. Let the matrix be $T(t_0, t_1) > 0$, the function $F_1(q, t)$ be convex, with respect to the variable $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $N = 4n + m + s + r + m_1$, i.e.

$$F_1(\alpha q_1 + (1 - \alpha)q_2) \leq \alpha F_1(q_1, t) + (1 - \alpha)F_1(q_2, t), \quad \forall q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall \alpha, \alpha \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.12)$$

Then the functional (2.1) under the conditions (2.2) – (2.4) is convex.

Proof. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in X$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. It can be shown, that

$$\begin{aligned} z(t, \alpha v_1 + (1 - \alpha)\bar{v}_1, \alpha v_2 + (1 - \alpha)\bar{v}_2) &= \alpha z(t, v_1, v_2) + (1 - \alpha)z(t, \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2), \\ \forall (v_1, v_2), (\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2) &\in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{r+m_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$I_1(\alpha\theta_1 + (1 - \alpha)\theta_2) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_1(\alpha q_1(t) + (1 - \alpha)q_2(t)) dt \leq \alpha I_1(\theta_1) + (1 - \alpha)I_1(\theta_2),$$

$$\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in X, \theta_1 = (u_1, p_1, v_1, v_2, x_0, x_1, d), \theta_2 = (\bar{u}_1, \bar{p}_1, \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_1, \bar{d}).$$

The lemma is proved.

The initial optimal control problem (2.1) – (2.4) can be solved by numerical methods for solving extremal problems [21, 23]. We introduce the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \{u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) / \|u\| \leq \beta\}, \\ V_1(I, \mathbb{R}^r) &= \{v_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r) / \|v_1\| \leq \beta\}, \\ V_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) &= \{v_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) / \|v_2\| \leq \beta\}, \\ \Gamma_1 &= \{d \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1} / d \geq 0, |d| \leq \beta\}, \end{aligned}$$

$\beta > 0$ is a sufficiently large number. We construct sequences $\{\theta_n\} = \{u_n, p_n, v_1^n, v_2^n, x_0^n, x_1^n, d_n\} \subset X_1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by the algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= P_U[u_n - \alpha_n I'_{1u}(\theta_n)], \quad p_{n+1} = P_V[p_n - \alpha_n I'_{1p}(\theta_n)], \\ v_1^{n+1} &= P_{V_1}[v_1^n - \alpha_n I'_{1v_1}(\theta_n)], \quad v_2^{n+1} = P_{V_2}[v_2^n - \alpha_n I'_{1v_2}(\theta_n)], \\ x_0^{n+1} &= P_{S_0}[x_0^n - \alpha_n I'_{1x_0}(\theta_n)], \quad x_1^{n+1} = P_{S_1}[x_1^n - \alpha_n I'_{1x_1}(\theta_n)], \\ d_{n+1} &= P_{\Gamma_1}[d_n - \alpha_n I'_{1d}(\theta_n)], \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0 < \varepsilon_0 &\leq \alpha_n \leq \frac{2}{K + 2\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where $P_\Omega[\cdot]$ is the projection of the point on the set Ω , $K = \text{const} > 0$ from (2.8).

Theorem 2.4. Let the conditions of Theorem 2.2. be satisfied, in addition, the function $F_1(q, t)$ be convex with respect to the variable $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and the sequence $\{\theta_n\} \subset X_1$ be determined by formula (2.13). Then:

1) the lower bound of the functional (2.1) is reached under the conditions (2.2) – (2.4)

$$\inf_{\theta \in X_1} I_1(\theta) = I_1(\theta_*) = \min_{\theta \in X_1} I_1(\theta), \theta_* \in X_1;$$

2) the sequence $\{\theta_n\} \subset X_1$ is minimizing, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1(\theta_n) = I_{1*} = \inf_{\theta \in X_1} I_1(\theta)$;

3) the sequence $\{\theta_n\} \subset X_1$ weakly converges to the point $\theta_* \in X_1$, $u_n \rightarrow u_*$, $p_n \rightarrow p_*$, $v_1^n \rightarrow v_1^*$, $v_2^n \rightarrow v_2^*$, $x_0^n \rightarrow x_0^*$, $x_1^n \rightarrow x_1^*$, $d_n \rightarrow d_*$ at $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\theta_* = (u_*, p_*, v_1^*, v_2^*, x_0^*, x_1^*, d_*) \in X_1$;

4) in order to the problem (1.2) – (1.6) have a solution, it is necessary and sufficient that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1(\theta_n) = I_{1*} = 0$;

5) the following estimation of the rate of convergence holds

$$0 \leq I_1(\theta_n) - I_{1*} \leq \frac{C_0}{n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad C_0 = \text{const} > 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. Since the function $F_1(q, t)$, $t \in I$ is convex, it follows from Lemma 3.3. that the functional $I_1(\theta)$, $\theta \in X_1$ is convex on a weakly bicomact set X_1 . Consequently, $I_1(\theta) \in C^1(X_1)$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on a weakly bicomact set and reaches the lower bound on X_1 . This implies the first statement of the theorem.

Using the properties of the projection of a point on a convex closed set X_1 and taking into account that $I_1(\theta) \in C^{1,1}(X_1)$ it can be shown that $I_1(\theta_n) - I_1(\theta_{n+1}) \geq \varepsilon \|\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}\|^2$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows that: 1) the numerical sequence $\{I_1(\theta_n)\}$ strictly decreases; 2) $\|\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ at $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since the functional is convex and the set X_1 is bounded, the inequality holds

$$0 \leq I_1(\theta_n) - I_1(\theta_*) \leq C_1 \|\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}\|, \quad C_1 = \text{const} > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.15)$$

Hence, taking into account that $\|\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ at $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have: the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is minimizing. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1(\theta_n) = I_1(\theta_*) = \inf_{\theta \in X_1} I_1(\theta)$.

Since $\{\theta_n\} \subset X_1$, X_1 is weakly bicomact, that $\theta_n \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}} \theta_*$ at $n \rightarrow \infty$.

As it follows from Lemma 3.1., if the value $I_1(\theta_*) = 0$, then the problem of optimal control (1.1) – (1.6) has a solution.

The estimation (2.14) follows directly from the inequalities (2.15), $I_1(\theta_n) - I_1(\theta_{n+1}) \geq \varepsilon \|\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}\|^2$.

We briefly outlined above, the main steps in proof of the theorem. Detailed proof of an analogous theorem is given in [20]. The theorem is proved.

For the case when the function $F_1(q, t)$ is not convex with respect to the variable q , the following theorem is true.

Theorem 2.5. It is supposed, that the conditions of Theorem 2.2. are satisfied, the sequence $\{\theta_n\} \subset X_1$ is determined by formula (2.13). Then: 1) the value of the functional $I_1(\theta_n)$ strictly decreases for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; 2) $\|\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ at $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 2.4.

From the results it follows that 1) if $\theta_* = (u_*, p_*, v_1^*, v_2^*, x_0^*, x_1^*, d_*) \in X_1$ is the solution of optimal control problem (2.1) – (2.4), for which $I_1(\theta_*) = 0$, then $(u_* = u_*(t), x_0^*, x_1^*) \in \Sigma \subset$

$U \times S_0 \times S_1$ is admissible control; 2) the function $x_*(t; t_0, x_0^*)$, $t \in I$ is the solution of differential equation (1.2), satisfies the conditions: $x(t_1; t_0, x_0^*) = x_1^*$, $x_*(t; t_0, x_0^*) \in G(t)$, $t \in I$, the functionals $g_j(u_*(\cdot), x_0^*, x_1^*) \leq 0$, $j = \overline{1, m_1}$, $g_j(u_*(\cdot), x_0^*, x_1^*) = 0$, $j = \overline{m_1 + 1, m_2}$; 3) the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution of the boundary value problem (1.2) – (1.6) is $I_1(\theta_*) = 0$, where $\theta_* \in X_1$ is the solution of problem (2.1) – (2.4); 4) for the admissible control, the value of the functional (1.1) equals to

$$I(u_*(\cdot), x_0^*, x_1^*) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_0(x_*(t), u_*(t), x_0^*, x_1^*, t) dt = \gamma_*, \quad (2.16)$$

where $x_*(t) = x_*(t; t_0, x_0^*)$, $t \in I$. In the general case, the value $I(u_*(\cdot), x_0^*, x_1^*) \neq I(\bar{u}_*, \bar{x}_0^*, \bar{x}_1^*) = \inf I(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1)$, $(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) \times S_0 \times S_1$.

3 Construction of an optimal solution

We consider the optimal control problem (1.1) – (1.6). We define a scalar function $\sigma(t)$, $t \in I$ as:

$$\sigma(t) = \int_{t_0}^t F_0(x(\tau), u(\tau), x_0, x_1, \tau) d\tau, \quad t \in I.$$

Then $\dot{\sigma}(t) = F_0(x(t), u(t), x_0, x_1, t)$, $\sigma(t_0) = 0$, $\sigma(t_1) = \gamma = I(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) \in \Omega = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^1 | \gamma \geq \gamma_0, \gamma_0 > -\infty\}$, where $\gamma = I(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) \geq \gamma_0$, the value γ is bounded from below, in particular $\gamma_0 = 0$, if $F_0 \geq 0$.

Now the problem of optimal control (1.1) – (1.6) can be written in the form (see (2.1))

$$\sigma(t_1) = \gamma = I(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) \rightarrow \inf \quad (3.1)$$

at conditions

$$\dot{\sigma}(t) = F_0(x(t), u(t), x_0, x_1, t), \quad \sigma(t_0) = 0, \quad \sigma(t_1) = \gamma, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)f(x, u, t), \quad (x(t_0) = x_0, x(t_1) = x_1) \in S_0 \times S_1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\dot{\eta} = f_0(x(t), u(t), x_0, x_1, t), \quad \eta(t_0) = 0, \quad \eta(t_1) = \bar{c} \in Q, \quad (3.4)$$

$$x(t) \in G(t), \quad u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m), \quad t \in I. \quad (3.5)$$

We introduce the notations

$$\mu(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(t) \\ x(t) \\ \eta(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} O_{1,1} & O_{1,n} & O_{1,m_2} \\ O_{n,1} & A(t) & O_{n,m_2} \\ O_{m_2,1} & O_{m_2,n} & O_{m_2,m_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ O_{n,1} \\ O_{m_2,1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_0(t) = \begin{pmatrix} O_{1,r} \\ B(t) \\ O_{m_2,r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_0(t) = \begin{pmatrix} O_{1,m_2} \\ O_{n,m_2} \\ I_{m_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_0 = (1, \quad O_{1,n}, \quad O_{1,m_2}), \quad P_1 = (O_{n,1}, \quad I_n, \quad O_{n,m_2}),$$

where $P_0\mu(t_1) = \sigma(t_1)$, $P_1\mu = x$.

Then the optimal control problem (3.1) – (3.5) has the form:

$$P_0\mu(t_1) = \gamma = I(u(\cdot), x_0, x_1) \rightarrow \inf, \quad (3.6)$$

at conditions

$$\dot{\mu} = A_2(t)\mu + B_0F_0(P_1\mu, u, x_0, x_1, t) + C_0(t)f(P_1\mu, u, t) + D_0f_0(P_1\mu, u, x_0, x_1, t), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\mu(t_0) = \mu_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(t_0) \\ x(t_0) \\ \eta(t_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O_{1,1} \\ x_0 \\ O_{m_2,1} \end{pmatrix} \in O_{1,1} \times S_0 \times O_{m_2,1} = T_0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mu(t_1) = \mu_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(t_1) \\ x(t_1) \\ \eta(t_1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ x_1 \\ \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega \times S_1 \times Q = T_1, \quad (3.9)$$

$$P_1\mu(t) \in G(t), u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m), d \in \Gamma, \quad (3.10)$$

where $x(t) = P_1\mu(t)$, $\sigma(t) = P_0\mu(t)$, $t \in I$, γ is determined by formula (3.6).

The immersion principle. We consider the boundary value problem (3.7) – (3.10). The corresponding linear controlled system has the form

$$\dot{\zeta} = A_2(t)\zeta + B_0\bar{w}_1(t) + C_0(t)\bar{w}_2(t) + D_0\bar{w}_3(t), t \in I, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\bar{w}_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^1), \bar{w}_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r), \bar{w}_3(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\zeta(t_0) = \mu_0 \in T_0, \zeta(t_1) = \mu_1 \in T_1. \quad (3.13)$$

We introduce the following notations:

$$\bar{B}_0(t) = (B_0, C_0(t), D_0), \bar{w}(t) = (\bar{w}_1(t), \bar{w}_2(t), \bar{w}_3(t)), \Psi(t, \tau) = K(t)K^{-1}(\tau),$$

$$\bar{a} = \Psi(t_0, t_1)\mu_1 - \mu_0, R(t_0, t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Psi(t_0, t)\bar{B}_0(t)\bar{B}_0^*(t)\Psi^*(t_0, t)dt,$$

$$R(t_0, t) = \int_{t_0}^t \Psi(t_0, \tau)\bar{B}_0(\tau)\bar{B}_0^*(\tau)\Psi^*(t_0, \tau)d\tau, R(t_0, t_1) = R(t_0, t) + R(t, t_1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_1(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) &= \bar{B}_0^*(t)\Psi^*(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)a = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} B_0^*\Psi^*(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\bar{a} \\ C_0^*\Psi^*(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\bar{a} \\ D_0^*\Psi^*(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\bar{a} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Lambda}_{11}(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) \\ \bar{\Lambda}_{12}(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) \\ \bar{\Lambda}_{13}(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(t) &= -\bar{B}_0^*\Psi^*(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\Psi(t_0, t_1) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -B_0^*\Psi^*(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\Psi(t_0, t_1) \\ -C_0^*\Psi^*(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\Psi(t_0, t_1) \\ -D_0^*\Psi^*(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\Psi(t_0, t_1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11}(t) \\ K_{12}(t) \\ K_{13}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\Lambda}_2(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) = \Psi(t, t_0)R(t, t_1)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\mu_0 + \Psi(t, t_0)R(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\Psi(t_0, t_1)\mu_1,$$

$$K_2(t) = -\Psi(t, t_0)R(t_0, t)R^{-1}(t_0, t_1)\Psi(t_0, t_1), t \in I.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let the matrix be $R(t_0, t_1) > 0$. Then the control $\bar{w}(t) = (\bar{w}_1(t), \bar{w}_2(t), \bar{w}_3(t)) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{1+r+m_2})$ transforms the trajectory of the system (3.11) – (3.13) from any initial point $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n+m_2}$ to any given finite state $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n+m_2}$ if and only if

$$\bar{w}_1(t) \in \bar{W}_1 = \{\bar{w}_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^1) / \bar{w}_1(t) = \bar{v}_1(t) + \bar{\Lambda}_{11}(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) + K_{11}(t)\bar{z}(t_1, \bar{v}), \forall \bar{v}_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^1), t \in I\}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\bar{w}_2(t) \in \bar{W}_2 = \{\bar{w}_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r) / \bar{w}_2(t) = \bar{v}_2(t) + \bar{\Lambda}_{12}(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) + K_{12}(t)\bar{z}(t_1, \bar{v}), \forall \bar{v}_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r), t \in I\}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\bar{w}_3(t) \in \bar{W}_3 = \{\bar{w}_3(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) / \bar{w}_3(t) = \bar{v}_3(t) + \bar{\Lambda}_{13}(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) + K_{13}(t)\bar{z}(t_1, \bar{v}), \forall \bar{v}_3(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}), t \in I\}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\bar{v}(t) = (\bar{v}_1(t), \bar{v}_2(t), \bar{v}_3(t)), \bar{z}(t) = \bar{z}(t, \bar{v}), t \in I$ is the solution of the differential equation

$$\dot{\bar{z}} = A_2(t)\bar{z} + B_0\bar{v}_1(t) + C_0(t)\bar{v}_2(t) + D_0\bar{v}_3(t), \bar{z}(t_0) = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\bar{v}_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^1), \bar{v}_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r), \bar{v}_3(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}). \quad (3.18)$$

Solution of the system (3.11) – (3.13) has the form

$$\zeta(t) = \bar{z}(t, \bar{v}) + \bar{\Lambda}_2(t, \mu_0, \mu_1) + K_2(t)\bar{z}(t_1, \bar{v}), t \in I. \quad (3.19)$$

The proof of the analogous theorem is presented in the work [13].

Lemma 3.2. Let the matrix be $R(t_0, t_1) > 0$. Then the boundary value problem (3.7) – (3.10) is equivalent to the following problem

$$\bar{w}_1(t) \in \bar{W}_1, \bar{w}_1(t) = F_0(P_1\zeta, u, x_0, x_1, t), t \in I, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\bar{w}_2(t) \in \bar{W}_2, \bar{w}_2(t) = f(P_1\zeta, u, t), t \in I, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\bar{w}_3(t) \in \bar{W}_3, \bar{w}_3(t) = f_0(P_1\zeta, u, x_0, x_1, t), t \in I, \quad (3.22)$$

$$p(t) \in V(t) = \{p(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^s) / p(t) = F(P_1\zeta, t), \omega(t) \leq p(t) \leq \varphi(t), t \in I\}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\dot{\bar{z}} = A_2(t)\bar{z} + B_0\bar{v}_1(t) + C_0(t)\bar{v}_2(t) + D_0\bar{v}_3(t), \bar{z}(t_0) = 0, t \in I, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\bar{v}_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^1), \bar{v}_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r), \bar{v}_3(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}), \quad (3.25)$$

$$(x_0, x_1) \in S_0 \times S_1, u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m), \gamma \in \Omega, d \in \Gamma, \quad (3.26)$$

where $\zeta(t), t \in I$ is determined by formula (3.19), $\bar{z}(t, \bar{v})$ is the solution of system (3.17), (3.18).

We consider the following optimal control problem: minimize the functional

$$J_2(\bar{v}, u, p, x_0, x_1, d, \gamma) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_2(\bar{q}(t), t) dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [|\bar{w}_1(t) - F_0(P_1\zeta(t), u(t), x_0, x_1, t)|^2 + |\bar{w}_2(t) - f(P_1\zeta(t), u(t), t)|^2 + |\bar{w}_3(t) - f_0(P_1\zeta(t), u(t), x_0, x_1, t)|^2 + |p(t) - F(P_1\zeta(t), t)|^2] dt \rightarrow \inf \quad (3.27)$$

under the conditions (3.24) – (3.26), where $\bar{w}_1(t) \in \bar{W}_1, \bar{w}_2(t) \in \bar{W}_2, \bar{w}_3(t) \in \bar{W}_3, \bar{v} = (\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3), \bar{q}(t) = (\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3, u, p, x_0, x_1, d, \gamma, \bar{z}(t), \bar{z}(t_1))$.

Note, that the optimization problem (3.27), (3.24) – (3.26) is obtained on the basis of relations (3.20) – (3.26).

Theorem 3.3. Let the matrix be $R(t_0, t_1) > 0$, the derivative $\frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}, t)}{\partial q}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition.

Then:

1. The functional (3.27) under conditions (3.24) – (3.26) is continuously differentiable by Frechet, gradient of the functional

$$J'_2(\bar{\theta}) = (J'_{2\bar{v}_1}(\bar{\theta}), J'_{2\bar{v}_2}(\bar{\theta}), J'_{2\bar{v}_3}(\bar{\theta}), J'_{2u}(\bar{\theta}), J'_{2p}(\bar{\theta}), J'_{2x_0}(\bar{\theta}), J'_{2x_1}(\bar{\theta}), J'_{2d}(\bar{\theta}), J'_{2\gamma}(\bar{\theta})),$$

$$\bar{\theta} = (\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3, u, p, x_0, x_1, d, \gamma) \in \bar{X},$$

$$\bar{X} = L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^1) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) \times V \times S_0 \times S_1 \times \Gamma \times \Omega$$

$$H_1 = L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^1) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) \times L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^s) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^1, \quad \bar{X} \subset H_1, \quad J'_2(\bar{\theta}) \in H_1$$

for any point $\bar{\theta} \in \bar{X}$ is calculated by the formulas

$$J'_{2\bar{v}_1}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial \bar{v}_1} - B_0^* \bar{\psi}(t), \quad J'_{2\bar{v}_2}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial \bar{v}_2} - C_0^* \bar{\psi}(t),$$

$$J'_{2\bar{v}_3}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial \bar{v}_3} - D_0^* \bar{\psi}(t), \quad J'_{2u}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial u}, \quad J'_{2p}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial p}$$

$$J'_{2x_0}(\bar{\theta}) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial x_0} dt, \quad J'_{2x_1}(\bar{\theta}) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial x_1} dt,$$

$$J'_{2d}(\bar{\theta}) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial d} dt, \quad J'_{2\gamma}(\bar{\theta}) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial \gamma} dt,$$

where $\bar{\psi}(t)$, $t \in I$ is the solution of the adjoint system

$$\dot{\bar{\psi}} = \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial \bar{z}} - A_2^*(t) \bar{\psi}, \quad \bar{\psi}(t_1) = - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial F_2(\bar{q}(t), t)}{\partial \bar{z}(t_1)} dt;$$

2. gradient $J'_2(\bar{\theta})$, $\bar{\theta} \in \bar{X}$ satisfies the Lipchitz condition

$$\|J'_2(\bar{\theta}_1) - J'_2(\bar{\theta}_2)\| \leq l \|\bar{\theta}_1 - \bar{\theta}_2\|, \quad \forall \bar{\theta}_1, \bar{\theta}_2 \in \bar{X}. \quad (3.28)$$

The proof of the analogous theorem can be found in the work [16].

We construct the following sequences $\{\bar{\theta}_n\} = \{\bar{v}_1^n, \bar{v}_2^n, \bar{v}_3^n, u_n, p_n, x_0^n, x_1^n, d_n, \gamma_n\} \subset \bar{X}_2$ by the algorithm

$$\bar{v}_1^{n+1} = P_{V_1}[\bar{v}_1^n - \alpha_n J'_{2\bar{v}_1}(\bar{\theta}_n)], \quad \bar{v}_2^{n+1} = P_{V_2}[\bar{v}_2^n - \alpha_n J'_{2\bar{v}_2}(\bar{\theta}_n)],$$

$$\bar{v}_3^{n+1} = P_{V_3}[\bar{v}_3^n - \alpha_n J'_{2\bar{v}_3}(\bar{\theta}_n)], \quad u_{n+1} = P_U[u_n - \alpha_n J'_{2u}(\bar{\theta}_n)],$$

$$p_{n+1} = P_V[p_n - \alpha_n J'_{2p}(\bar{\theta}_n)], \quad x_0^{n+1} = P_{S_0}[x_0^n - \alpha_n J'_{2x_0}(\bar{\theta}_n)],$$

$$x_1^{n+1} = P_{S_1}[x_1^n - \alpha_n J'_{2x_1}(\bar{\theta}_n)], \quad d_{n+1} = P_\Gamma[d_n - \alpha_n J'_{2d}(\bar{\theta}_n)],$$

$$\gamma_{n+1} = P_\Omega[\gamma_n - \alpha_n J'_{2\gamma}(\bar{\theta}_n)], \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \frac{2}{l + 2\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad l = \text{const} > 0, \quad (3.29)$$

where $\bar{V}_1 = \{\bar{v}_1(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^1) / \|\bar{v}_1\| \leq \bar{\beta}\}$, $\bar{V}_2 = \{\bar{v}_2(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^r) / \|\bar{v}_2\| \leq \bar{\beta}\}$, $\bar{V}_3 = \{\bar{v}_3(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) / \|\bar{v}_3\| \leq \bar{\beta}\}$, $\bar{U} = \{u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) / \|u\| \leq \bar{\beta}\}$, $\bar{\Gamma} = \{d \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1} / d \geq 0, |d| \leq \bar{\beta}\}$, $\bar{\Omega} = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^1 / \gamma_* \leq \gamma \leq \bar{\beta}\}$, $\bar{X}_2 = \bar{V}_1 \times \bar{V}_2 \times \bar{V}_3 \times \bar{U} \times V \times S_0 \times S_1 \times \bar{\Gamma} \times \bar{\Omega} \subset H_1$, $\bar{U} = \{u(\cdot) \in L_2(I, \mathbb{R}^m) / \|u\| \leq \bar{\beta}\}$, $\bar{\beta} > 0$ is a sufficiently large number.

Theorem 3.4. *Let the conditions of Theorem 3.3. be satisfied, \bar{X}_1 is a bounded convex closed set, the sequence $\{\bar{\theta}_n\} \subset \bar{X}_2$ is determined by the formula (3.29). Then:*

1. *the numerical sequence $\{J_2(\bar{\theta}_n)\}$ is strictly decreasing $\|\bar{\theta}_n - \bar{\theta}_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$, at $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

If, in addition, $F_2(\bar{q}, t)$ is a convex function with respect to a variable \bar{q} , then:

2. *the lower bound of the functional (3.27) is obtained under the conditions (3.24) – (3.26)*

$$J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) = \inf_{\bar{\theta} \in \bar{X}_2} J_2(\bar{\theta}) = \min_{\bar{\theta} \in \bar{X}_2} J_2(\bar{\theta}) = J_{2*};$$

3. *the sequence $\{\bar{\theta}_n\} \subset \bar{X}_2$ is minimizing $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_2(\bar{\theta}_n) = J_{2*} = \inf_{\bar{\theta} \in \bar{X}_2} J_2(\bar{\theta})$;*

4. *the sequence $\{\bar{\theta}_n\} \subset \bar{X}_1$ weakly converges to the point $\bar{\theta}_* \in \bar{X}_{1*}$, $\bar{X}_{2*} = \{\bar{\theta}_* / J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) = J_{2*} = \inf_{\bar{\theta} \in \bar{X}_1} J_2(\bar{\theta}) = \min_{\bar{\theta} \in \bar{X}_1} J_2(\bar{\theta})\}$, where $\bar{v}_1^n \rightarrow \bar{v}_1^*$, $\bar{v}_2^n \rightarrow \bar{v}_2^*$, $\bar{v}_3^n \rightarrow \bar{v}_3^*$, $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}_*$, $p_n \rightarrow \bar{p}_*$, $x_0^n \rightarrow \bar{x}_0^*$, $x_1^n \rightarrow \bar{x}_1^*$, $d_n \rightarrow \bar{d}_*$, $\gamma_n \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}_*$ at $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\bar{\theta}_* = (\bar{v}_1^*, \bar{v}_2^*, \bar{v}_3^*, \bar{u}_*, \bar{p}_*, \bar{x}_0^*, \bar{x}_1^*, \bar{d}_*, \bar{\gamma}_*)$;*

5. *if $J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) = 0$, then the optimal control for problem (1.1) – (1.6) is $\bar{u}_* \in U$, $\bar{x}_0^* \in S_0$, $\bar{x}_1^* \in S_1$, and the optimal trajectory*

$$\bar{x}_*(t) = P_1 \zeta_*(t) = P_1 [\bar{z}(t, \bar{v}_*) + \bar{\Lambda}_2(t, \mu_0^*, \mu_1^*) + K_2(t) \bar{z}(t_1, \bar{v}_*)], t \in I,$$

where $\bar{v}_ = (\bar{v}_1^*, \bar{v}_2^*, \bar{v}_3^*)$, $\mu_0^* = (O_{1,1}, \bar{x}_0^*, O_{m_2,1})$, $\mu_1^* = (\gamma_*, \bar{x}_1^*, \bar{c}_*)$, $\bar{c}_* \in Q = \{\bar{c}_* \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2} / \bar{c}_{j*} = c_j - \bar{d}_j^*, \bar{d}_j^* \geq 0, j = \overline{1, m_1}; \bar{c}_{j*} = c_j, j = \overline{m_1 + 1, m_2}\}$, the inclusion $\bar{x}_*(t) \in G(t)$ and limitations (1.4) – (1.6), $J(\bar{u}_*, \bar{x}_0^*, \bar{x}_1^*) = \bar{\gamma}_*$ hold.*

6. *the following estimation of the rate of convergence holds*

$$0 \leq J_2(\bar{\theta}_n) - J_{2*} \leq \frac{\bar{c}_0}{n}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{c}_0 = \text{const} > 0.$$

Proof of the analogous theorem is given above.

A more obvious method for solving problem (1.1) – (1.6) is the method of narrowing the domain of admissible controls.

Theorem 3.5. *Let the conditions of Theorem 3.3. be satisfied, $\bar{X}_3 = \bar{V}_1 \times \bar{V}_2 \times \bar{V}_3 \times U \times V \times S_0 \times S_1 \times \bar{\Gamma}$ be a bounded convex closed set, the sequence $\{\bar{\theta}_n\} \subset \bar{X}_2$ be defined by (3.28) with the exception of the sequence $\{\gamma_n\} \subset \bar{\Omega}$. Then:*

1. *the numerical sequence $\{J_2(\bar{\theta}_n)\}$, $\{\bar{\theta}_n\} \subset \bar{X}_3$ is strictly decreasing;*

2. *$\|\bar{\theta}_n - \bar{\theta}_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$, at $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\{\bar{\theta}_n\} \subset \bar{X}_3$;*

If, in addition, the function $F_2(\bar{q}, t)$ is convex with respect to a variable \bar{q} for fixed γ , then:

3. *the sequence $\{\bar{\theta}_n\} \subset \bar{X}_3$, for a fixed $\gamma = \gamma_*$ is minimizing;*

4. $\bar{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}} \bar{\theta}_* \in \bar{X}_3$ at $n \rightarrow \infty, \gamma = \gamma_*$;

5. $J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) = \inf_{\bar{\theta}_n \in \bar{X}_3} J_2(\bar{\theta}_n) = \min_{\bar{\theta}_n \in \bar{X}_3} J_2(\bar{\theta}_n)$;

6. the following estimation holds $0 \leq J_2(\bar{\theta}_n) - J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) \leq \frac{c_1}{n}, c_1 = \text{const} > 0, n = 1, 2, \dots, \{\bar{\theta}_n\} \subset \bar{X}_3$.

The proof of the analogous theorem is presented in the work [20] for a fixed $\gamma \in \bar{\Omega}, \gamma = \gamma_*$.

Let the solution of the problem be $\bar{\theta}_* \in \bar{X}_2$ (3.27), (3.24) – (3.26) with $\gamma = \gamma_* \in \bar{\Omega}$. There are the possible cases:

1. the value $J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) > 0$;

2. the value $J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) = 0$.

Note, that $J_2(\bar{\theta}) \geq 0, \bar{\theta} \in \bar{X}_3$.

If $J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) > 0$, then a new value of γ is selected as $\gamma = 2\gamma_*$, and if $J_2(\bar{\theta}_*) = 0$, then a new value $\gamma = \frac{\gamma_*}{2}$. According to this scheme, by dividing the uncertainty segment in half, the smallest value of the functional (1.1), under the conditions (1.2) – (1.6) can be found.

4 Conclusion

The Lagrange problem of the variation calculus is investigated in the presence of phase and integral constraints for processes described by ordinary differential equations. The particular cases of which are the simplest problem, the Bolz problem, the isoperimetric problem, the conditional extremum problem.

In contrast to the well-known method for solving the problem of the variation calculus on the basis of the Lagrange principle, an entirely new approach an "immersion principle" is proposed. The immersion principle is based on the investigation of the Fredholm integral equation of the first kind. For the Fredholm integral equation of the first kind, the existence theorem for the solution as well as the theorem on its general solution are proved.

The main scientific results are:

- reduction of the boundary value problem connected to the conditions in the Lagrange problem to the initial optimal control problem with a specific functional;
- necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the admissible control;
- method of constructing an admissible control on the limit point of the minimizing sequence;
- necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution of the Lagrange problem;
- method for constructing the solution of the Lagrange problem.

The scientific novelty of the results is that: there is no need to introduce additional variables in the form of Lagrange multipliers; proof of the existence of a saddle point of the Lagrange functional; the existence and construction of a solution to the Lagrange problem are solved together.

References

- [1] DZH. BLISS, *Lectures on variation calculus*, – M.: IL, 1950. – 348 p.
- [2] M.A. LAVRENTEV, L.A. LYUSTERNIK, *Basics of variation calculus*, – M. – L.: ONTI, 1935. – V. 1. Ch.1. – 148 p. V. 1. Ch.2. – 400 p.
- [3] L. YANG, *Lectures on variation calculus and optimal control theory*, – M.: Mir, 1974. – 488 p.
- [4] V.M. ALEKSEEV, V.M. TIHOMIROV, S.V. FOMIN, *Optimal control*, – M.: Nauka, 1979. – 430 p.
- [5] L.S. PONTRYAGIN, V.G. BOLTYANSKIY, R.V. GAMKRELIDZE, E.F. MISCHENKO, *Mathematical theory of optimal processes*, – M.: Nauka, 1969. – 384 p.
- [6] DZH. VARGA, *Optimal control by differential and functional equations*, – M.: Nauka, 1977. – 624 p.
- [7] R.V. GAMKRELIDZE, *Basics of optimal control*, – Tbilisi: Izd-vo Tbilisskogo n-ta, 1977. – 253 p.
- [8] YA.B. LI, L. MARKUS, *Basics of the optimal control theory*, – M.: Nauka, 1972. – 574 p.
- [9] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, Controllability of a system of differential equations, *Differential equations* **27**(1991), No. 9, 1037–1045. MR1140543
- [10] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, S.S. AYSAGALIEVA, Constructive method of solving the controllability problem for ordinary differential equations, *Differential equations* **29**(1993), No. 4, 555–567.
- [11] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, Optimal control by linear systems with fixed ends of trajectory and bounded control, *Differential equations* **32**(1996), No. 6, 1–10.
- [12] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, Controllability and optimal control in nonlinear systems, *Izvestiya RAN, Technical cibernetics* (1993), No. 3, 96–102.
- [13] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, A.A. KABIDOLDANOVA, Optimal speed of nonlinear system with constraints, *Differential equations and processes of control* (2010), No. 1, 30–55.
- [14] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, A.P. BELOGUROV, Controlability and speed of the process described by parabolic equation with bounded control, *Siberian mathematical journal* **53**(2011), No. 1, 20–37.
- [15] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, To the theory of controllability of the linear systems, *AS USSR, Automation and control* (1991), No. 5, 35–44.
- [16] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, A.A. KABIDOLDANOVA, On the optimal control of linear systems with linear performance criterion and constraints, *Differential equations* **48**(2012), No. 6, 832–844. MR3180099; url
- [17] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, General solution of a class of the integral equations, *Mathematical journal* **5**(2005), No. 4(18), 17–23. MR2382425
- [18] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, I.V. SEVRYUGIN, Controlability and speed of the process described by linear system of ordinary differential equations, *Mathematical journal* **13**(2013), No. 2(4), 5–30.

- [19] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, *Constructive theory of the boundary value problem of optimal control*, – Almaty: Qazaq university, 2007. – 328 p.
- [20] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, A.A. KABIDOLDANOVA, *Optimal control by dynamic systems*, Palmarium Academic Publishing, –Verlag, Germany, 2012. – 288 p.
- [21] S.A. AYSAGALIEV, To the simplest problem of variation calculus, *Bulletin KazNU, ser. math., mech., inf.* **71**(2011), No. 4, 20–32.
- [22] F.P. VASILEV, *Numerical methods of a solution of the extreme problem*, –M.: Nauka, 2007. –518 p.
- [23] F.P. VASILEV, *Methods for solution of the extreme problem*, –M.: Nauka, 1981. –480 p.
- [24] N.N. MOISEEV, YU.P. IVANILOV, E.M. STOLYAROV, *Methods optimizations*, – M.: Nauka, 1978. –320 p.